# Regulation (EU) No 528/2012 concerning the making available on the market and use of biocidal products

Evaluation of active substances

### **COMPETENT AUTHORITY REPORT**

## **Assessment Report**



# Polyhexamethylene biguanide (Mn = 1415; PDI =4.7) PHMB (1415; 4.7)

## **Product type PT02**

(Private area and public health area disinfectants)

**Evaluating Competent Authority: France** 

November 2017

## **Table of Contents**

| 1 | STATEME            | INT OF SUBJECT MATTER AND PURPOSE   | 3  |
|---|--------------------|---|----|
|   | 1.1 PROCE          | DURE FOLLOWED   | 5  |
|   |                    | DOKE TOLLOWED   |    |
|   |                    | CANT  |    |
| _ |                    |   |    |
| 2 | OVERALL            | . SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS   | 5  |
|   | 2.1 GENER          | RAL SUBSTANCE INFORMATION / GENERAL PRODUCT INFORMATION   |    |
|   |                    | ntity, Physico-chemical properties & Methods of analysis of the active substance  |    |
|   | 2.1.1.1            | Identity  |    |
|   | 2.1.1.2            | Physico-chemical properties   |    |
|   | 2.1.1.3            | Methods of analysis   |    |
|   |                    | ntity, physico-chemical properties & methods of analysis of the biocidal product  |    |
|   | 2.1.2.1            | Identification of the biocidal product  |    |
|   | 2.1.2.2<br>2.1.2.3 | Physico-chemical properties Methods of analysis   |    |
|   |                    | nded Uses and Efficacy  |    |
|   | 2.1.3.1            | Field of use envisaged  |    |
|   | 2.1.3.2            | Function  |    |
|   | 2.1.3.3            | Mode of action  | 11 |
|   | 2.1.3.4            | Objects to be protected, target organisms   |    |
|   | 2.1.3.5            | Resistance  |    |
|   |                    | sification and Labelling  |    |
|   | 2.1.4.1<br>2.1.4.2 | Proposal for the classification and labelling of the active substance  Proposal for the classification and labelling of the Model product |    |
|   |                    | ARY OF THE RISK ASSESSMENT  |    |
|   |                    | characterisation for human health   |    |
|   | 2.2.1 7/38         | Human health effects of active substance  |    |
|   | 2.2.1.2            | Human health effects of products  |    |
|   | 2.2.1.3            | Human health risk   |    |
|   | 2.2.2 Risk         | characterisation for the environment  |    |
|   | 2.2.2.1            | Fate and distribution in the environment  |    |
|   | 2.2.2.2            | Effects assessment on environmental organisms (active substance)  |    |
|   | 2.2.2.3            | Summary of PNEC values  |    |
|   | 2.2.2.4<br>2.2.2.5 | Environmental effect assessment (product)   |    |
|   | 2.2.2.6            | Environmental exposure assessment   |    |
|   | 2.2.2.7            | Risk characterisation   |    |
|   | 2.2.2.8            | Overall conclusion for the environmental risk assessment  | 51 |
|   | 2.2.3 ED p         | properties  | 53 |
|   | 2.3 OVERA          | ALL CONCLUSIONS OF THE EVALUATION   | 54 |
| 3 | PROPOSI            | ED DECISION   | 56 |
|   | 3.1 REQUI          | REMENT FOR FURTHER INFORMATION RELATED TO THE REFERENCE BIOCIDAL PRODUCT  | 56 |
|   | 3.2 LIST 0         | F ENDPOINTS   | 56 |
| 4 | ADDENDI            | 'CFS  | 57 |

#### 1 STATEMENT OF SUBJECT MATTER AND PURPOSE

#### 1.1 Procedure followed

This assessment report has been established as a result of the evaluation of the active substance polyhexamethylene biguanide hydrochloride (PHMB) as product-type 2 (Private area and public health area disinfectants), carried out in the context of the work programme for the review of existing active substances provided for in Article 89 of Regulation (EU) No 528/2012, with a view to the possible approval of this substance.

PHMB (Not listed on the EINECS inventory because PHMB is a polymer] / CAS no. [32289-58-0 and 1802181-67-4]) was notified as an existing active substance, by Laboratoire PAREVA hereafter referred to as the applicant, in product-type 2.

Commission Regulation (EC) No 1062/2014 of 4 August 2014<sup>1</sup> lays down the detailed rules for the evaluation of dossiers and for the decision-making process.

On July 2007, French competent authorities received a dossier from the Laboratoire PAREVA. The evaluating Competent Authority (eCA) accepted the dossier as complete for the purpose of the evaluation on June 2015.

On December 2016, the eCA submitted to ECHA<sup>2</sup> and the applicant a copy of the evaluation report, hereafter referred to as the competent authority report (CAR). Before submitting the CAR to ECHA, the applicant was given the opportunity to provide written comments in line with Article 8(1) of Regulation (EU) No 528/2012.

In order to review the competent authority report and the comments received on it, consultations of technical experts from all Member States (peer review) were organised by the Agency. Revisions agreed upon were presented at the Biocidal Products Committee and its Working Groups meetings and the competent authority report was amended accordingly.

#### 1.2 Purpose of the assessment report

The aim of the assessment report is to support the opinion of the Biocidal Products Committee and a decision on the approval of PHMB for product-type 2, and, should it be approved, to facilitate the authorisation of individual biocidal products. In the evaluation of applications for product-authorisation, the provisions of Regulation (EU) No 528/2012 shall be applied, in particular the provisions of Chapter IV, as well as the common principles laid down in Annex VI.

For the implementation of the common principles of Annex VI, the content and conclusions of this assessment report, which is available from the Agency web-site shall be taken into account.

<sup>2</sup> ECHA: European CHemical Agency

OMMISSION DELEGATED REGULATION (EU) No 1062/2014 of 4 August 2014 on the work programme for the systematic examination of all existing active substances contained in biocidal products referred to in Regulation (EU) No 528/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council. OJ L 294, 10.10.2014, p. 1

However, where conclusions of this assessment report are based on data protected under the provisions of Regulation (EU) No 528/2012, such conclusions may not be used to the benefit of another applicant, unless access to these data for that purpose has been granted to that applicant.

#### 1.3 Applicant

Name: Laboratoire PAREVA

**Adress:** Zone Industrielle du Bois de Leuze

F-13310 Saint-Martin de Crau

France

#### 2 OVERALL SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

#### 2.1 General substance information / general product information

# 2.1.1 Identity, Physico-chemical properties & Methods of analysis of the active substance

#### **2.1.1.1 Identity**

| CAS-No.                   | 32289-58-0 and 1802181-67-4 e-CA is of the opinion that second CAS number is more appropriate as it describe more accurately the active substance. However, both CAS number are kept as for historical reasons.   |  |  |
|---------------------------|---|--|--|
|                           | It must be noted that CAS number is not based on characterisation data. In case of a different PHMB (for example with a weigh distribution outside of the specification of the PHMB assessed in this report) the CAS number will not be able to differentiate the PHMB. |  |  |
| EINECS-No.                | PHMB meets the EU definition of a polymer and is therefore not listed on EINECS   |  |  |
| Other No. (CIPAC, ELINCS) | None  |  |  |
| IUPAC Name                | CoPoly( bisiminoimidocarbonyl,hexamethylene hydrochloride),(iminoimidocarbonyl, hexamethylène hydrochloride)  |  |  |
| Common name, synonym      | - PHMB (1415; 4.7) i.e. Polyhexamethylene biguanide hydrochloride with a mean number-average molecular weight (Mn) of 1415 and a mean polydispersity (PDI) of 4.7; - Polyhexamethylene biguanide; - Poly(hexamethylene biguanide) hydrochloride                         |  |  |
| Molecular formula         | $(C_8H_{18}N_5CI)_n(C_7H_{16}N_3CI)_m$ with three possible end-chains groups.   |  |  |
| Structural formula        | $\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$  |  |  |
|                           | $R = -NH_2  R = \begin{array}{c c} NH & NH_2 & R = \end{array} \begin{array}{c c} NH & NH & CN \\ HN & HN & HN \end{array}$   |  |  |
| Molecular weight (g/mol)  | Weight average molecular weight Mw= 6629;   |  |  |
|                           | Number average molecular weight Mn= 1415;   |  |  |
|                           | PolyDispersity Index (Mw/Mn) = 4.67   |  |  |
|                           | Monomeric unit of " in-chain biguanides" was calculated for   |  |  |
|                           | n average= 22.9   |  |  |

| Monomeric unit of "in-chain guanidines" was calculated for |
|--|
| m average= 7.6   |

The active ingredient (a.i.) Poly Hexa Methylene Biguanide (PHMB) is a small size polymer obtained by the polycondensation of two monomers (1,6-hexanemethylenediamine and diamino1,6-hexane, bis(dicyanoamide) salt.

As PHMB is a small size polymer, some side reactions that occurred during the manufacturing process could modify significatively the structure of the polymer. The side reaction to obtain the unit guanidine occurred up to 10% in the process. Therefore, it can be considered that the structure of PHMB is not only composed by repetitive unit of guanidine but it is composed by repetitive unit of guanidine and biguanide.

The active substance as manufactured (TK<sup>3</sup>) is a 20% w/w aqueous solution of PHMB. "Purity" is a difficult concept to apply to PHMB which is a mixture of polymers and related substances. Instead, the applicant refers to the "strength" of the polymer which is defined as "% total solids" or "dried material". The typical PHMB strength is 20 %.

However, eCA considers more appropriate to use the term "% of active substance (% a.s.)" or "active substance content" instead of "strength". The active substance content being defined as the sum of PHMB and its impurities contents, it can be considered identical to the % total solids and thus to the strength. However, the terms strength or dried PHMB are also used in identity and physico chemical sections and refer to the same thing.

As the technical material is the 20 % PHMB solution obtained directly from the manufacturing process (active substance as manufactured or TK), characterisation data were generated from the dried technical material (TC<sup>4</sup>) using the technique of freeze drying.

The content of PHMB can be calculated by subtracting the total content of impurities in the dried technical material (without residual water) to 100. This value cannot be considered as a real purity but is the closest available data.

The minimum content of PHMB TC was demonstrated  $\geq$  94.3%.

Since the active substance is a copolymer, identity characterisation criteria (based on % solid, content of PHMB in dried material, Mw, Mn and the biguanide/guanide ratio) as well as limits or range for each criterion are proposed by eCA in the confidential document of the Competent Authority Report (CAR) to characterise the source of PHMB in order to set reference specifications in case of approval of the active substance and future technical equivalence checks. It was agreed to rename PHMB considered for approval as "Polyhexamethylene biguanide hydrochloride with a mean number-average molecular weight (Mn) of 1415 and a mean polydispersity (PDI) of 4.7" i.e. "PHMB (1415; 4.7)". For convenience, PHMB (1415; 4.7) is referred to hereafter as "PHMB" or "a.s.".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> TK: technical concentrate according to GIFAP monograph n°2 nomenclature.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> TC: technical material according to GIFAP monograph n°2 nomenclature.

There is one relevant impurity, Hexamethylenediamine with a maximal content of 0.1%.

#### **Summary of specifications of Pareva PHMB:**

Complete specifications are available in confidential part. The summary is reported here.

Table 2.1-1: Specifications of PHMB (1415; 4.7) - Pareva

| Characterisation specification         |                        |  |  |
|--|------------------------|--|--|
| Strength                               | 19.9-20.1%             |  |  |
| PHMB in dried material                 | ≥ 94.3%                |  |  |
| molecular weight by number (Mn)        | 1218-1613              |  |  |
| molecular weight by mass (Mw)          | 4047-9211              |  |  |
| Polydispersity                         | 3.4-5.9                |  |  |
| The biguanide / guanide ratio in chain | 74.9/25.1 to 81.1/18.9 |  |  |
| Total fraction <1000 Da                | 17.0-20.8%             |  |  |
| Impurities                             |                        |  |  |
| HMD (relevant impurity)                | ≤ 0.1%                 |  |  |
| Other impurities                       | confidential           |  |  |

Batches available are older than 5 years. QC data to confirm that production was not changed were not submitted. During APCP WG III 2017, it was proposed to use spectral data from toxicological and ecotoxicological studies to demonstrate that the production remains constant. Unfortunately, the comparison of spectral data was not conclusive.

Therefore the demonstration that production was constant since the initial 5 batch analysis should be demonstrated before the approval of the active substance.

- <u>(eco)tox batches</u>: The batches used in the toxicological and ecotoxicological studies cannot be considered identical to batches of productions.
  - Applicant proposed to group impurities for the setting of the specifications based on the fact that it is not possible to monitor impurities independently. However, this can only be possible if individual impurities have a similar toxicological/ecotoxicological profile.

As PHMB is an UVCB, it was proposed at APCP WG III 2017 to compare chromatogram profiles of production batches with those of the (eco)toxicological batches. If the profile would be similar, no more data would be required. However, the comparison of chromatograms profile is not conclusive and the proposed

approach cannot be applied. Therefore, demonstration that all impurities have a similar tox/ecotox profile is needed in the form of tox/ecotox QSAR/expert statement to justify the pooling of impurities.

<u>Criterion data to be used to differentiate PHMB from different origins:</u> All of the presented characterisation data are important to differentiate PHMB assessed in this dossier here and other PHMB. However, some of those criterion could be difficult to control (biguanide / guanide ratio quantified by NMR) or not selective (strength). eCA is of the opinion that Mn and polydipersity would be the most convenient property for the control of the identity of PHMB used in biocidal products.

#### 2.1.1.2 Physico-chemical properties

The manufacturing process for PHMB produces a 20% aqueous solution as the technical material substance. A sample of purified active substance is prepared by removal of water from the technical material. The appearance of purified PHMB is a white odourless powder. The relative density is 1.237 and has no surface activity. It is thermally unstable above 200°C which is below its melting point. The vapour pressure is below a measurable value (<1.10-6 Pa). It is highly soluble in water (401.2 g/L) and so the Henry's Law Constant (being the ratio of vapour pressure to solubility) was calculated as  $<1.65 \times 10$ -8 (Log H <-7.8), indicating that loss of PHMB by volatilization from water bodies will be negligible. The octanol: water partition coefficient is very low indicating that bioaccumulation is unlikely. PHMB is highly soluble in methanol but only slightly soluble in acetone and n-hexane. It has a dissociation constant of 2.38.

PHMB 20% does not have a self-ignition temperature below 400°C. A theoretical assessment concludes that PHMB is unlikely to have explosive or oxidising properties and the water content of the product makes those risks highly unlikely. The active substance is therefore not classified as highly flammability, explosive or oxidising.

#### 2.1.1.3 Methods of analysis

No method was submitted for determination of PHMB in TC. Furthermore, PHMB is not quantified in the 5 batch analysis.

Determination of impurities in TC was performed with HPLC-MS, HPLC-UV and GPC-UV. However, validations of methods for determination of most of impurities were not submitted.

It was discussed during APCP WG III 2017 that chromatogram fingerprints of all test materials and of the 5-batch analyses shall be provided to the eCA. With these data, similarity is not demonstrated, so fully validated, specific methods are required for impurities.

For polymeric substances it may be difficult to develop an adequate residue analytical method. A limited residue definition in form of a marker will be required if PHMB is proposed for approval.

<u>Residue definition</u>: a proposition of residue definition for drinking water, body fluid and tissues and food and feeding stuff was submitted by the applicant: PHMB quantified by MS part (dimer/trimer/tetramer).

#### Monitoring methods:

- Based on the bibliography and the nature of the active ingredient, as PHMB is expected to bind irreversibly to soil, determination of PHMB in soil is currently not technically feasible. Moreover, eCA considers that if a method could allow the quantification of PHMB in soil, this method could probably not be considered as enforcement method.
- The non-submission is acceptable for air because occurrence in air is not probable for product types (PT) where no spray application is proposed. When application via spray or aerosol is foreseen, such method is required.
- The non-submission is acceptable for surface water, as eCA considers that the issue is the same than in soil. However, determination of PHMB in drinking water should be technically feasible.
- An ELISA method was submitted in deionised water but not validated on acceptable matrice (drinking water). Therefore, a validated method for determination of PHMB in drinking water would be required before active substance approval.
- For body fluids and tissues, as PHMB is classified as very toxic, applicant submitted methods. However, these methods are still to be validated. Validated method of determination of residue of PHMB in body fluid or an acceptable justification of nonsubmission is still required before active substance approval. It is to be noted that applicant indicated that ELISA kit is available.
- The justification for non-submission submitted by the applicant is not acceptable for food and feeding stuffs. An analytical method for determination of PHMB in food and feeding stuffs or another justification of non-submission of data would be required before active substance approval.

## 2.1.2 Identity, physico-chemical properties & methods of analysis of the biocidal product

#### 2.1.2.1 Identification of the biocidal product

#### **Identification of model product**

| Trade name                                      | SURFACIL-TC      |                                    |
|---|------------------|------------------------------------|
| Manufacturer´s<br>development code<br>number(s) | None             |                                    |
| Ingredient of preparation                       | Function         | Content %                          |
| РНМВ  | Active substance | 20% (w/w) (Range 19.0% –<br>21.0%) |

|                               | Details of the product composition and information on the co-<br>formulants are confidential |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Physical state of preparation | limpid to slightly opalescent liquid   |
| Nature of preparation         | TK: (Technical Concentrate)  |

| Trade name   | SURFACIL-RTU       |                                  |
|--|--------------------|----------------------------------|
| Manufacturer´s<br>development code<br>number(s)                                | None               |                                  |
| Ingredient of preparation  | Function           | Content %                        |
| РНМВ   | Active substance   | 0.016%                           |
| Details of the product composition and information formulants are confidential |                    | ition and information on the co- |
| Physical state of preparation  | No data            |                                  |
| Nature of preparation  | SL: soluble liquid |                                  |

| Trade name                                      | SURFACIL-WIPES   |          |
|---|--|----------|
| Manufacturer´s<br>development code<br>number(s) | None   |          |
| Ingredient of preparation                       | Function Content %   |          |
| PHMB  | Active substance   | 0.016% * |
|   | Details of the product composition and information on the co-<br>formulants are confidential |          |
| Physical state of preparation                   | No data  |          |
| Nature of preparation                           | XX: other  |          |

<sup>\*</sup> content of PHMB in the liquid that is impregnated on the wipes. Data on the content of liquid on wipes or any equivalent data is not available

#### 2.1.2.2 Physico-chemical properties

SURFACIL-TC is stable to light and following storage at 54°C for 14 days, showed no loss of active substance. It is considered to be stable for storage at 54°C for 14 days, no physical changes were observed. It is likely to be stable for two years at room temperature. A study of long-term stability is on-going. A one year shelf life study showed no apparent loss of PHMB. There were no serious reactions with metallic iron and the product did not react with its container material. There were no physical changes on storage at 4°C. The product was found to be stable after storage at low temperature: no change in appearance, and colour was observed after 7 days at 0°C.

SURFACIL-TC does not have a self-ignition temperature below 400°C. A theoretical assessment concludes that PHMB is unlikely to have explosive or oxidising properties and the water content of the product makes those risks highly unlikely. The active substance is therefore not classified as highly flammability, explosive or oxidising.

No data were provided for SURFACIL-RTU and SURFACIL-WIPES. Complete physico-chemical properties are needed at product authorisation stage.

#### 2.1.2.3 Methods of analysis

Validated method is available for determination of PHMB in SURFACIL-TC.

No data were provided for SURFACIL-RTU and SURFACIL-WIPES, such data are needed at product authorisation stage.

#### 2.1.3 Intended Uses and Efficacy

#### 2.1.3.1 Field of use envisaged

This Product Type 02 dossier for PHMB is provided to support the following use:

*MG01*: Disinfectants.

Product Type 02: Disinfectants and algaecides not intended for direct application

to humans or animals.

#### 2.1.3.2 Function

The representative products are used as a disinfection product (PT 2) as a bactericide and a yeasticide.

#### 2.1.3.3 Mode of action

The lethal action of PHMB is an irreversible loss of essential cellular components as a direct consequence of cytoplasmic membrane damage. Indeed, the lethal event is believed to be a PHMB-acid phospholipid interaction leading to a phase separation in the outer leaflet of the membrane bi-layer. Such phase separation will lead to instability in the membrane and also loss of membrane-bound enzyme function; resulting in destabilisation which is followed rapidly by a total loss of membrane function owing the phospholipids assuming a hexagonal rather

than a bi-layered phase.

The contact time is 5 minutes for a bactericidal activity and 15 minutes for a yeasticidal activity of PHMB.

#### 2.1.3.4 Objects to be protected, target organisms

The representative product for the assessment of PHMB in Product type 2 is a product used for general disinfection and more particularly for equipment such as utensils etc... by soaking in disinfection solutions or applied for Cleaning-in-Place (CIP) systems. The organisms to be controlled are deterioration/spoilage bacteria and human pathogenic bacteria as *P. aeruginosa*, *E. coli*, *S. aureus and E. hirae*.

The product is also use as a yeasticidal against *C. albicans*.

<u>Note</u>: The claims of the applicants were set up to be consistent with the experimental protocol of the efficacy studies where to soiling conditions were clean conditions (0.3 g/L albumin bovine). In that conditions a preliminary cleaning step prior the disinfection is requested to ensure the efficacy of the product.

Besides, during the commenting period according to article 8(1) of the BPR, the applicant claimed as additional uses, the general disinfection of small scale surfaces by professionals with Ready to Use products:

- The product SURFACIL RTU (trigger spray) is used for the spraying on the small surfaces.
- The product SURFACIL WIPE (ready to use wet wipes) is used for the wiping of small surfaces.

The table below present the efficacy data which support the efficacy of the PHMB in the frame of the active substance dossier. The data are generated from laboratory studies and have to be consolidated at the product authorisation stage in relation with the claims.

Table 2.1-1: Efficacy data which support the efficacy of PHMB

|   | Application method              | Product                         | In use concentration / contact time (PHMB in the in-use solution)   | Activity                   |
|---|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|----------------------------|
| Hard surface<br>disinfection                            | Soaking<br>Cleaning in<br>place | SURFACIL TC<br>(20 % w/w a.s.)  | 0.016 % w/w a.s., 5<br>minutes<br>0.01% w/w a.s., 15<br>minutes   | Bactericide<br>Yeasticide  |
| Hard<br>surface<br>disinfection<br>of small<br>surfaces | Spraying<br>wiping              | SURFACIL-RTU<br>SURFACIL - WIPE | 0.016 % w/w a.s., 5 minutes 0.01% w/w a.s., 15 minutes  Wipes are impregnated with a solution containing 0.016 % w/w PHMB | Bactericide<br>Yeasticidal |

As agreed at BPC Efficacy Working Group I 2015, innate activity of the active substance is also considered sufficiently demonstrated at that stage for surface application. The use dose of 0.3 % w/w a.s. (claimed by the applicant) is used to assess the risk for the application by soaking in disinfection solutions or applied for Cleaning-in-Place (CIP) systems.

The efficacy data submitted in the dossier for the two additional uses demonstrated the innate efficacy of the product at the application rate of 0.016~% w/w PHMB so then no new efficacy data is needed to support this additional claims. As agreed during BPC Working Group III 2017, only ready to use applications were assessed with the claimed doses of 0.016% w/w.

#### 2.1.3.5 Resistance

The evaluation of the literature studies provided does not show particular resistance to PHMB by fungi, yeasts and bacteria.

Nevertheless it is <u>not</u> appropriate to conclude that PHMB resistance is not an issue and that a resistance management strategy is not required. In particular, the description in the literature of cross resistances should be taken into account in the strategy of resistance management.

Indeed standard methods of measuring resistance brought about by biocide use are not available and should be developed for all type of biocides (Assessment of the Antibiotic Resistance Effects of Biocides, Scenihr 2009).

#### 2.1.4 Classification and Labelling

#### 2.1.4.1 Proposal for the classification and labelling of the active substance

A harmonised classification according to the Regulation (EC) N° 1272 -2008 (CLP) is available

(9<sup>th</sup> ATP) covering the active substance PHMB with CAS number 32289-58-0:

| Category  | Carc. 2             | Carcinogenicity Category 2                             |
|-----------|---------------------|--|
|           | Acute Tox. 2        | Acute toxicity Category 2                              |
|           | Acute Tox. 4        | Acute oral toxicity Category 4                         |
|           | STOT RE 1           | Specific target organ toxicity after repeated exposure |
|           | Eye Dam. 1          | Category 1   |
|           | Skin Sens. 1B       | Eye damage Category 1                                  |
|           | Aquatic Acute 1     | Skin sensitisation Category 1B                         |
|           | Aquatic Chronic 1   | Aquatic Acute  |
|           |                     | Aquatic Chronic  |
| Hazard    | H351                | Suspected of causing cancer.                           |
| statement | H330                | Fatal if inhaled.                                      |
|           | H302                | Harmful if swallowed.                                  |
|           | H372 (respiratory   | Causes damage to organs through prolonged or           |
|           | tract) (inhalation) | repeated exposure by inhalation.                       |
|           | H318                | Causes serious eye damage.                             |
|           | H317                | May cause an allergic skin reaction.                   |
|           | H400                | Very toxic to aquatic life.                            |
|           | H410                | Very toxic to aquatic life with long lasting effects.  |

#### **Environmental M-Factor for classification of mixtures containing active substance:**

Acute M-Factor: 10Chronic M-Factor: 10

#### 2.1.4.2 Proposal for the classification and labelling of the Model product

#### **SURFACIL-TC**

According to the Regulation (EC) N° 1272 -2008 (CLP)

|                  | I            |  |
|------------------|--------------|--|
| Category:        | Acute Tox. 4 | Acute inhalation toxicity Category 4                   |
|                  | Eye Dam. 1   | Eye damage Category 1                                  |
|                  | STOT RE 1    | Specific target organ toxicity after repeated exposure |
|                  | Carc.2       | Category 1   |
|                  | STOT SE 3    | Carcinogenicity Category 2                             |
|                  | Cat 1        | Specific target organ toxicity after single exposure   |
|                  | Cat 1        | Category 3   |
|                  |              | Aquatic Acute  |
|                  |              | Aquatic Chronic  |
| Hazard statement | H332         | Harmful if inhaled                                     |
|                  | H318         | Cause serious eye damage                               |
|                  | H372         | Causes damage to organs through prolonged or repeated  |
|                  | H351         | exposure   |
|                  | H335         | Suspected of causing cancer                            |
|                  | H400         | May cause respiratory irritation                       |
|                  | H410         | Very toxic to aquatic life.                            |
|                  |              | Very toxic to aquatic life with long lasting effects.  |

Moreover, the mention EUH 208 'Contains PHMB. May produce an allergic reaction' should appear on the label.

#### With regard to toxicological data

Based on the available studies, a classification category 4 H332 for acute inhalation and category 1 H318 for eyes irritation is necessary.

Based on the concentration of PHMB (20%) in SURFACIL-TC, a classification STOT RE 1 H372 and Carc. 2 H351 is also needed.

Moreover, the applicant proposed to classify the product STOT SE 3 H335: May cause respiratory irritation.

#### **SURFACIL-RTU and SURFACIL WIPES**

For SURFACIL-RTU product and SURFACIL WIPES, no study was provided. The classification is determined by calculation. No classification is needed.

#### 2.2 Summary of the Risk Assessment

#### 2.2.1 Risk characterisation for human health

#### 2.2.1.1 Human health effects of active substance

#### Toxicokinetic

A limited toxicokinetic/metabolism investigation into urinary polymer-related material from rats given poly(biguanide-1,5-diylhexamethylene hydrochloride) [PHMB] was published in open literature. Gastro-intestinal absorption of PHMB following a single oral dose amounted to only

5.6% of the administered dose. Faeces were the primary route of elimination of the polymer related material which was unmetabolised by gut micro-organisms and from work in bile cannulated rats. There was no biliary component to the excreted PHMB. Expired air was collected but the paper provides no results for any analysis of radiolabel in air. Following repeated administration to rats in diet the temporary tissue concentration reached a maximum of  $0.3~\mu g/g$  for adipose tissue depots and less than  $0.2~\mu g/g$  in liver, kidneys and heart. These concentrations rapidly fell away to zero when treated diet was replaced with standard untreated diet. PHMB showed no potential for bioaccumulation in this assay and very limited tissue distribution.

Since no information is available on absorption of PHMB by inhalation, an absorption of 100% is retained.

The absorption of PHMB P100 concentrate (200 g PHMB/L), and aqueous dilutions of it (6.67 g PHMB/L and 0.2 g PHMB/L) through human epidermis was measured in vitro over 24 hours according to OECD 428 Guideline. According to the Guidance of dermal absorption<sup>5</sup>, the dermal absorption values were 48%, 6% and 0.6% for 0.2 g/L, 6.67g/L and 200g/L respectively.

#### Acute effects

The acute oral toxicity study was conducted on solid substance PHMB P100 according to OECD 423 guideline. The acute oral median lethal dose (LD50) of the test item PHMB P100 is higher than 300 mg/kg and lower than 2000 mg/kg. The LD50 cut-off of PHMB may be considered as 500 mg/kg body weight by oral route in the rat. PHMB P100 has to be classified in Category 4 with the hazard statement H302 "Harmful if swallowed".

In a dermal toxicity study, 5 Sprague Dawley rats/sex received a single dermal application of moistened PHMB P 100 at a dose level of 2000 mg/kg bw according to OECD 402 guideline. No death occurred. The acute dermal  $LD_{50}$  of PHMB P100 is higher than 2000 mg/kg body weight by dermal route in the rat. The PHMB P100 substance is not classified according to—the Regulation (EC) N° 1272 -2008 (CLP).

The acute inhalation toxicity study was conducted on solid substance PHMB P100 according to OECD 403 guideline. The 4-hour acute inhalation median lethal concentration (LC50) of PHMB in Wistar Crl:(WI) rats is 0.29 mg/L for males and 0.48 mg/L for females.

The  $LC_{50}$  for PHMB P100 (0.29 mg/L) is greater than 0.05 mg/L and less than 0.5 mg/L in rats. Therefore it has to be classified in Category 2 with the hazard statement H330 "Fatal if inhaled".

Based on a dermal irritation study in accordance with OECD 404 guideline PHMB P100 PC is not classified as irritant to skin according to the Regulation (EC) N° 1272 -2008 (CLP).

In an eye irritation study in accordance with OECD 405 guideline, the ocular reactions observed during the study have been severe (opacity of the cornea, congestion of the iris and ulceration of the nictitating membrane and the cornea) and not reversible during the 7 days of the test. Taking into account the severity of the reactions at day 7 (maximum ocular irritation index at

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Guidance on Dermal Absorption, EFSA Panel on Plant Protection Products and their Residues (PPR) European Food

83 at day 7) the study was stopped at day 7 in accordance with the principles of animal welfare. PHMB P100 PC has to be classified "Serious eye damage - Category 1" with hazard statement "H318: Causes serious eye damage".

PHMB is considered a skin sensitizer based on animal data and human studies indicate that PHMB is a skin sensitizer in humans, although with a rare frequency of sensitization in the current conditions of consumer uses. Skin sens 1 – H317 for CLP, is therefore warranted. Relatively low incidences from human data support classification as CLP Skin Sens 1B – H317.

#### Repeated toxicity studies

Oral administration via drinking water rats over 28 days with PHMB P100 was conducted in Wistar rats in accordance with OECD 407 guideline. Based on statistical decrease of body weight in males ( -58.6%), the NOAEL of PHMB was established at 1000 mg/L corresponding to 54.9 mg/kg bw/d for males and to 61.3 mg/kg bw/d for females.

A GLP study conducted in compliance with OECD 422 guideline provided information on toxicity effect after repeated administration of PHMB P100. An increase of relative organ weights (spleen, kidney, brain, testes, uterus) was observed at 1500 mg/L. Only one dose (1500 mg/l) was tested during 90 days, the important body weight decrease and relative organ weight increase were considered to be adverse effects. No NOAEL should be derived because only one dose was tested during 90 days in this combined repeated dose toxicity study with the reproduction/developmental toxicity screening test.

In the preliminary study of chronic/carcinogenicity study, test substance related effects such as pigment and haemorrhage were observed in liver of males and females rats at 1500 mg/L after one year of exposure. Based on changes in mean body weight, mean food consumption, toxicity signs exhibited, organ weight changes and histopathological findings in the high dose group (1500 mg/L), the NOAEL of PHMB P100 when administered in the drinking water for 3 months to Wistar rats can be set at 1000 mg/L, corresponding to 95 and 102 mg/kg b.w./day for males and females respectively.

In GLP study, PHMB P100 PC was administered dermally by fully-occluded exposure for six hour per day for four weeks. The application of PHMB at doses up to and including 300 mg/kg/day did not result in any evidence of systemic toxicity. However evidence of local irritancy was evident in females receiving 300 mg/kg/day. Consequently, within the context of this study it was concluded that the No-Observed-Adverse-Effect-Level (NOAEL) for systemic sub-acute dermal toxicity was 300 mg/kg/day, and the NOAEL for local irritancy was 100 mg/kg/day.

A 28-day inhalation study (started in August 2015) is ongoing. A preliminary study of inhalation toxicity in repeated doses was provided by applicant tardily without validation of analytical method. This preliminary study was considered to be unreliable to be considered for risk assessment.

#### Combined chronic/carcinogenicity toxicity study

In the combined chronic/carcinogenicity study in rats exposed via diet, the long-term NOAEL is 500 mg/L, corresponding to 36 and 43 mg/kg b.w./day for male and female respectively based on changes in mean body weight, mean food consumption, toxicity signs exhibited, organ weight changes, gross and histopathological findings in both intermediate (1000 mg/L equivalent to 69 mg/kg b.w.) and high dose groups (1500 mg/L equivalent to 97 mg/kg b.w.).

This study highlights following neoplastic findings in exposed rats:

- Induction of hepatic hamartoma
- Induction of hepatocellular adenoma. In females, the incidence of this benign tumor is slightly lower than provided historical controls.
- Induction of follicular adenoma in thyroid in males

An increase of this benign tumor is observed with a higher incidence than historical controls at the two higher doses. Observed hamartomas and hepatocellular adenomas support the current classification of PHMB as carcinogenic.

Among others, the most commonly observed neoplasm were pars distalis adenoma of pituitary, fibroadenoma and adenoma of the mammary gland, C-Cell adenoma of thyroid gland, and endometrial stromal polyp of uterus. However, the incidence of these findings was not related to test substance administration.

Hemangioma or hemangiosarcoma are observed in various organs (liver, spleen, mesenteric and mandibular lymph nodes) and different groups. The reported incidence in historical controls confirms that these tumors are very rare. Nevertheless, the very low incidence of vascular tumors observed in this study does not enable to assign clearly to treatment. However, it is noted that a major impact of angiectasis (abnormal dilation of a vessel) is observed in the liver although the dose-response relationship is not linear. Incidence of associated changes like cystic degeneration, hemorrhage, pigment and medial hyperplasia of blood vessels was also increased.

For information, three modes of action were investigated by the applicant:

- 1) Uptake of iron in sinusoidal lining cells with the release of mitogenic cytokines. The irrelevance of this mode of action to human is not clearly demonstrated by the applicant, no publications are submitted or referenced.
- 2) Severe stress initially because of dehydration and markedly decreased food intake due to palatability issues with PHMB in the drinking water. However, the relationship between stress and dehydration is not proven and no publications are submitted to robustly justify this.
- 3) A direct mitogenic effect on the hepatocytes possibly through CAR/PXR. However, eCA considered that the treatment with 1500 mg/L PHMB group in drinking water did not induce an increase in enzyme activity for Cyt2B, Cyt3A or Cyp4A. The expression levels of the PPARa, CAR and PXR responsive genes in the liver tissue of rats, was not affected at 1500 mg/L PHMB. Moreover, centrilobular hypertrophy and increased smooth endoplasmic reticulum were not considered sufficient to demonstrate CAR/PXR-related mode of action.

During the comment period, applicant provided an additional investigation of MOA, suggested by the structural analogy with biquanides.

The role of endothelial cell activation with a release of mitogenic factors cannot be excluded, particularly given the increase in endothelial cell proliferation that occurs within 4 weeks of administration of PHMB and by the ultimate development of ectatic lesions on the liver.

The possible contribution of other mode of actions was not sufficiently excluded. Although genotoxicity is excluded, no other potential mechanisms have been excluded (estrogen receptor (ER), gap junction intercellular communication (GJIC), aryl hydrocarbon receptior (AhR)).

The current harmonised classification of PHMB was based notably on:

- Vascular tumour in mice by oral and dermal route (principally in liver)
- Local tumour by oral routes in mice

To conclude, the results of these studies do not question the carcinogenic effects observed in mice as only rats are tested. They confirm the carcinogenic potential of PHMB in the liver of rats by observing hamartomas and hepatocellular adenomas. They do not identify vascular tumor in rats however vascular lesions (angiectasis) support a concern regarding a PHMB effect on these tissues.

Therefore, these results do not question the relevance of the harmonised classification Carc 2 - H351 of PHMB, currently registered in Annex I of Regulation (EC) 1272/2008.

#### Genotoxicity

Several *in vitro* studies of genotoxicity were performed with PHMB P20 D (Ames test, gene mutation test y assay in mammalian cells and chromosomal aberration). To conclude, no evidence for genotoxic potential was found in any of the *in-vitro* assays completed in the presence or absence of metabolic activation provided by S-9 mix. No classification for this end point is required.

#### Reprotoxicity

#### Teratogenicity

In an oral Prenatal Developmental toxicity study conducted according to OECD guideline 414, no teratogenic effect of PHMB was observed in the rat. The parameters such as number of corpora lutea, live foetuses, dead foetus, pre and post implantation loss did not vary between the control and the treated group. There was no difference in the mean litter size, mean number of males and females per litter of the control and treated groups. Maternal NOAEL was established to 1000 ppm corresponding to 112.45 mg/ kg bw/d, the highest tested dose in absence of adverse effect. The incidence of external anomalies were comparable in animals of PHMB treated groups and control group. However, increase of foetal and litter incidence of supernumerary lungs lobes was observed from 1000 ppm and foetal incidence of incomplete ossification of the 6th sternebrae from 300 ppm.

Based on the data presented above, foetal NOAEL could be established at 300 ppm based on the increase of lung supernumerary lobe at the LOAEL of 113 mg/kg bw/d. However, it is important to note that the teratogenicity study of Pore (2010) has undergone several

amendments between December 2014 and May 2017. Considering all these amendments about the incidence of the effects, it is proposed to have a precautionary approach in the evaluation of the results and to set the developmental NOAEL at 12 mg/kg bw/day, based on lung and skeletal variations observed at higher doses in the previous report.

#### Fertility

The GLP study conducted in compliance with OECD 422 guideline provided information on male and female reproductive performance such as gonadal function, mating behavior, conception, development of the concepts and parturition after repeated administration of PHMB P100. Treatment with PHMB in Wistar rats at dose levels of 500, 1000 ppm and 1500 doses. Based on changes observed in the body weights, food and water consumption at the 1500 ppm, a NOAEL for systemic toxicity was considered to be 1000 ppm which was equivalent to 30.64 mg/kg bw/day for males and 154.23 mg/kg bw/day for females. As there were no effects on fertility and reproduction at all the doses tested, the NOAEL for reproductive and developmental toxicity was considered to be 1500 ppm which was equivalent to 50.55 mg/kg bw/day for males and 262.39 mg/kg bw/day for females.

A two generation reproduction toxicity study was conducted to provide general information concerning the effects of the test item PHMB P100 on the integrity and performance of the male and female reproductive systems, according to OECD guideline 416. The test item was weighed and mixed with drinking water and provided to Wistar rats ad libitum at the graduated dose levels of 500, 1000 and 1500 ppm. No effects on general health, body weights, food intake, oestrous cyclicity, pre-coital time, gestation length, pups survivability, mating, fertility, fecundity or sperm parameters in both the generations were observed. The parental systemic toxicity NOAEL was established at 1000 ppm, (equivalent to 58.21 and 80.05 mg/kg bw/day for males and 145.20 and 167.90 mg/kg bw/day for females for P and F1 generations, respectively.) based on decrease in the ovary, vagina and uterus weight and increase in spleen weight. The NOAEL for the offspring was fixed at 1500 ppm (equivalent to 81.55 and 125.03 mg/kg bw/day for males and 208.82 and 268.03 mg/kg bw/day for females for P and F1 generations, respectively). The NOAEL for reproductive toxicity was also fixed at 1500 ppm.

The results indicated F1 and F2 pups were unaffected by treatment and the NOAEL for offspring effects was established at 1000 ppm, equivalent to 58.21 and 80.05 mg/kg bw/day for males and 145.20 and 167.90 mg/kg bw/day for females for P and F1 generations,

#### Neurotoxicity

In conclusion, the data indicate that the active substance, PHMB, does not affect the vertebrate nervous system. PHMB is not an organophosphorus substance nor in the family of compounds likely to induce anticholinesterase activity, and as such, neurotoxicity studies were not considered necessary for evaluation of human health risks.

#### Determination of AEL/AEC/ADI/ARfD

The lowest NOAEL from any oral studies is 12 mg/kg bw/day from the rabbit prenatal developmental toxicity study. This value is based on a precautionary approach in the evaluation of the results setting the developmental NOAEL at 12 mg/kg bw/day, based on lung

and skeletal variations observed at higher doses. An explanation for the low value of NOAEL in the teratogenicity study may be the gravid state of exposed animals, involving differences in toxicokinetics and in toxicity. This can however not be established with certainty and the reason of the discrepancy in NOAEL is not known. Therefore, eCA considers that this value cannot be ruled out and the NOAEL from the teratogenicity study is considered relevant for setting of AELs.

#### NOAEL = 12 mg a.s./kg bw/day.

The percentage of the administered PHMB found to be available for absorption following administration in the diet for females was 5.6%.

#### Internal NOAEL = 0.67 mg a.s./kg bw/day

The acute, medium-term and long-term AEL is the systemic NOAEL (0.67 mg/kg bw/d) divided by the 100-fold assessment factor (10 for inter-species variation and 10 for intraspecies variation).

$$AEL = \frac{Systemic\ NOAEL}{AF} = \frac{0.67}{100}\ mg.\ kg\ bw^{-1}.day^{-1} = 0.0067\ mg.\ kg\ bw^{-1}.day^{-1}$$

# An acute, medium-term and long-term AEL of 6.7 x 10-3 mg a.s./kg bw/day is proposed.

The ADI/ARfD is the NOAEL (12 mg/kg bw/d) divided by the 100-fold assessment factor (10 for inter-species variation and 10 for intra-species variation).

$$ADI/ARfD = \frac{NOAEL}{AF} = \frac{12}{100} \ mg.kg \ bw^{-1}.day^{-1} = 0.12 \ mg.kg \ bw^{-1}.day^{-1}$$

An ADI and an ARfD of 0.12 mg a.s./kg bw/d are proposed.

Table 2.2-1: Summary of the values of the reference values

|                             | AEL                  |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|
| acute, medium and long-term | 6.7 μg a.s./kg bw/d  |
|                             | ADI - ARfD           |
| Chronic and acute           | 0.12 mg a.s./kg bw/d |

#### **Determination of AEC**

As no study is available, no AEC for inhalation route can be derived. However an Ad hoc follow up discussion on the AEC derivation was initiated after the HH WG III 2017. In conclusion, the majority of members who participated to the follow up discussion agreed

with the possibility to perform a read across of the data with another PHMB dossier for the AEC for the inhalation route.

If the applicant is able to obtain a Letter of Access to the study , the study could be used without adding additional safety factors.

Currently, no letter of access is available. Therefore, no risk assessment can be performed.

The active substance is not volatile. Thus, exposure via inhalation route could occur only for use generating aerosol (application of the product by spraying). At the active substance level, no use generating aerosol was identified by the applicant in the initial dossier, until new uses were proposed by the applicant at a very late stage of the assessment, i.e. during the commenting period of the Competent Authority Report according to article 8(1) of the BPR.

In this context, and in absence of appropriate data to perform the risk assessment, uses generating aerosols are considered as unacceptable. If at the product authorisation stage, applicant wants to claim uses generating aerosols, a local risk assessment via inhalation should be performed. At that time, proper data or a letter of access to the data of the other applicant of PHMB should be provided.

#### 2.2.1.2 Human health effects of products

The hard surface disinfectant SURFACIL-TC contains the biocidal active substance PHMB at 20% (active substance as produced). It has to be diluted before being used at 0.03% of PHMB. Depending on the uses, the product is intended to a professional and non-professional use. The product is also available as a ready to use product in the form of a trigger spray or impregnated wipes at the dose of 0.016% PHMB for professional (SURFACIL RTU and SURFACIL WIPES).

Dermal absorption of PHMB was assessed in a study "In vitro dermal penetration of PHMB across Human Skin According to OECD 428 Guideline."

The absorption of PHMB P100 concentrate containing 200 g PHMB/L, and aqueous dilutions of it (6.67 g PHMB/L and 0.2 g PHMB/L) through human epidermis was measured *in vitro* over 24 hours according to OECD 428 Guideline. Absorption of PHMB through the membrane was assessed over the 24 hour experimental period by sampling the receptor fluid at intervals of 2, 4, 6, 8 and 24 hours after application. The *stratum corneum* of each treated skin was removed by tape-stripping, The two first strips were pooled, they corresponded to the excess of the test formulation which was not penetrated (but on the skin) withdrawn by desquamation. At the end of the experiment, the distribution of PHMB in the test system (receptor fluid, skin washes, donor chamber, *stratum corneum* and residual epidermal tissue) was assessed. All samples were analysed by liquid scintillation counting (LSC).

The results showed that the absorbed dose of <sup>14</sup>C-PHMB reaching the receptor fluid 24 hours after application was negligible (under the limit of quantification) but a retention of the test compound in the skin (epidermis and dermis) was noted.

Due to the high degree of variability observed in the dermal absorption study, eCA considered

for the risk assessment the highest value of absorption of each dilution, as a worst case approach.

According to the Guidance of dermal absorption<sup>6</sup>, these values were rounded at 48%, 6% and 0.6% for the PHMB (1415; 4,7) based products at the concentration of 0.2 g/L, 6.67g/L and 200g/L respectively.

In this context, a dermal absorption value of 0.6% will be used for the concentrate product and a dermal absorption value of 48% will be used for the diluted product at 0.03% of PHMB.

For the exposure to the diluted product at 0.016%, no dermal absorption value covering this dilution is available. In this context, a prorata correction according to the EFSA guidance on dermal absorption (2012) is performed: a dermal absorption value of 60% is proposed.

Several studies (oral and dermal acute toxicity studies, dermal and ocular irritation and sensitisation) were performed with PHMB 20% in aqueous solution (PHMB-P20D). Since SURFACIL-TC is a dispersal of the active ingredient in a simple carrier, this bridging to the active substance or PHMB 20% toxicity dataset is considered acceptable.

PHMB-P20D was administered to a group of 6 Sprague Dawley rats (3 males and 3 females) at a single dose of 2000 mg/kg bw according to OECD 423. The acute oral LD50 of PHMB  $\underline{-P20D}$  was found to be >2000 mg/kg body weight. In this context, no classification is required for this end point.

PHMB-P20D was administered to a group of 10 Sprague Dawley rats (5 males and 5 females) at a single dose of 2000 mg/kg bw according to OECD 402. The acute dermal  $LD_{50}$  of PHMB-P20D was found to be >2000 mg/kg bodyweight.. In this context, no classification is required for this end point.

PHMB-P20D is considered as non-skin irritant and non-sensitising in Magnusson and Kligman essay. However, it is considered as severely irritant for eyes. A classification in Category 1 H318: Causes serious eye damage is necessary.

For acute toxicity by inhalation route, a study with PHMB at 100% was performed. The 4-hour acute inhalation median lethal concentration (LC50) of PHMB in Wistar Crl:(WI) rats is as follows:

for males: 0.29 mg/Lfor females: 0.48 mg/L.

The corresponding value for a 20%-PHMB solution is:

- for males: LC50 =1.45 mg/L - for females: LC50 =2.40 mg/L

According to the Regulation (EC) N° 1272 -2008 (CLP), the LC50 for PHMB P100 (0.29 mg/L) is greater than 0.05 mg/L and less than 0.5 mg/L in rats therefore it has to be classified 'Category 2' H330 "Fatal if inhaled". The LC50 for PHMB for 20%-PHMB is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Guidance on Dermal Absorption, EFSA Panel on Plant Protection Products and their Residues (PPR) European Food Safety Authority (EFSA), Parma, Italy EFSA Journal 2012;10(4):2665

estimated at 1.45 mg/L, thus the product has to be classified **Category 4 H332** "Harmful if inhaled".

Considering the classification of the active substance PHMB, notably the classification: STOT RE 1 H372 and Carc. 2 H351 and its concentration in SURFACIL-TC, the following classifications have to be added for the product:

- STOT RE 1 H372: Causes damage to organs through prolonged or repeated exposure
- Carc. 2 H351: Suspected of causing cancer.

Specific study is not available. PHMB (100%) is not classified under CLP regulation for this endpoint and no detailed data to justify this classification was provided by applicant.

However, the applicant proposed to classify the product STOT SE 3 H335: May cause respiratory irritation.based on both animal studies conducted by inhalation where laboured respiration and rhonchus were reported and on human incident cases submitted to the EPA Office of Pesticide Programs involving use of PHMB-containing swimming pool products where the most common symptoms for cases of exposure via inhalation were respiratory irritation (75%) and coughing/choking (38%).

#### 2.2.1.3 Human health risk

Biocidal product SURFACIL-TC is used for general disinfection and more particularly for hard surface disinfection by soaking (objects, instrument...) or applied for Cleaning-in-Place (CIP) systems but can also be used as a disinfectant for pre-wash of equipment such as utensils etc... SURFACIL-TC is a thick and colourless aqueous solution at 200 g/L a.s. (PHMB). It is not a ready to use solution and has to be diluted to a concentration of 0.15% SURFACIL-TC (0.03% PHMB) before use.

The product will be used by professionals and non-professionals.

Other biocidal products are also available as a ready to use product in the form of a trigger spray or impregnated wipes for professional at the dose of 0.016% PHMB (SURFACIL RTU and SURFACIL WIPES).

Considering the intended uses of the products and large field of disinfection, different scenarios will be assessed:

- For professional, surface disinfection by mopping and wiping; trigger spray or impregnated wipes, dipping of small object and disinfection with CIP system.
- For non-professional, surface disinfection and dipping of small object.

The oral exposure is not considered as relevant for adult. This route will be relevant for secondary exposure of a toddler who crawls on disinfected surface.

The main route of exposure for primary exposure is therefore the dermal route. Considering the lack of aerosol forming during the application coupled with the low vapour pressure (1E-06 Pa) of PHMB, no inhalation exposure is expected, except for trigger spray application.

The dermal absorption of PHMB for use of SURFACIL-TC formulation should be determined according to the PAREVA study "In vitro dermal penetration of PHMB across human skin according to OCDE 428 guideline".

In this context, for the exposure to concentrate (20% of PHMB), an absorption value of 0.6% will be used. For the exposure to dilution (0.03%), an absorption value of 48% will be used and for the exposure to dilution (0.016%), an absorption value of 60% will be used.

#### 2.2.1.3.1 Human health risk for professional

#### 2.2.1.3.1.1 Surface disinfection

#### **Initial claimed uses**

The disinfection of surface can be performed with wiping and/or mopping.

In first Tier, a combined estimation of exposure (mopping AND wiping) is performed according to the duration of exposure proposed in the Recommandation 2 of Ad hoc Working Group on Human Exposure: Mopping and wiping time PT2.

In a second Tier, an estimation of exposure during wiping OR mopping alone is performed.

#### 1) Surface disinfection by mopping and wiping

Two phases of exposure can be expected:

- Exposure during mixing and loading of product in water;
- Exposure during application of the product by mopping and wiping.

Exposure is determined thanks to surface disinfection model (1 and 3) available in TNsG 2002 and reviewed in user guidance. These models take in consideration the phase of mixing and loading.

As mentioned above, the duration of exposure (330 min) is chosen according to Recommandation 2 of Ad hoc Working Group on Human Exposure: Mopping and wiping time PT2.

For dermal absorption, regarding the exposure to the diluted solution (0.03%), an absorption value of 48% is used.

In first Tier, exposure is estimated without personal protective equipment (PPE). In a second Tier, PPE could be added.

The risk characterisation is summarised in the following table:

| Intended<br>use<br>(MG/PT) | Exposure<br>scenario  | PPE                        | Exposure                         | %AEL |  |  |  |
|----------------------------|---|----------------------------|----------------------------------|------|--|--|--|
| MG 1 /<br>PT 2.01          |   |                            | Systemic Exposure<br>(μg/kg/day) |      |  |  |  |
| USE                        | USE   |                            |                                  |      |  |  |  |
|                            | Surface disinfection<br>by mopping and<br>wiping after<br>dilution of product | Gloves Gloves and coverall | 77.54<br>22.03                   | 329% |  |  |  |

The risk is considered as unacceptable although gloves and coverall are worn.

#### 2) Surface disinfection by wiping

Two phases of exposure can be expected:

- Exposure during mixing and loading of product in water;
  - Exposure during application of the product by wiping.

Exposure is determined thanks to surface disinfection model (1 and 3) available in TNsG 2002 and reviewed in user guidance. These models take in consideration the phase of mixing and loading.

As mentioned above, the duration of exposure (220 min) is chosen according to Recommandation 2 of Ad hoc Working Group on Human Exposure: Mopping and wiping time PT2.

For dermal absorption, regarding the exposure to the diluted solution (0.03%), an absorption value of 48% is used.

In first Tier, exposure is estimated without personal protective equipment (PPE). In a second Tier, PPE could be added.

The risk characterisation is summarised in the following table:

| Intended<br>use<br>(MG/PT) | Exposure<br>scenario | PPE | Exposure                         | %AEL |
|----------------------------|----------------------|-----|----------------------------------|------|
| MG 1 /<br>PT 2.01          |                      |     | Systemic Exposure<br>(µg/kg/day) |      |

| USE  |                           |       |      |  |  |  |
|--|---------------------------|-------|------|--|--|--|
| Curto an diginfaction                                    | Gloves                    | 51.69 | 772% |  |  |  |
| Surface disinfection by wiping after dilution of product | Gloves<br>and<br>coverall | 14.69 | 219% |  |  |  |

The risk is considered as unacceptable although gloves and coverall are worn.

#### 3) Surface disinfection by mopping

Two phases of exposure can be expected:

- Exposure during mixing and loading of product in water;
- Exposure during application of the product by mopping.

Exposure is determined thanks to surface disinfection model (1 and 2) available in TNsG 2002 and reviewed in user guidance. These models take in consideration the phase of mixing and loading.

As mentioned above, the duration of exposure (110 min) is chosen according to Recommandation 2 of Ad hoc Working Group on Human Exposure: Mopping and wiping time PT2.

For dermal absorption, regarding the exposure to the diluted solution (0.03%), an absorption value of 48% is used. .

In first Tier, exposure is estimated without personal protective equipment (PPE). In a second Tier, PPE could be added.

The risk characterisation is summarised in the following table:

| Intended<br>use<br>(MG/PT) | Exposure<br>scenario  | PPE    | Exposure                         | %AEL |  |  |
|----------------------------|---|--------|----------------------------------|------|--|--|
| MG 1 /<br>PT 2.01          |   |        | Systemic Exposure<br>(µg/kg/day) |      |  |  |
|                            | USE   |        |                                  |      |  |  |
|                            | Surface disinfection<br>by mopping after<br>dilution of product | Gloves | 3.91                             | 58%  |  |  |

The risk is considered as acceptable when gloves are worn.

#### **Additional claimed uses**

#### 1) Surface disinfection by trigger spray

Two phases of exposure can be expected:

- Exposure during application of the product by spraying using a trigger spray;
- Exposure via surface disinfection.

Exposure during spraying is determined thanks to consumer spraying and dusting model 2, and exposure during wiping is determined thanks to surface disinfection model 1 reviewed in user guidance. For wiping only exposure to the hands is considered because the product is ever applied on the treated surface and no exposure of the body is expected. Moreover, PHMB is considered non-volatile.

A duration exposure of 30 min/day is used.

In first Tier, exposure is estimated without personal protective equipment (PPE). In a second Tier, PPE could be added.

The systemic risk characterisation is summarised in the following table.

| Intended use (MG/PT) | Exposure scenario               | PPE    | Dermal exposure                     | %AEL |
|----------------------|---------------------------------|--------|-------------------------------------|------|
| MG 1 /               |                                 |        | Systemic exposure<br>(µg/kg bw/day) |      |
| PT 2.01              | Ready to use                    | -      | 51.7                                | 771% |
|                      | spraying -<br><b>Cumulative</b> | gloves | 1.15                                | 17%  |

The systemic risk is considered acceptable when gloves are worn.

In absence of appropriate study, no AEC for inhalation route can be derived so no local risk assessment can be performed. For this specific use by trigger spray which generates an aerosol, exposure via inhalation is a relevant route of exposure. In consequence, as no proper local risk assessment can be performed, this use is considered as unacceptable. If at the product authorisation stage, applicant claims this use for his products, a local risk assessment via inhalation has to be performed and missing data have to be submitted in the application for product authorisation.

#### 2) Surface disinfection by impregnated wipes

One phase of exposure can be expected:

- Exposure during application of the product by wiping using impregnated wipes.

Exposure is determined thanks to data available in the cleaning fact sheet of consexpo about wet tissues (p63). Considering the low volatility of the active substance, no exposure by inhalation is expected.

For dermal absorption, regarding the exposure to the diluted solution (0.016%), an absorption value of 60% is used.

The risk characterisation is summarised in the following table.

| Intended use (MG/PT) | Exposure scenario  | PPE | Dermal exposure                     | %AEL |
|----------------------|--------------------|-----|-------------------------------------|------|
| MG 1 /               |                    |     | Systemic exposure<br>(μg/kg bw/day) |      |
| PT 2.01              | Ready to use wipes | -   | 7.52E-02                            | 1.1% |

The risk is considered acceptable without PPE.

#### 2.2.1.3.1.2 Dipping of small objects

Two phases of exposure can be expected:

- Exposure during mixing and loading of product in water;
- Exposure during dipping of objects in the solution.

Exposure is determined thanks to dipping model1 available in TNsG 2002 and reviewed in user guidance. These models take in consideration the phase of mixing and loading.

The duration of exposure of 60 min/day is proposed by eCA considering that dipping of disinfection is longer than for manual dipping of wood (30 min recommended in TNsG 2002).

For dermal absorption, regarding the exposure to the diluted solution (0.03%), an absorption value of 48% is used.

In first Tier, exposure is estimated without personal protective equipment (PPE). In a second Tier, PPE could be added.

The risk characterisation is summarised in the following table.

| Intended use (MG/PT) | Exposure scenario       | PPE                       | Exposure                         | %AEL |
|----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|------|
| MG 1 /<br>PT 2.01    |                         |                           | Systemic Exposure<br>(µg/kg/day) |      |
| USE                  |                         |                           |                                  |      |
|                      | Dipping of small object | Gloves                    | 29.33                            | 438% |
|                      |                         | Gloves<br>and<br>coverall | 6.26                             | 93%  |

The risk is considered as acceptable when gloves and coverall are worn.

#### 2.2.1.3.1.3 Disinfection with CIP system

Exposure is expected only during mixing and loading phase. In this context, exposure is estimated thanks to EUROPOEM II database issued from User guidance and HEEG Opinion on the use of available data and models for the assessment of the exposure of operators during the loading of products into vessels or systems in industrial scale, agreed at TM I08.

In this context, the operator will be exposed only to concentrate. A dermal absorption value of 0.6% is therefore used.

In a worst case, it is considered that 1000L of diluted solution at 0.03% of PHMB is needed for CIP system or 0.3 kg of active substance.

The risk characterisation is summarised in the following table:

| Intended use (MG/PT) | Exposure scenario            | PPE    | Exposure                         | %AEL |
|----------------------|------------------------------|--------|----------------------------------|------|
| MG 1 /<br>PT 2.01    |                              |        | Systemic Exposure<br>(µg/kg/day) |      |
| USE                  |                              |        |                                  |      |
|                      | Disinfection with CIP system | No PPE | 2,99E-01                         | 4%   |

The risk is considered as acceptable without PPE.

#### 2.2.1.3.2 Human health risk for non-professional

#### 2.2.1.3.2.1 Surface disinfection

Two phases of exposure can be expected:

- Exposure during mixing and loading of product in water;
- Exposure during surface disinfection.

Exposure is determined thanks to Consexpo approach. Considering, the lack of aerosol forming during the application coupled with the low vapour pressure (1E-06 Pa) of PHMB, the inhalation route is not taken into consideration.

For mixing and loading, the default value for dermal exposure during mixing and loading of liquid cleaner proposed in Consexpo fact sheet "cleaning product" will be used.

For application, the approach considering a layer of 0.01 cm on area of hands and forearms proposed in Consexpo fact sheet "cleaning product" will be applied.

For dermal absorption, regarding the exposure to the concentrate (20% of PHMB), an absorption value of 0.6% is used. Regarding the exposure to the diluted solution (0.03%), an absorption value of 48% is used.

The risk characterisation is summarised in the following table:

| Intended<br>use<br>(MG/PT) | Exposure scenario    | Exposure                         | %AEL |
|----------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|------|
| MG 1 /<br>PT 2.01          |                      | Systemic Exposure<br>(µg/kg/day) |      |
|                            |                      |                                  |      |
|                            | Surface disinfection | 46.97                            | 701% |

The risk for non-professional is considered as unacceptable.

#### 2.2.1.3.2.2 Dipping of small objects

Two phases of exposure can be expected:

- Exposure during mixing and loading of product in water;
- Exposure during dipping of objects in the solution.

No scenario for non-professional is available. In this context, exposure is determined thanks to dipping model1 available in TNsG 2002 and reviewed in user guidance. These models take into consideration the phase of mixing and loading. However, contrary to professional, duration of exposure of 10 min/day is proposed by eCA.

For dermal absorption, regarding the exposure to the diluted solution (0.03%), an absorption value of 48% is used.

The risk characterisation is summarised in the following table:

| Intended<br>use<br>(MG/PT) | Exposure scenario       | Exposure                         | %AEL |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|------|
| MG 1 /<br>PT 2.01          |                         | Systemic Exposure<br>(µg/kg/day) |      |
|                            |                         |                                  |      |
|                            | Dipping of small object | 65.95                            | 984% |

The risk for non-professional is considered as unacceptable.

#### 2.2.1.3.3 Human health risk for secondary exposure

Different scenarios of exposure can be considered:

- Exposure to volatilised residues from disinfected surfaces by inhalation

 Exposure to residues on disinfected surface by dermal route, following by an oral exposure (transfer hand to mouth) for an toddler;

Considering the low vapour pressor of PHMB, the exposure to residues by inhalation is considered as negligible.

For exposure to residues by dermal route, two scenarios can be envisaged:

- Exposure to wet disinfected surface
- Exposure to dried disinfected surface

For each type of surface (wet or dried), a reverse scenario to determine the maximum area that could be rubbed by an adult without risk of systemic effects is performed. For the toddler, the approach proposed in Consexpo is applied.

The dose of application proposed by applicant is used for this scenario (10 L of diluted formulation for  $80 \text{ m}^2$  of floor or 0.125L of diluted product/m<sup>2</sup>).

Dermal absorption values of 48% and 60% are used to determine the exposure to wet surface. No dermal absorption study on the dried residues is available. It was decided at the WG V 2016 to use the same dermal absorption values than the dilutions. Although this approach is conservative, it is in line with the EFSA guidance on dermal absorption (2012).

In order to refine exposure, applicant provided a study to estimate the efficacy of rinse ("Rinse efficacy of treated surface after the used of PHMB solution") and determine the proportion of residues at the surface.

However, this study presents some limitations/deficiencies to be accepted. In this context, this study was not retained and no refinement of the exposure is considered.

#### 2.2.1.3.4 Reverse scenario

#### 2.2.1.3.4.1 Wet surface

For surface treated by mopping/wiping, dipping and CIP, the maximum area that could be rubbed by an adult is determined from AEL of 6.7  $\mu$ g/kg/d for an adult of 60kg. Considering a transfer of 100%, an adult can be exposed until a surface of 223 cm²/d.

This surface is low (lower than hands palms). Therefore, the risk is considered unacceptable.

For surface treated by trigger spray or impregnated wipes, the maximum area that could be rubbed by an adult is determined from AEL of 6.7  $\mu$ g/kg/d for an adult of 60kg. Considering a transfer of 100%, an adult can be exposed until a surface of 335 cm<sup>2</sup>/d.

This surface is low. Therefore, the risk is considered unacceptable.

#### 2.2.1.3.4.2 Dried surface

For surface treated by mopping/wiping, dipping and CIP, the maximum area that could be rubbed by an adult is determined from AEL of  $6.7~\mu g/kg/d$  for an adult of 60kg. Considering a transfer of 18%, an adult can be exposed until a surface of  $0.12~m^2/d$ .

This surface is low. Therefore, the risk is considered unacceptable for surface disinfection. The risk could be considered acceptable for dipping small objects and disinfection with CIP system.

For small surface treated via impregnated wipes, the maximum area that could be rubbed by an adult is determined from AEL of  $6.7 \,\mu g/kg/d$  for an adult of 60kg. Considering a transfer of 18%, an adult can be exposed until a surface of  $0.19 \, m^2/d$ .

This surface is low. However, application is limited to the disinfection of small surfaces or small objects. It has to be noted that the dermal adsorption used for the risk assessment related to this use is a very worst case. Therefore, the risk is considered acceptable for small surface disinfection after a total drying of the surface.

#### 2.2.1.3.5 Toddler crawling on disinfected surface

In the post-application phase, infants can be relatively high exposed, due to their specific timeactivity pattern (crawling on treated surface, hand to mouth contact and relatively low body weight). This exposure was estimated based on the approach proposed in Consexpo fact sheet "Cleaning products".

The risk characterization is summarised in the following table:

| Intended<br>use<br>(MG/PT) | Exposure scenario   | Exposure                         | %AEL   |
|----------------------------|---|----------------------------------|--------|
| MG 1 /<br>PT 2.01          |   | Systemic Exposure<br>(μg/kg/day) |        |
| P1 2.01                    |   | (μg/ κg/ dd y )                  |        |
|                            | Toddler crawling on<br>disinfected surface with a<br>hand to mouth transfer<br>(disinfection by<br>wiping/mopping)                      | 984.6                            | 14696% |
|                            | Toddler crawling on<br>disinfected surface with a<br>hand to mouth transfer<br>(disinfection by trigger spray<br>and impregnated wipes) | 654                              | 9772%  |
|                            | Toddler crawling on<br>disinfected surface with a<br>hand to mouth transfer<br>(disinfection by<br>wiping/mopping)                      | 177                              | 2645%  |
|                            | Toddler crawling on<br>disinfected surface with a<br>hand to mouth transfer<br>(disinfection by trigger spray<br>and impregnated wipes) | 117                              | 1759%  |

The risk is considered unacceptable. Risk can be considered acceptable only if no exposure is ensured, i.e. by restricting the access of the treated zones to toddlers.

#### 2.2.1.3.6 Indirect exposure via food

Secondary exposure via food or drinks is not relevant for use in PT2.

#### 2.2.1.3.7 General recommendations

The product is classified for eye irritation, several organizational and technical mitigation measures have to be put in place.

#### For professional users

#### **Organizational**

- Minimise number of staff exposed;
- Management/supervision in place to check that the RMMs in place are being used correctly and OCs followed;
- Training for staff on good practice;
- Good standard of personal hygiene.

#### **Technical**

- Containment as appropriate;
- Segregation of the emitting process;
- Effective contaminant extraction;
- Good standard of general ventilation;
- Minimisation of manual phases;
- Regular cleaning of equipment and work area;
- Avoidance of contact with contaminated tools and objects;

Moreover, goggles have to be worn.

#### • For non-professional users

- Labelling, instructions for use;
- Child proof closure;
- Packaging eliminating exposure are needed.

#### 2.2.2 Risk characterisation for the environment

#### 2.2.2.1 Fate and distribution in the environment

#### 2.2.2.1.1 Abiotic degradation

#### 2.2.2.1.1.1 Hydrolysis as a function of pH

The potential of hydrolysis of the PHMB was assessed with a GLP-study following the OECD guideline 111. The test item was incubated in the dark at 50°C during 5 days. At pH 4, 7 and 9, no degradation occurred in the solutions of the test item.

As a consequence, PHMB should be considered as hydrolytically stable.

#### 2.2.2.1.1.2 Photolysis in water

As PHMB does not absorb visible light, its photo-transformation in water is considered negligible.

#### 2.2.2.1.1.3 Photo-oxidation in air

Estimation of photo-transformation in air of PHMB has been performed with AOPWIN program version 1.92 developed by the US EPA and Syracuse Research Corporation, USA. According to this estimation, considering reaction of PHMB with OH-radicals and ozone, the half-life of PHMB in the atmosphere is 0.213 days (daytime: 24h; 5E+05 OH molecules/cm<sup>3</sup>).

#### 2.2.2.1.2 Biodegradation

#### 2.2.2.1.2.1 Ready biodegradation

The ready biodegradability of the active substance PHMB was assessed by performing a GLP-study following the OECD guideline 310. The test item was tested at a nominal concentration of 23 mg.L $^{-1}$  (*i.e.* 10.04 mg C.L $^{-1}$ ) with an inoculum (4 mg.L $^{-1}$ ) originated from a domestic waste water treatment plant. The degradation of the test material was determined by following the CO<sub>2</sub> evolution in test vessels.

After 28 days of incubation, no degradation of the active substance PHMB was detected in the test treatment. It was demonstrated in this study that PHMB incubated at a nominal concentration of 23 mg.L<sup>-1</sup> completely inhibited sodium benzoate degradation throughout the 28-day incubation (*i.e.* 101% reduction in degradation of the sodium benzoate in presence of PHMB in the toxicity control), which induced the absence of PHMB degradation during the test.

The study should be considered as reliable with restrictions, because the concentration of the active substance used for this test induced a complete inhibition of the microorganism activity. Therefore it was not possible to assess the intrinsic property of the active substance to be degraded in the ready biodegradability test conditions.

The ready biodegradability of PHMB was also studied according to standard guideline OECD301D (closed bottle test). Two concentrations were tested, 4 and 8 mg PHMB/L. Non adapted activated sludge microorganisms from a domestic wastewater plant was supplied by a municipal sewage treatment plant. The final concentration of the inoculum in the test medium was  $10^{^{^{4}}}$  bacteria per liter. The biodegradation was determined by following the dissolved oxygen in the incubation bottle during exposure.

After 28 days of incubation, no degradation of PHMB was observed for the tested concentrations 4 and 8 mg PHMB/L. As revealed by the toxicity control treatment, the tested concentrations of PHMB significantly inhibited microbial activity for the entire duration of the study.

To conclude, PHMB is considered as not readily biodegradable, and toxic to the aerobic activated sludge microorganisms at the lowest tested concentration of 4 mg PHMB/L.

#### 2.2.2.1.2.2 STP compartment

The elimination and biodegradation of [<sup>14</sup>C]PHMB in a continuously operated sewage treatment simulation system (Husmann unit) was determined according to the OECD standard guideline 303A.

The final DOC (dissolved organic carbon) concentration of the influent was in the mean 100 mg.L<sup>-1</sup>.The DOC elimination of the dosed synthetic sewage was regularly measured as an internal control to monitor the biological activity of the sludge. The DOC elimination of 80-97% demonstrated a sufficiently high biological activity of the sludge throughout the test period.

After a settling-in period (10 days) for the stabilization of the test system, the test item was intermittently dosed to the Husmann unit as a mixture of unlabelled and  $^{14}$ C-labeled PHMB. The final target concentration was 0.5 mg.L $^{-1}$  PHMB. The correct dosage of [ $^{14}$ C] PHMB was analytically verified by liquid scintillation counting (LSC), showing an acceptable range of 82-128% of the nominal concentration.

During the adaptation period (9 days) and during the following plateau phase (19 days) the total radioactivity was frequently measured by LSC in samples collected from the effluent and from the sludge suspension. The formation of  $^{14}CO2$  was regularly measured in the discharged air from the air-tight closed Husmann unit.

19% of the applied PHMB was found in the aqueous effluent of the continuous operating sewage treatment simulation system (average of 16 values during plateau phase). This represents a mean elimination rate of 81% of the dosed PHMB.

The dissipation was mainly caused by the adsorption and accumulation of the test item onto the sludge biomass.

The formation of  $CO_2$  was minimal (2-4%), indicating that no relevant ultimate biodegradation of PHMB to  $CO_2$  occurred under the test conditions.

To conclude, PHMB had no significant adverse effect on the activity of the sludge micro-

organisms at the tested influent concentration of 0.5 mg/L or due to the test item accumulation in the sludge suspension during the test.

#### 2.2.2.1.2.3 Aquatic compartment

The dissipation of [<sup>14</sup>C] PHMB in two aquatic systems (river and pond) was investigated at a rate of 50 mg.L<sup>-1</sup> under aerobic conditions at 20 °C in the dark, in a GLP-study following the OECD standard guideline 308.

Total recoveries of the applied radioactivity (mass balance) averaged  $96.6 \pm 3.3\%$  and  $93.6 \pm 3.3\%$  in the river and pond systems, respectively.

Immediately after the application of [ $^{14}$ C] PHMB (time 0), >98% of the applied radioactivity was found in the water phase of the river and pond systems. Thereafter, the radioactivity in the water decreased rapidly to levels < 75% by 6 hours, < 65% by day 1 and < 5% by day 9 in both systems. Concurrently, the radioactivity in the sediment increased. Most of the radioactivity in the sediment was non-extractable and exceeded 80% by day 9. The extractable radioactivity was consistently below 5% for both systems throughout the study. Radioactive  $CO_2$  reached 2.9% in both aquatic systems by the end of the study on day 27. Organic volatiles were below 0.1%.

Those results demonstrated that the biodegradation of the PHMB in water/sediment systems should be considered as negligible. Moreover, the rapid dissipation from the water column is mainly due to adsorption to sediment particles with the formation of more than 80% of the applied radioactivity as bound residues, included less than 5% as extractable ones. The identification and quantification of the degradation products has not been investigated by the applicant because of the polymeric nature of the active substance.

It should be raised that the tested concentration (50 mg.L<sup>-1</sup>) could have toxic effect on the inoculum, with a potential consequence on the biodegradation result of the test. Indeed according to the ready biodegradability tests provided by the applicant, toxic effect on the inoculum was observed from concentration of 4 mg.L<sup>-1</sup>. No analysis was performed during the present study to check the potential inhibitory effect of the PHMB at 50 mg.L<sup>-1</sup> during the 27 days of incubation. As a consequence, the potential inhibitory effect on micro-organisms of the PHMB at the concentration of 50 mg.L<sup>-1</sup> cannot be excluded.

From these results, the applicant calculated dissipation half-life for the water phase and the whole system for both water systems. In accordance with the Focus document  $(2006)^7$  which mentioned that the assessment of the persistence of a substance in the aquatic environment should be based on degradation half-life values, not on dissipation half-life values, the calculated dissipation trigger values were not considered for the environmental risk assessment of the PHMB. Considering that no significant degradation occurred during the test, a default half-life value of  $1 \times 10^6$  days will be considered for the environmental risk assessment.

Guidance Document on estimating persistence and degradation kinetics from environmental fate studies on pesticides in EU registration. Final report of the work-group on degradation kinetics of FOCUS. SANCO/10058/2005, version 2.0, June 2006.

#### 2.2.2.1.2.4 Soil

The environmental fate of <sup>14</sup>C-PHMB was investigated in four field soils under aerobic conditions in a GLP-study following the OECD standard guideline 307. Fresh soil samples (100 g dry weight) were transferred to 1 liter glass metabolism flasks. The samples were treated with <sup>14</sup>C-PHMB at a rate of 200 mg/per kg soil dry weight. PHMB was applied as a mixture of radiolabelled and unlabelled material.

Mean recoveries for all time points were  $96.8\% \pm 1.4\%$  of applied for soil I,  $95.6 \pm 2.0\%$  for soil II,  $94.1 \pm 2.2\%$  for soil III and  $95.4 \pm 2.3\%$  for soil IV.

Immediately after application of the [ $^{14}$ C]PHMB, the majority of the radioactivity was found in the non-extractable fraction. This may lead to the conclusion that the polymer PHMB binds at least initially by physical adsorption to the soil matrix. Overall, the non-extractable radioactivity decreased slightly after time 0 to reach 84.1 - 86.5% by the end of the study on day 60. The slight reduction in non-extractable radioactivity after time 0 coincided with modest formation of radioactive  $CO_2$  from day 7 onwards to reach 3.2 - 3.5% by the end of the study. Considering that less than 5% of mineralisation occurred during the 60 days of incubation, the degradation of the PHMB in the soil is considered negligible.

The radioactive contents of the extracts were below 10% of the applied amounts for all soils and intervals, except for soil I at time 0, were 13.2% of applied was extractable. After time 0, the extractable amounts were relatively stable throughout the study: 8.4 - 9.9% in soil I, 4.9 - 5.1% in soil II, 2.6 - 2.8% in soil III and 3.8-4.8% in soil IV. The extracts are likely to consist of multiple components given that PHMB itself is a mixture of numerous polymers of molecular weights in the range of 500 to 6000 Dalton. While lower molecular weight components and possible degradates of PHMB may have been more available for extraction, the higher molecular weights may have been insoluble in presence of soils colloids and would then constitute the non-extractable fraction of PHMB.

It should be raised that the identification and quantification of the degradation products has not been investigated by the applicant because of the polymeric nature of the active substance.

The decline of PHMB in soil was based on the declining levels of extractable radioactivity measured during the study. Rates of decline of PHMB in the four soils were determined by the applicant in accordance with FOCUS Guidance (2006). However, in accordance with the FOCUS document (2006) which mentioned that the assessment of the persistence of a substance in the soil should be based on degradation half-life values, not on dissipation half-life values, the calculated dissipation trigger values were not considered for the environmental risk assessment of the PHMB. Considering that no degradation (*i.e.* less than 5% of mineralisation after 60 days) occurred during the test, a default half-life value of  $1 \times 10^6$  days will be considered for the environmental risk assessment.

#### 2.2.2.1.3 Distribution

The sorption properties of PHMB were studied in four soils and one sewage sludge using the

batch equilibrium method in accordance with the OECD standard guideline 106. The test soils included a range of textural classes, with pH values between 4.6 and 7.9 and organic carbon contents between 0.7 and 2.9%. The sewage sludge had an organic carbon content of 44.8%. PHMB was applied as a mixture of radiolabelled and unlabelled material.

Preliminary experiments were conducted to ascertain appropriate solid-to-solution ratios, the time required to achieve equilibrium between PHMB in solution and the methodology to be used. The initial solution concentration of PHMB was 0.5 mg/L in aqueous 0.01M calcium chloride. Solid-to-solution ratios of 1:5, 1:20 and 1:200 w/v were used for the soils, and 1:200 and 1:1000 w/v were used for the sewage sludge. An equilibration time of 4 hours was used for the adsorption and desorption phases. The mass balance was found to be between 103.1% and 135.3%, the last one being clearly an outlier due to the interference in the combustion process (residue of 110.7%). In conclusion, recovery is acceptable when applying strict protocol of rinsing and using borosilicate glass vessels.

Samples were analysed by liquid scintillation counting to determine the concentrations of radioactivity present in solution and solid during the adsorption and desorption phases. Results indicated a high degree of adsorption (>87% of applied radioactivity) with very little desorption of radioactivity (<4% applied radioactivity). Freundlich adsorption and desorption parameters were not determined. Due to its polymeric and highly charged polymeric structure, PHMB was instantaneously adsorbed to soil particles with little desorption possibility.

Based on screening test conducted with the four soils and sewage sludge, Kd and Koc values was derived considering 4 hours equilibrium time and the arithmetic mean value were used for risk assessment.

|                                      | SLUDGE<br>(soil soluti<br>on ratio | SOILS (soil:solution ratio 1:200) |            |         |        |  |  |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------|---------|--------|--|--|
|                                      | 1:1000)                            | Bromsgrove                        | Calke      | Evesham | Warsop |  |  |
| Adsorbed amount<br>(% AR)            | 73.5                               | 92.5                              | 87.9       | 96.2    | 93.6   |  |  |
| Amount in aqueous supernatant (% AR) | 26.5                               | 7.5                               | 12.1       | 3.8     | 6.4    |  |  |
| Water volume (mL)                    | 20                                 | 200                               | 200        | 200     | 200    |  |  |
| Soil mass (g)                        | 0.02                               | 1                                 | 1          | 1       | 1      |  |  |
| %OC                                  | 44.8                               | 0.7                               | 2.9        | 2.6     | 1.4    |  |  |
| K <sub>d</sub>                       | 2773                               | 2467                              | 1453       | 5063    | 2925   |  |  |
| K <sub>oc</sub>                      | 6191                               | 352381                            | 50100      | 194737  | 208929 |  |  |
|                                      |                                    | Arithmetic Mea                    | n K.: 2977 |         |        |  |  |

#### 2.2.2.1.4 Volatilisation

Considering its polymeric form, PHMB is not considered volatile and is not expected to volatilise to air in significant quantities.

Arithmetic Mean K<sub>oc</sub>: 201537

#### 2.2.2.1.4.1 Accumulation

The active substance PHMB is a polymer which consists of a high number of polymer molecules distributed over a range of molecular weights. HPLC profiles provided by the applicant indicated that at least 85% of molecules exhibit a molecular weight higher than 700 g/mol. PHMB exhibits a number average molecular weight (Mn) of 1415; this high value is considered as an indication of limited bioaccumulation potential. Moreover, in case where a BCF value is not available, Guidance on the Biocidal Products Regulation, Volume IV Environment, Part B Risk Assessment for active substances (ECHA guidance – May 2015) recommends predicting a BCF for fish from the relationship between Kow and BCF.

Considering that the Kow for PHMB is  $4.09 \times 10^{-3}$  (at  $22.0^{\circ}$ C) [Log Pow = -2.39], and the following equation: Log BCF<sub>fish</sub> =  $0.85 \times Log$ Kow – 0.70; the estimation of BCF<sub>fish</sub> for PHMB is  $1.86 \times 10^{-3}$  L/kg

This result indicates that the potential of bioconcentration of PHMB is low.

eCA is of the opinion that this argumentation should be considered relevant for 85% of PHMB (*i.e.* fraction of the PHMB exhibiting a molecular weight higher than 700 g/mol), and not relevant for 15% of the PHMB, *i.e.* fraction of the PHMB exhibiting a molecular weight lower than 700 g/mol, which could penetrate into organisms.

However, given the relationship between water solubility and Kow, a lower solubility would lead to a higher Kow and thus a higher BCF. As the smallest oligomers is expected to have higher water solubility than larger oligomers. It can therefore expect the smallest oligomers to have a lower Kow and thus a lower BCF. Based on this theoretical consideration, bioaccumulation potential of low MW oligomers is not expected. This view is supported by the measured Kow value of the whole PHMB.

As a conclusion, based on its measured Kow, and considering the arguments mentioned above, the PHMB is considered to have a low potential of bioaccumulation.

#### 2.2.2.2 Effects assessment on environmental organisms (active substance)

#### 2.2.2.1 Aquatic organisms

Acute and chronic ecotoxicity tests were available for each aquatic trophic level. The results are presented in the table below:

| Trophic level | Guideline /    |                        |              | osure           | Resul    | ts (mg/L)¹   |                                     |
|---------------|----------------|------------------------|--------------|-----------------|----------|--------------|-------------------------------------|
|               | Test<br>method |                        | Type of test | design          | duration | NOEC<br>EC10 | LC <sub>50</sub> / EC <sub>50</sub> |
| Fish (acute)  | OECD<br>TG 203 | Oncorhynchus<br>mykiss | Mortality    | Semi-<br>Static | 96 h     | -            | 0.2676                              |

| Fish          | OECD    | TG | Pimephales          | Hatching                    | Flow-   | 28 d            | 4.98E-03 | >0.153   |
|---------------|---------|----|---------------------|-----------------------------|---------|-----------------|----------|----------|
| (chronic)     | 210     |    | promelas            | success                     | through | (post<br>hatch) |          |          |
|               |         |    |                     | Survival                    |         |                 | 15.3E-03 | 0.0455   |
|               |         |    |                     | Dry weight/<br>total length |         |                 | 15.3E-03 | 0.0485   |
| Invertebrates | OECD    | TG | Daphnia magna       | Immobilisation              | Semi-   | 48 h            | -        | 0.11707  |
| (acute)       | 202     |    |                     |                             | Static  |                 |          |          |
| Invertebrate  | OECD    | TG | Daphnia magna       | Reproduction                | Semi-   |                 | 5.44E-03 | 12.1E-03 |
| (chronic)     | 211     |    |                     | Growth                      | static  | 21 d            | 14.6E-03 | -        |
|               |         |    |                     | Mortality                   | Static  |                 | 5.44E-03 | 9.72E-03 |
| Algae         | OECD TO | 3  | Pseudokirchneriella | Growth                      | Static  | 72 h            | 0.945E-  | 20.6E-03 |
|               | 201     |    | subcapitata         | inhibition                  |         |                 | 03       |          |
|               |         |    |                     |                             |         |                 | 2.79E-03 |          |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> As PHMB, geometric measured concentration

Acute and chronic toxicity data are available for algae, aquatic invertebrates and fish. On acute basis, the alga is the most sensitive taxonomic group. The acutely most sensitive species have an EC50 value of  $20.6E-03~mg.L^{-1}$ .

On chronic basis, the alga is the most sensitive group. The chronic most sensitive has a NOEC value of  $9.45E-04 \text{ mg.L}^{-1}$ .

Therefore PNEC for surface water (PNEC $_{water}$ ) is based on the algae NOEC using an assessment factor of 10 considering that chronic toxicity data is available on 3 taxonomic groups (recommendation from ECHA guidance vol. IV, part B (2015), table 19). Therefore, the PNEC $_{water}$  value used for risk assessment is:

#### 2.2.2.2 Inhibition of aquatic microbial activity

The toxicity to bacteria of PHMB P20 (20.4% of PHMB) was investigated in GLP-compliance according to OECD guideline 209. The results are presented in the table below.

| Guideline / | Guideline / Species /   |              | Exp    | osure    | Results (mg/L) <sup>1</sup> |                  |                  |
|-------------|---|--------------|--------|----------|-----------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Test method | Inoculum  | Type of test | design | duration | NOEC                        | EC <sub>50</sub> | EC <sub>80</sub> |
| OECD TG 209 | Activated sludge<br>from treatment<br>plant treating<br>predominantly<br>domestic<br>sewage | inhibition   | Static | 3 h      | 6.35                        | 32.3             | ND               |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> As PHMB, nominal concentration

Several data provided by the applicant can be used to assess the ecotoxicity of the PHMB. By taking into account the corresponding assessment factor described in the table 20 of the ECHA GUIDANCE on BPR VOL IV, part B (2015) for each type of test, several PNEC<sub>STP</sub> value can be

#### calculated:

| Type of test   | Value  | AF  | PNEC (mg/L) |
|--|--|-----|-------------|
| Respiration inhibition test according to OECD TG 209                   | EC50 = 32.3 mg.L <sup>-1</sup>   | 100 | 0.323       |
| Ready biodegradability test according to OECD TG 310                   | Inhibitory effect at the tested concentration (23 mg.L <sup>-1</sup> )       | 10  | n.d.        |
| Ready biodegradability test according to OECD TG 301D                  | Inhibitory effect at the tested concentrations (4 and 8 mg.L <sup>-1</sup> ) |     |             |
| Simulation test – aerobic sewage treatment – according to OECD TG 303A | No inhibitory effect at the tested concetration (0.5 mg.L <sup>-1</sup> )    | 1   | 0.5         |

The lowest PNEC<sub>STP</sub> was considered for the risk assessment, *i.e.* **PNEC**<sub>STP</sub> = **0.323 mg.L**<sup>-1</sup> which is in accordance with the results observed in biodegradation tests.

#### 2.2.2.3 Sediment dwelling organisms

A 28-day spiked sediment study performed with sediment dwelling organisms shows no effects at any concentration. Therefore, a NOEC of 909 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> dry weight sediment from the 28 day toxicity test on *Chironomus riparius* is derived.

Nevertheless, it should be noted that during the exposure period, the organisms were fed with a fish food suspension. About feeding of the organism during the test, the standard guideline OECD218 mentioned that [ $\S 31$ , p.7]: "When testing strongly adsorbing substances (e.g. with log Kow > 5), or substances covalently binding to sediment, the amount of food necessary to ensure survival and natural growth of the organisms may be added to the formulated sediment before the stabilisation period."

As a consequence the feeding method applied for the test does not follow the standard guideline, considering the high adsorption properties of the PHMB. The results from this study should actually be taken with caution. Hence, this study was not considered for the PNEC derivation.

A new sediment-water *Lumbriculus* toxicity test using PHMB-spiked sediment was performed in accordance with OECD standard guideline 225 and was provided by the Applicant during the peer review process. It was decided at the WG ENV III-17 to include this new data. This study and the proposed new PNEC were the subject of an adhoc follow up discussion until 14<sup>th</sup> of July 2017. The NOEC, based on mean measured concentrations, derived from this 28-day spiked sediment study is equal to 174 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup> dwt sediment of a.s., equivalent to 37.82 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup> wwt sediment of a.s. on *Lumbriculus variegatus*.

During the adhoc follow up discussion, it was agreed that the new sediment study should be included in the assessement, leading to a NOEC = 174 mg/kg dwt (equivalent to 37.82 mg/kg wwt) and should be used to derive the PNECsediment. This value has not been normalised with organic carbon content since normalisation is not in line with the current guidance on BPR Vol VI Part B (2015) (table 22). An AF of 100 should be applied to derive the PNECsediment, taking into account that only the test on *Lumbriculus variegatus* is considered relevant for PHMB since

the Chironomid study is considered unreliable

Thus based on this data, the PNECsediment freshwater for PHMB is 0.378 mg a.s. kg<sup>-1</sup> wet weight.

#### 2.2.2.4 Terrestrial compartment

As mentioned in the section 9.2 of the ECHA GUIDANCE on BPR VOL.IV, PART A (2014), all effect concentrations from earthworms, terrestrial plants and terrestrial micro-organisms should be converted to the standard soil organic matter content (3.4%) or organic carbon (2.0%) before choosing one effect value for derivation of the PNEC. As a consequence, all toxicity thresholds from earthworms, terrestrial plants and terrestrial micro-organisms provided by the applicant were standardized to the standard soil organic matter content (3.4%), according the equation 71 of the ECHA GUIDANCE on BPR VOL.IV, PART B (2015).

| Terrestrial | Guideline           | Species    | Endpoint /      | Exposure   |          | Resu                       | lts (mg/kg dv              | wt)¹                       |
|-------------|---------------------|------------|-----------------|------------|----------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| organism    | /<br>Test<br>method |            | Type of<br>test | design     | duration | NOEC <sub>(standard)</sub> | LOEC <sub>(standard)</sub> | EC <sub>50(standard)</sub> |
| Micro-      | OECD TG             | Soil       | Nitrification   | Sandy      | 28 d     | 2127.7                     | ND                         | > 2127.7                   |
| organisms   | 216                 | microflora |                 | loam       |          |                            |                            |                            |
|             |                     |            |                 | (field     |          |                            |                            |                            |
|             |                     |            |                 | soil)      |          |                            |                            |                            |
|             | OECD TG             | Soil       | Respiration     | Sandy      | 28 d     | 2127.7                     | ND                         | > 2127.7                   |
|             | 217                 | microflora |                 | loam       |          |                            |                            |                            |
|             |                     |            |                 | (field     |          |                            |                            |                            |
|             |                     |            |                 | soil)      |          |                            |                            |                            |
| Earthworms  | OECD TG             | Eisenia    | Mortality       | Artificial | 14 d     | 68.34                      | ≥ 68.34                    | ≥ 68.34                    |
|             | 207                 | fetida     |                 | substrate  |          |                            |                            |                            |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Expressed as PHMB active substance (nominal concentration), original data are normalised for standard soil with an organic carbon of 2.0% or organic matter of 3.4%.

In addition, the applicant provided an acute toxicity test to plant, following the OECD standard guideline 208.

The study is considered non acceptable by eCA as the active substance was applied onto soil by spraying. Because of this mode of application, the present study could not be considered in a regulatory purpose for a biocide intended to be used as a disinfectant. In order to be considered, PHMB should have been incorporated into the soil, in order to mimic the expected route of exposure of PHMB used as a disinfectant in PT01 to PT06.

As a consequence, reliable data are available only for terrestrial micro-organisms and earthworms (acute toxicity). Therefore PNEC for soil ( $PNEC_{soil}$ ) is based on the earthworm LC50 using an assessment factor of 1000 (recommendation from ECHA guidance on BPR VOL.IV, PART B (2015)).

$$PNEC_{soil} = 6.83E-02 \text{ mg.kg}^{-1}_{dwt} = 6.05E-02 \text{ mg.kg}^{-1}_{wwt}$$

#### 2.2.2.3 Summary of PNEC values

| Compartment             | PNEC                               | Basis   |
|-------------------------|------------------------------------|---|
| Freshwater              | 9.45E-05 mg.L <sup>-1</sup>        | Algae long term NOEC(growth rate) = $9.45E-04$ mg.L <sup>-1</sup> , with an assessment factor of $10$ ( <i>c.f.</i> table 19 of ECHA guidance on BPR VOL.IV, PART B (2015))   |
| Sediment-               | 3.78E-01 mg.kg <sup>-1</sup>       | Lumbriculus variegatus 28d NOEC = 37.82 mg/kg wwt , with an assessment factor of 100 (c.f. table 22 of ECHA GUIDANCE on BPR VOL IV, part B (2015))  |
| Terrestrial             | 6.05E-02 mg.kg <sup>-</sup> 1  wwt | Normalised with organic carbon content EC50 derived from the acute toxicity on earthworms = $60.47$ mg a.s. $kg^{-1}_{wwt}$ , with an assessment factor of $1000$ ( <i>c.f.</i> table 23 of ECHA guidance on BPR VOL.IV, PART B (2015)) |
| Microorganisms in a STP | 0.323 mg.L <sup>-1</sup>           | Inhibition of respiration (OECD209) EC50 = 32.3 mg.L <sup>-1</sup> , with an assessment factor of 100 ( <i>c.f.</i> table 20 of ECHA guidance on BPR VOL.IV, PART B (2015))   |

#### 2.2.2.4 Environmental effect assessment (product)

No additional data on the environment effects of the biocidal products were submitted. The risk assessment is based on the effect of the active substance PHMB.

### 2.2.2.5 PBT and POP assessment

According to the annex XIII of the REACH regulation EC/1907/2006, substances are classified as PBT when they fulfill the criteria for all three inherent properties Persistent (P), Bioaccumulable (B), Toxic (T), and/or vPvB when they fulfill the criteria the two inherent properties very Persistent (vP), very Bioaccumulable (vB).

### 2.2.2.5.1 Persistence criteria

According to the annex XIII of the REACH regulation, criteria for substance to be persistent (and very persistent) are fulfilled when:

- $T_{1/2}$  in marine water > 60 days (60 days for vP criterion) or,
- $T_{1/2}$  in fresh or estuarine water > 40 days (60 days for vP criterion) or,
- T<sub>1/2</sub> in marine sediment > 180 days or,
- $T_{1/2}$  in freshwater sediment > 120 days (180 days for vP criterion).
- $T_{1/2}$  in soil > 120 days (180 days for vP criterion).

According to study results on biodegradability of active substance PHMB in STP, water/sediment, and soil compartment (c.f. section 2.2.2.1.2), **PHMB fulfills the P and vP criteria**:

- for soil compartment, not extractable residues are > 80% in all tested soils, and mineralization is <5% over the 60 days of incubation. Considering that no degradation occurred during the test, a default half-life value of  $1x10^6$  days was considered for the environmental risk assessment.
- for surface water, DT50 in whole system is greater than 6 months at  $20^{\circ}$ C, non-extractable > 80%, and mineralisation is <3% after 27 days. Considering that no degradation occurred during the test, a default half-life value of  $1\times10^{6}$  days was considered for the environmental risk assessment.

#### 2.2.2.5.2 Bioaccumulation criteria

According to the annex XIII of the REACH regulation, criteria for substance to be bioaccumulable are fulfilled when the bioconcentration factor (BCF) exceeds a value of 2000 L/kg. Moreover, a substance is considered to potentially fulfill the B criteria when log Kow exceeds a value of 4.5.

The active substance PHMB is a polymer which consists of a high number of polymer molecules distributed over a range of molecular weights. HPLC profiles provide by the applicant indicated that at least 85% of molecules exhibit a molecular weight higher than 700 g/mol. PHMB exhibits a number average molecular weight (Mn) of 1415; this high value is considered as an indication of limited bioaccumulation potential. Moreover, in case where a BCF value is not available, ECHA GUIDANCE VOL.IV, PART B (2015) recommends predicting a BCF for fish from the relationship between Kow and BCF.

Considering that the Kow for PHMB is  $4.09 \times 10^{-3}$  (at  $22.0^{\circ}$ C) [Log Pow = -2.39], and the following equation: Log BCF<sub>fish</sub> =  $0.85 \times Log$ Kow – 0.70; the estimation of BCF<sub>fish</sub> for PHMB is  $1.86 \times 10^{-3}$  L/kg:

This result indicates that the potential of bioconcentration of PHMB is low.

eCA is of the opinion that this argumentation should be considered relevant for 85% of PHMB (*i.e.* fraction of the PHMB exhibiting a molecular weight higher than 700 g/mol), and not relevant for 15% of the PHMB, *i.e.* fraction of the PHMB exhibiting a molecular weight lower than 700 g/mol, which could penetrate into organisms.

However, given the relationship between water solubility and Kow, a lower solubility would lead to a higher Kow and thus a higher BCF. As the smallest oligomers is expected to have higher water solubility than larger oligomers. It can therefore expect the smallest oligomers to have a lower Kow and thus a lower BCF. Based on this theoretical consideration, bioaccumulation potential of low MW oligomers is not expected. This view is supported by the measured Kow value of the whole PHMB.

As a conclusion, based on its measured Kow, and considering the arguments mentioned above,

the PHMB is considered to have a low potential of bioaccumulation, and hence does not fulfill the B and vB criteria.

#### 2.2.2.5.3 Toxicity criteria

According to the annex XIII of the REACH regulation, the toxicity criterion is fulfilled when the chronic NOEC for aquatic organism is less than 0.01 mg/L or when the substance meets the criteria for classification as carcinogenic (1A or 1B), germ cell mutagenic (1A or 1B) or toxic for reproduction (1A, 1B or 2).

Based on ecotoxicity on the most sensitive species Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata (i.e. NOEC = 9.45E-04 mg/L of a.s.), active substance PHMB is considered to fulfill T criteria.

Therefore, PHMB is not considered to fulfill the PBT nor vPvB criterion. Anyhow, as PHMB fulfills the criteria of vP and T, PHMB should be considered as a candidate for substitution, according to the article 10 of the Biocides Regulation EU/528/2012.

#### 2.2.2.5.4 POP assessment

According to the screening criteria described in the Annex D of the Stockholm convention, PHMB is not a POP.

#### 2.2.2.6 Environmental exposure assessment

SURFACIL-TC is the representative product for the PHMB use as an active substance in PT02. The product is used to disinfect hard surface at the end-use concentration of 0.03% w/w of PHMB for all the intended uses except for the ready to use small scale application (0.016% w/w, i.e. 0.16 g/L).

During the commenting period according to article 8(1) of the BPR, the applicant claimed as additional uses, the general disinfection of small scale surfaces by professionals with Ready to Use products:

- The product SURFACIL RTU (trigger spray) is used for the spraying on the small surfaces.
- The product SURFACIL WIPE (ready to use wet wipes) is used for the wiping of small surfaces.

SURFACIL-TC used as PT02-disinfectant is ultimately discharged to drain and enters a municipal sewage treatment plant (STP). There is potential exposure of both the aquatic (surface and sediment) and the terrestrial (soil and groundwater) compartments, the latter as a result of contaminated sewage sludge spreading on land.

The ESDs for biocides used as private area and public health area disinfectants and other biocidal products (PT02)<sup>8,9</sup> recommends scenarios both for private and professional uses, by

Van der Poel, P. (2001). RIVM report 601450008 – Supplement to the methodology for risk evaluation of biocides - ESD for PT02: Private and public health area disinfectants and other biocidal products (sanitary and medical sector).

applying either an annual tonnage approach, and/or an average consumption approach.

For the environmental risk assessment of PHMB, considering the intended uses of the applicant, the following emissions scenarios are applied based on the recommendation of the ESDs and the Technical Agreement for Biocides (TAB 2015):

#### For professional uses:

- Scenario #1: Releases of disinfectants used in institutional areas based on tonnage approach [ESD-PT02 (2011), table 3].
- Scenario #2: Releases of disinfectants used for sanitary purposes in hospitals based on a tonnage approach [ESD-PT02 (2001), table 3.5].
- Scenario #3: Releases of disinfectants used for sanitary purposes in hospitals based on a consumption approach [ESD-PT02 (2001), table 3.6].
- Scenario #4: Releases of disinfectants used for disinfection of scopes and other articles in washers/disinfectors (replacement scenario) [ESD-PT02 (2001), table 3.7].
- Scenario #5: Releases of disinfectants used for disinfection of scopes and other articles in washers/disinfectors (Once-through scenario) [ESD-PT02 (2001), table 3.7].
- Scenario #6: Releases of disinfectants used for disinfection of medical equipment by dipping [TAB (2015), section 2.4.3].
- Scenario #7: Releases of disinfectants used in industrial areas [ESD-PT02 (2011), table 2].

#### For private uses:

- Scenario #8: Releases of disinfectants used by non-professional for sanitary purposes based on a tonnage approach [ESD-PT02 (2001), table 2.1].
- Scenario #9: Releases of disinfectants used for sanitary purposes based on a consumption approach [ESD-PT02 (2011), table 4].
- Refined scenario for RTU small scale applications in institutional areas (according to draft TAB (2017)): Scenario #10

The calculated values for emission to waste water are used to calculate PEC values for the aquatic and terrestrial compartments using hand calculations by applying algorithms presented in the ESDs, the TAB (2015) and the ECHA GUIDANCE VOL IV, part B (2015).

#### 2.2.2.7 Risk characterisation

To carry out a quantitative risk assessment for the environment when PHMB in SURFACIL TC is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> ESD-PT02 (2011). Private and public health area disinfectants and other biocidal products.

used as PT02 for hard surface disinfection, the PEC values were compared to the respective PNEC values for the different compartments, resulting in the following PEC/PNEC ratios summarised in the tables below.

#### 2.2.2.7.1 Aquatic compartment (including sediment) and STP

Table 2.2-2 - PEC/PNEC ratios for PHMB in the STP and the freshwater environment for the use scenarios of SURFACIL-TC

|   | STP  |              | Freshwa  | iter         | Sediment   |              |
|---|--|--------------|--|--------------|--|--------------|
| Emission scenario   | PEC <sub>STP</sub> [mg.L <sup>-</sup> <sup>1</sup> ] | PEC/<br>PNEC | PEC <sub>fresh</sub> - water [mg.L <sup>-</sup> <sup>1</sup> ] | PEC/<br>PNEC | PEC <sub>sediment</sub> [mg.kg <sup>-</sup> 1 wwt] | PEC/<br>PNEC |
| Professional uses   |  |              |  |              |  |              |
| #1 Releases of disinfectants used in institutional areas for sanitary purposes based on a tonnage approach [ESD-PT02 (2011)].                     | (**)   | <1           | (**)   | >1           | (**)   | >1           |
| #2 Releases of disinfectants used for sanitary purposes in hospitals based on a tonnage approach [ESD-PT02 (2001)].                               | (**)   | <1           | (**)   | >1           | (**)   | >1           |
| #3 Releases of disinfectants used for sanitary purposes in hospitals based on a consumption approach [ESD-PT02 (2001)].                           | 1.07E-<br>03   | 3.31E-03     | 8.21E-<br>05   | 0.87         | 3.60E-01   | 0.95         |
| #4 Releases of disinfectants used for disinfection of scopes and other articles in washers/disinfectors (replacement scenario) [ESD-PT02 (2001)]  | 6.94E-<br>03   | 2.15E-02     | 5.33E-<br>04   | 5.64         | 2.34E+00   | 6.19         |
| #5 Releases of disinfectants used for disinfection of scopes and other articles in washers/disinfectors (Once-through scenario) [ESD-PT02 (2001)] | 8.55E-<br>04   | 2.65E-03     | 6.57E-<br>05   | 0.69         | 2.88E-01   | 0.76         |
| #6 Releases of disinfectants used for disinfection of medical equipment by dipping [TAB (2015), section 2.4.3]                                    | 8.55E-<br>03   | 2.65E-02     | 6.57E-<br>04   | 6.95         | 2.88E+00   | 7.6          |
| #7 Releases of disinfectants used in industrial aeras [ESD-PT02 (2011)]   | 1.14E-<br>03   | 3.53E-03     | 8.75E-<br>05   | 0.93         | 3.84E-01   | 1.02         |
| Private uses  |  |              |  |              |  |              |
| #8 Releases of disinfectants used by non-professional for sanitary purposes based on a tonnage approach [ESD-PT02 (2011)].                        | (**)   | <1           | (**)   | >1           | (**)   | >1           |
| #9 Releases of disinfectants used for sanitary purposes based on a consumption approach [ESD-PT02 (2011)].  | 7.13E-<br>04   | 2.21E-03     | 5.47E-<br>05   | 0.58         | 2.40E-01   | 0.64         |
| Refined RTU small scale applications in institutional areas   |  |              |  |              |  |              |
| #10 Releases of disinfectants used for RTU small scale applications in institutional areas [ESD-PT02 (2011); draft TAB (2017)].                   | 1.52E-<br>05   | 4.71E-<br>05 | 1.17E-<br>06   | 1.24E-02     | 5.11E-03   | 1.35E-<br>02 |
| (**) – confidential.  |  |              |  |              |  |              |

As shown in Table 2.2-2:

The professional uses of SURFACIL-TC induce PEC/PNEC ratios > 1:

- for surface water and sediment compartment for scenarios #1, #2, #4, #6;
- for the sediment compartment for scenario #7.

The private uses of SURFACIL-TC induce PEC/PNEC ratios > 1:

- for surface water and sediment compartment for the scenario #8.

The refined RTU small scale application in institutional areas induces PEC/PNEC ratios below 1 for all environmental compartments.

In conclusion, the use of PHMB as PT02, according to the use scenarios for professional and private uses lead to acceptable risks for the refined RTU small scale application in institutional areas, for private uses for sanitary purposes based on a consumption approach, for industrial uses for sanitary purposes in hospitals based on a consumption approach and for disinfection of scopes and other articles in washers/disinfectors.

#### **2.2.2.7.2** Atmosphere

As a polymer, PHMB can be considered as not volatile. Consequently, atmospheric emission resulting from the proposed use will be negligible. It is therefore considered that the resulting level of risk to biota is insignificant and does not give cause for concern.

#### 2.2.2.7.3 Terrestrial compartment and groundwater

Table 2.2-3 - PEC/PNEC ratios for PHMB in soil and groundwater for the use scenarios of SURFACIL-TC

|  | Se   | oil      | Groundwater <sup>(**)</sup> |           |  |
|--|--|----------|-----------------------------|-----------|--|
| Emission scenario  | PEC <sub>soil</sub><br>[mg.kg <sup>-</sup> | PEC/PNEC | PEC <sub>groundwater</sub>  | PEC/PNEC  |  |
| Professional uses  |  |          |                             | _         |  |
| #1 Releases of disinfectants used in institutional areas for sanitary purposes based on a tonnage approach [ESD-PT02 (2011)].                    | (*)  | >1       | <0.001                      | <0.1 µg/L |  |
| #2 Releases of disinfectants used for sanitary purposes in hospitals based on a tonnage approach [ESD-PT02 (2001)].                              | (*)  | >1       | <0.001                      | <0.1 μg/L |  |
| #3 Releases of disinfectants used for sanitary purposes in hospitals based on a consumption approach [ESD-PT02 (2001)].                          | 1.69E-01                                   | 2.80     | <0.001                      | <0.1 μg/L |  |
| #4 Releases of disinfectants used for disinfection of scopes and other articles in washers/disinfectors (replacement scenario) [ESD-PT02 (2001)] | 1.10E+00                                   | 18.2     | <0.001                      | <0.1 μg/L |  |

| #5 Releases of disinfectants used for disinfection of scopes and other articles in washers/disinfectors (Once-through scenario) [ESD-PT02 (2001)] | 1.36E-01 | 2.24     | <0.001 | <0.1 μg/L |
|---|----------|----------|--------|-----------|
| #6 Releases of disinfectants used for disinfection of medical equipment by dipping [TAB (2015), section 2.4.3]                                    | 1.36E+00 | 22.4     | <0.001 | <0.1 μg/L |
| #7 Releases of disinfectants used in industrial aeras [ESD-PT02 (2011)]   | 1.81E-01 | 2.99     | <0.001 | <0.1 µg/L |
| Private uses  |          |          |        |           |
| #8 Releases of disinfectants used by non-<br>professional for sanitary purposes based on a<br>tonnage approach [ESD-PT02 (2011)].                 | (*)      | >1       | <0.001 | <0.1 µg/L |
| #9 Releases of disinfectants used for sanitary purposes based on a consumption approach [ESD-PT02 (2011)].  | 1.13E-01 | 1.87     | <0.001 | <0.1 μg/L |
| Refined RTU small scale applications in institutional areas   |          |          |        |           |
| #10 Releases of disinfectants used for RTU small scale applications in institutional areas [ESD-PT02 (2011); draft TAB (2017)].                   | 2.41E-03 | 3.98E-02 | <0.001 | <0.1 μg/L |

<sup>(\*) –</sup> confidential.

#### As shown in Table 2.2-3:

- The professional uses of SURFACIL-TC induce PEC/PNEC ratios > 1 for soil for all scenarios;
  - The private uses of SURFACIL-TC induce PEC/PNEC ratios > 1 for soil for all scenarios.
  - Only the refined RTU small scale applications in institutional areas induce PEC/PNEC ratios < 1 for soil

With regard to predicted PHMB concentrations in groundwater estimated by FOCUS PEARL modelling, these values do not exceed the 0.1  $\mu$ g/L limit set by the EU Groundwater Directive following all assessed uses of SURFACIL-TC.

In conclusion, the use of PHMB as PT02, according to the use scenarios for professional and private uses leads to unacceptable risks for the terrestrial compartment for all assessed uses, except for the refined RTU small scale applications in institutional areas.

## 2.2.2.7.4 Non compartment specific effects relevant to the food chain (secondary poisoning)

There are no indications from the physico-chemical properties of PHMB of positive bioaccumulation potential. In particular:

<sup>(\*\*)</sup> – According to the groundwater concentration modelized by FOCUS PEARL 4.4.4 and compared to the maximum permissible concentration set for drinking water by the Directive 98/83/EC of 0.1  $\mu$ g.L<sup>-1</sup>.

- It does not have a log Kow of  $\geq 3$ ;
- It does not belong to a class of substances known to have potential to accumulate in living organisms;
- There are no indications from structural features. In particular, the high molecular weight of PHMB is likely to result in steric hindrance at passage of gill membranes or cell membranes of respiratory organs, thereby limiting the potential for uptake from the environment.
  - It does not concentrate in the food chain.

Therefore it is believed that there is no significant potential for secondary poisoning to occur as a result of the proposed uses of PHMB.

#### 2.2.2.8 Overall conclusion for the environmental risk assessment

The environmental risk assessment of PHMB used for hand disinfection is summarised in the table below.

| Scenario  | STP        | Aquatic<br>compartme<br>nt<br>(surface<br>water) | Aquatic<br>compartme<br>nt<br>(sediment) | Terrestrial<br>compartment | Groundwat<br>er | Air | Seconda<br>ry<br>poisonin<br>g |
|---|------------|--|--|----------------------------|-----------------|-----|--------------------------------|
|   |            |  | Professional us                          | es                         |                 |     |                                |
| #1 Releases of disinfectants used in institutional areas for sanitary purposes based on a tonnage approach [ESD-PT02 (2011)]. | Acceptable | Unacceptable                                     | Unacceptable                             | Unacceptable               | Acceptable      | No  | t relevant                     |
| #2 Releases of disinfectants used for sanitary purposes in hospitals based on a tonnage approach [ESD-PT02 (2001)].           | Acceptable | Unacceptable                                     | Unacceptable                             | Unacceptable               | Acceptable      | No  | t relevant                     |

| #3 Releases of disinfectants used for sanitary purposes in hospitals based on a consumption approach [ESD-PT02 (2001)].                           | Acceptable | Acceptable   | Acceptable   | Unacceptable | Acceptable | Not relevant |
|---|------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|------------|--------------|
| #4 Releases of disinfectants used for disinfection of scopes and other articles in washers/disinfe ctors (replacement scenario) [ESD-PT02 (2001)] | Acceptable | Unacceptable | Unacceptable | Unacceptable | Acceptable | Not relevant |
| #5 Releases of disinfectants used for disinfection of scopes and other articles in washers/disinfe ctors (Oncethrough scenario) [ESD-PT02 (2001)] | Acceptable | Acceptable   | Acceptable   | Unacceptable | Acceptable | Not relevant |
| #6 Releases of disinfectants used for disinfection of medical equipment by dipping [TAB (2015), section 2.4.3]                                    | Acceptable | Unacceptable | Unacceptable | Unacceptable | Acceptable | Not relevant |
| #7 Releases of disinfectants used in industrial aeras [ESD-PT02 (2011)]   | Acceptable | Acceptable   | Unacceptable | Unacceptable | Acceptable | Not relevant |
|   |            |              | Private uses |              |            |              |

**Private uses** 

| #8 Releases of disinfectants used by non-professional for sanitary purposes based on a tonnage approach [ESD-PT02 (2011)].      | Acceptable | Unacceptable    | Unacceptable     | Unacceptable       | Acceptable | Not relevant |
|---|------------|-----------------|------------------|--------------------|------------|--------------|
| #9 Releases of disinfectants used for sanitary purposes based on a consumption approach [ESD-PT02 (2011)].                      | Acceptable | Acceptable      | Acceptable       | Unacceptable       | Acceptable | Not relevant |
|   | Refine     | ed RTU small so | cale application | s in institutional | areas      |              |
| #10 Releases of disinfectants used for RTU small scale applications in institutional areas [ESD-PT02 (2011); draft TAB (2017)]. | Acceptable | Acceptable      | Acceptable       | Acceptable         | Acceptable | Not relevant |

**Conclusion:** the risk for the environment is considered as unacceptable for the aquatic and the terrestrial compartments for all assessed uses, and for the STP for the disinfection of scopes and others articles in washers/disinfectors. Only the uses as RTU disinfectants for small scale applications in institutional areas.

#### 2.2.3 ED properties

PHMB is not known as an Endocrine Disruptor with regard to the environment. Considering the mode of action of the substance, observed effects on reproduction on fish and daphnia is not expected to be linked to an ED-mode of action.

The effects observed in the repeated toxicity and reprotoxicity studies in mammals are not expected to be related to an ED-mode of action.

Regarding the available data on PHMB, no ED properties have been identified.

## 2.3 Overall Conclusions of the evaluation

| SCENARIO   | Human primary exposure       |                     |                 | Human secondary exposure |            | Environment                                   |                         |             |     |                        |
|--|------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|------------|---|-------------------------|-------------|-----|------------------------|
|  | Professional                 | Non<br>professional | Worker          | General<br>public        | STP        | Aquatic compartment (surface water, sediment) | Terrestrial compartment | Groundwater | Air | Secondary<br>poisoning |
| Surface disinfection   | Surface disinfection         |                     |                 |                          |            |   |                         |             |     |                        |
| Wipping<br>0.03 % w/w a.s.   | Not<br>acceptable            | Not<br>acceptable   | NR              | Not acceptable           | Acceptable | Not<br>acceptable                             | Not<br>acceptable       | Acceptable  | NR  | NR                     |
| mopping<br>0.03 % w/w a.s.   | Acceptable (1)               | Not<br>acceptable   | NR              | Not acceptable           | Acceptable | Not<br>acceptable                             | Not<br>acceptable       | Acceptable  | NR  | NR                     |
| RTU small scale<br>applications:<br>trigger spray<br>0.016% w/w a.s. | Not<br>acceptable<br>(3)     | Not relevant        | Not<br>assessed | Not<br>assessed          | Acceptable | Acceptable                                    | Acceptable              | Acceptable  | NR  | NR                     |
| RTU small scale applications: impregnated wipes 0.016% w/w a.s.      | Acceptable                   | Not relevant        | NR              | Acceptable (4)           | Acceptable | Acceptable                                    | Acceptable              | Acceptable  | NR  | NR                     |
| Dipping of small o   | Dipping of small objects     |                     |                 |                          |            |   |                         |             |     |                        |
| 0.03 % w/w a.s.  | Acceptable (2)               | Not<br>acceptable   | NR              | Acceptable               | Acceptable | Not<br>acceptable                             | Not<br>acceptable       | Acceptable  | NR  | NR                     |
| Disinfection with 0  | Disinfection with CIP system |                     |                 |                          |            |   |                         |             |     |                        |
| 0.03 % w/w a.s.  | Acceptable                   | Not relevant        | NR              | Acceptable               | Acceptable | Not<br>acceptable                             | Not<br>acceptable       | Acceptable  | NR  | NR                     |

NR: Not relevant.

## **Conditions**

- (1) Only if gloves are worn
- (2) Only if PPE are worn
- (3) No local risk assessment can be performed
- (4) Only if access to treated area is not possible for toddler and only when surface are totally dried

PHMB (1415; 4.7) Product Type 2 November 2017

#### 3 PROPOSED DECISION

The outcome of the assessment for PHMB (1415; 47) in product-type 2 is specified in the BPC opinion following discussions at the  $22^{nd}$  meeting of the Biocidal Products Committee (BPC). The BPC opinion is available from the ECHA website.

## 3.1 Requirement for further information related to the reference biocidal product

No data on physical-chemical properties and methods of analysis were provided for SURFACIL-RTU and SURFACIL-WIPES. These data are needed at product authorisation stage.

The local risk assessment for inhalation exposure is necessary for uses generating aerosol. However, this assessment could not be performed due to missing data for the derivation of an AEC inhalation. A read across with the AEC inhalation value from the dossier on PHMB (1600; 1.8), submitted by another applicant (Lonza), was agreed during the WG III 2017 HH. Due to the lack of a letter of access to this value, the risk assessment could not be performed at the active substance level. Therefore, the risk assessment for applications generating aerosol by trigger spray could not be finalised and a quantitative local risk assessment has to be provided at the product authorization level.

#### 3.2 List of endpoints

The most important endpoints, as identified during the evaluation process, are listed in Appendix I.

#### **APPENDICES**

#### Appendix 1 Listing of endpoints

Identity, Physical and Chemical Properties, Details of Uses, Further Chapter 1: Information, and Proposed Classification and Labelling

Active substance (Common Name) **PHMB** Function (e.g. fungicide) Bactericide and algaecide Rapporteur Member State France

**Identity** (Annex IIA, point II.)

CoPoly( bisiminoimidocarbonyl,hexamethylene Chemical name (IUPAC) hydrochloride),(iminoimidocarbonyl, hexamethylène hydrochloride)

- Polyhexamethylene biguanidine Common name, synonym

- PHMB

- Poly(hexamethylene biguanide) hydrochloride

32289-58-0

PHMB meets the EU definition of a polymer and is therefore not listed on EINECS

None

943 g/kg (TC)

None. Identity of relevant impurities and

additives (substances of concern) in the active substance as manufactured (g/kg)

Minimum purity of the active substance as

Molecular formula

Molecular mass

Other substance No.

manufactured (g/kg or g/l)

CAS No EC No

 $(C_8H_{18}N_5CI)_n$  with three possible end-chain groups

Weight average molecular weight Mw= 6629; Number average molecular weight Mn= 1415;

PolyDispersity Index (Mw/Mn) = 4.67

Monomeric unit of "in-chain biguanides" was

calculated for

n average= 22.9

Monomeric unit of "in-chain guanidines" was

calculated for

m average= 7.6

Structural formula

The in-chain groups are:

## Biguanide hydrochloride group

Guaniaine hydrochloride group



The 3 possible end-chain groups are:

Cyanoguanidine

Amine end grou

#### **Physical and chemical properties** (Annex IIA, point III., unless otherwise indicated)

Melting point (state purity) No melting - decomposes starting at 200°C No boiling point at atmospheric pressure -Boiling point (state purity) decomposes starting at 200°C Temperature of decomposition 200°C Appearance (state purity) Purified active substance (99.6%): white solid Technical material (20%): limpid to slightly opalescent colourless (20% aqueous solution) Purified active substance (99.6%)  $D^{20}_{4} =$ Relative density (state purity) 1.237 Surface tension 71.5 mN/m (1 g/L solution at 20°C) <1.0 x 10<sup>-6</sup> Pa at 20°C Vapour pressure (in Pa, state temperature) <1.65 x 10<sup>-8</sup> Pa.m<sup>3</sup>.mol<sup>-1</sup> Henry's law constant (Pa m<sup>3</sup> mol <sup>-1</sup>) Log H: <-7.8

| Solubility in water (g/l or mg/l, state temperature)  | pH4: The pKa of PHMB (see IIIA 3.6-01) was determined to 2.38. The solubility is therefore not expected to vary in the pH range of pH5 to pH9.  |
|---|---|
|   | Pure water: 401.2 g/L at 25 °C  |
| Solubility in organic solvents (in g/l or mg/l, state temperature) (Annex IIIA, point III.1)                      | acetone: 72 mg/L<br>n-hexane: 184 mg/L<br>methanol: 205.6 g/L   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
| Stability in organic solvents used in biocidal products including relevant breakdown products (IIIA, point III.2) | Not applicable because the active substance as manufactured does not include an organic solvent and is not formulated in organic solution in the biocidal product.  |
|   |   |
| Partition coefficient (log $P_{\text{OW}}$ ) (state temperature)  | Log $P_{ow} = -2.39$ at 22°C  |
|   | pH dependency is not considered likely over the pH range 4 to 9   |
| Dissociation constant (not stated in Annex IIA or IIIA; additional data requirement from TNsG)                    | pKa: 2.38   |
| UV/VIS absorption (max.) (if absorption > 290 nm state $\epsilon$ at wavelength)                                  | Absorption at pH<2, pH =5.5 and pH >10 within the range from 200nm to 250nm with one peak minimum at 219nm and one local apparent maximal at 234.5nm; no peak maxima at wavelengths ≥ 290 nm can be found. Therefore direct photodegradation of PHMB is not expected. |
| Flammability  | Not flammable, not self-ignition  |
| Explosive properties  | Not explosive   |

#### Classification and proposed labelling (Annex IIA, point IX.)

with regard to physical/chemical data with regard to toxicological data

| None          |      |  |
|---------------|------|--|
| Carc. 2       | H351 |  |
| Acute Tox 2   | H330 |  |
| Acute Tox. 4  | H302 |  |
| STOT RE 1     | H372 |  |
| Eye Dam. 1    | H318 |  |
| Skin Sens. 1B | H317 |  |

with regard to fate and behaviour data

Harmonised classification (TC): None

Proposed classification of SURFACIL-TC
(20% a.s.): None

with regard to ecotoxicological data

### Harmonised classification (TC):

Aquatic Acute 1; H400 (M-factor = 10): Very toxic to aquatic life.

Aquatic Chronic 1; H410 (M-factor = 10): Very toxic to aquatic life with long lasting effects.

# Proposed classification of SURFACIL-TC (20% a.s.):

Aquatic Acute 1; H400: Very toxic to aquatic life.

Aquatic Chronic 1; H410: Very toxic to aquatic life with long lasting effects.

#### **Chapter 2: Methods of Analysis**

## Analytical methods for the active substance

Technical active substance (principle of method) (Annex IIA, point 4.1)

The content of the active ingredient PHMB in drinking water was determined after complexation with eosin solution by U.V. visible spectrophotometry

Impurities in technical active substance (principle of method) (Annex IIA, point 4.1)

The determination of three impurities were determined by chromatographic methods:

- Gas chromatographic method: Gas chromatograph 6890N
- -Liquid chromatographic method: Alliance separation module 2695

## **Analytical methods for residues**

Soil (principle of method and LOQ) (Annex IIA, point 4.2)

Air (principle of method and LOQ) (Annex IIA, point 4.2)

Water (principle of method and LOQ) (Annex IIA, point 4.2)

currently <u>not technically feasible</u>

Method is required if a use via spraying is claimed.

Surface water; currently <u>not technically</u> feasible

Drinking water: method required

Body fluids and tissues (principle of method and LOQ) (Annex IIA, point 4.2)

Food/feed of plant origin (principle of method and LOQ for methods for monitoring purposes) (Annex IIIA, point IV.1)

Food/feed of animal origin (principle of method and LOQ for methods for monitoring purposes) (Annex IIIA, point IV.1)

| Method required |
|-----------------|
| Method required |
| Not relevant    |

#### **Chapter 3: Impact on Human Health**

**Absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion in mammals** (Annex IIIA, point 6.2)

Rate and extent of oral absorption:

Gastro-intestinal absorption of PHMB following a single oral dose amounted to only 5.6% of

the administered dose.

Rate and extent of dermal absorption: Dermal absorption :

PHMB 20%: PHMB absorbed is 0.6%.

PHMB 0.7%: PHMB absorbed is 6%

PHMB 0.02%: PHMB absorbed is 48%.

Distribution:

In rats, the radioactivity was distributed within the body of the treated animals at generally

low concentration levels. The highest

concentrations were detected in adipose tissue depots (0.3 $\mu$ g/g); and less than 0.2 $\mu$ g/g in liver, kidney, heart. Tissue distribution was

very limited.

Potential for accumulation: Tissue concentrations rapidly fell away to zero

after treatment was withdrawn. PHMB showed no potential for bioaccumulation in the rat.

Rate and extent of excretion:

The primary route of excretion was elimination

of unchanged PHMB in faeces. Gastrointestinal absorption as measured in urine was only 5.6% of administered dosed. Excretion values for expired air were not available. There was no biliary component to excretion of PHMB.

Toxicologically significant metabolite

No toxicologically significant metabolites were identified.

Acute toxicity (Annex IIIA, point 6.1)

Rat LD<sub>50</sub> oral

Rat LD<sub>50</sub> dermal

Rat LC<sub>50</sub> inhalation

500 mg/kg bw

> 2000 mg/kg bw

Combined  $LC_{50} = 0.37 \text{ mg/L}$ 

Males: 0.29 mg/L

Females: 0.48 mg/L

Skin irritation

Non irritant

Eye irritation

Severe persistent irritant

Skin sensitization

Sensitizing

#### Repeated dose toxicity (Annex IIIA, point 6.3 and 6.4)

Rat: minor reductions in food consumption (due to diet palatability) and bodyweight gains

Lowest relevant oral NOAEL / LOAEL

36 mg/kg bw/day based on decrease body weight (rat, combined chronic/carcinogenic oral toxicity)

Lowest relevant dermal NOAEL / LOAEL

Systemic NOAEL: 300 mg/kg/d (no effect) (rat, 28 days)

Local NOAEL: 100 mg/kg/d (erythema) (rat, 28 days)

Lowest relevant inhalation NOAEC

On going

**Genotoxicity** (Annex IIIA, point 6.6)

No genotoxic properties evident in *in vitro* assays with or without metabolic activation.

#### **Carcinogenicity** (Annex IIIA, point 6.5 and 6.7)

Species/type of tumour Rat, oral: hamartomas in liver, Hepatocellular

adenomas and follicular adenoma in thyroid. Other types of benign neoplastic lesions in both

males and females are also observed.

lowest dose with tumours Rat, oral: 1000 mg/L

#### **Reproductive toxicity** (Annex IIIA, point 6.8)

Species/ Reproduction target / critical effect

Lowest relevant reproductive NOAEL /

LOAEL

Species/Developmental target / critical effect

Rat

NOAEL = 1500 ppm equivalent to approximately 50.55 mg/kg for males in the OECD 422 guideline study

Rabbit:

No maternal toxicity

Increase of foetal and litter incidence of supernumerary lungs lobes and foetal incidence of incomplete ossification of the 6th sternebrae Lowest relevant developmental NOAEL / LOAEL

Rabbit

Maternal: NOAEL = 112mg/kg/dFoetuses: NOAEL = 12mg/kg/d

### **Neurotoxicity / Delayed neurotoxicity** (Annex IIIA, point 6.9)

Species/ target/critical effect

Lowest relevant developmental NOAEL / LOAEL.

| Not applicable |  |
|----------------|--|
| пос аррпсавле  |  |
| Not applicable |  |
|                |  |

#### Other toxicological studies (Annex IIIA, 6.10)

Data for metabolites

Not applicable.

Medical data (Annex IIIA, point 6.12)

The available data give no indications of special concern in medical records or in relation to any reported medical incidents.

#### **Summary**

ADI (if residues in food or feed) (mg/kg bw/day)

AEL (short-medium and long term) (systemic) (mg/kg bw/day)

| Value             | Study                                 | Safety factor  |
|-------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| 0.12 mg/kg/d      | Teratogenicity<br>study in<br>rabbits | 100  |
| 0.0067<br>mg/kg/d | Teratogenicity<br>study in<br>rabbits | 100 and correction factor to take into account 5.6% absorption (included in value) |
| 0.12 mg/kg/d      | Teratogenicity<br>study in<br>rabbits | 100  |

ARfD (acute reference dose)

## Acceptable exposure scenarios (including method of calculation)

Professional users

Surface disinfection: only by mopping with

gloves

Dipping: with gloves and coverall Disinfection with CIP: without PPE Non-professional users

Indirect exposure as a result of use

Not acceptable uses

A mitigation measure is necessary: The surface has not to be touched until it is totally dried.

#### Indirect exposure via food

Secondary exposure via food or drinks is not relevant for use in PT2.

#### **Chapter 4: Fate and Behaviour in the Environment**

Route and rate of degradation in water (Annex IIA, point 7.6, IIIA, point XII.2.1, 2.2)

Hydrolysis of active substance and relevant metabolites ( $DT_{50}$ ) (state pH and temperature)

Photolytic / photo-oxidative degradation of active substance and resulting relevant metabolites

Readily biodegradable (yes/no)

Biodegradation in seawater

Degradation in - DT<sub>50</sub> water water/sediment - DT<sub>90</sub> water (2 systems)

- DT<sub>50</sub> whole system

- DT<sub>90</sub> whole system

Distribution in water / sediment systems (active substance)

Distribution in water / sediment systems (metabolites)

PHMB is stable in aqueous solutions between pH 4 and pH 9.

No photolysis study in water was performed as PHMB does not absorb visible light.

No.

No data

No DT50<sub>total system</sub> determined.

Maximum of nonextractables: 87.1%

Not determined

**Route and rate of degradation in soil** (Annex IIIA, point VII.4, XII.1.1, XII.1.4; Annex VI, para. 85)

Mineralization (aerobic)

Laboratory studies (range or median, with number of measurements, with regression coefficient) Less than 5% mineralization after 60 d at 20°C

One study conducted in four soils according to OECD Guideline 307

DT50lab (25°C, aerobic)- not calculated as <5% mineralisation observed.

DT<sub>50lab</sub> (20°C, pF 2, anaerobic): Not applicable.

Field studies (state location, range or median with number of measurements)

Not applicable.

--

Anaerobic degradation

Soil exposure is negligible and therefore no studies have been performed.

Soil photolysis

The substance does not absorb light and therefore no studies have been performed.

Non-extractable residues

Max 86.5% after 60 days

Relevant metabolites – name and/or code, % of applied a.i. (range and maximum)

Not investigated

Soil accumulation and plateau concentration

Not required

## **Adsorption/desorption** (Annex IIA, point XII.7.7; Annex IIIA, point XII.1.2)

#### a) Active substance

 $K_{oc}/K$  oc  $/K_d/K$ 

The sorption properties of PHMB have been investigated during a study conducted according to OECD guideline 106, in four soils and sewage sludge.

Koc (soils): 50100 - 352381 (n=4).

Mean: 201537 L/kg Kd (sludge): 2773 L/kg

pH dependence (yes / no) (if yes type of dependence)

No

#### Fate and behaviour in air (Annex IIIA, point VII.3, VII.5)

Direct photolysis in air

Not applicable.

Quantum yield of direct photolysis

Not applicable.

Photo-oxidative degradation in air

Estimated half-life (day time 24 hrs): 0.213 d

(AOPWIN)

Volatilization PHMB is not volatile

#### Monitoring data, if available (Annex VI, para. 44)

Soil (indicate location and type of study)

No monitoring data has been reported.

Surface water (indicate location and type of study)

No monitoring data has been reported.

Ground water (indicate location and type of study)

No monitoring data has been reported.

Air (indicate location and type of study)

No monitoring data has been reported.

#### **Chapter 5: Effects on Non-target Species**

## **Toxicity data for aquatic species (most sensitive species of each group)** (Annex IIA, point 8.2, Annex IIIA, point 10.2)

| Species                     | Time-<br>scale        | Endpoint           | Toxicity                         |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Fish                        |                       |                    |                                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oncorhynchus mykiss         | 96 hours              | LC <sub>50</sub>   | 0.2676 mg a.s./L                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pimephales promelas         | 28 days<br>post-hatch | NOEC               | 4.98E-03 mg a.s./L               |  |  |  |  |  |
| Invertebrates               |                       |                    |                                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Daphnia magna               | 48 hours              | EC <sub>50</sub>   | 0.11707 mg a.s./L                |  |  |  |  |  |
| Daphnia magna               | 21 days               | NOEC               | 5.44E-03 mg a.s./L               |  |  |  |  |  |
|                             |                       | Algae              |                                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pseudokirchneriella         | 72 hours              | E <sub>r</sub> C50 | 2.06E-02 mg a.s./L               |  |  |  |  |  |
| subcapitata                 |                       | E <sub>r</sub> C10 | 2.79E-03 mg a.s./L               |  |  |  |  |  |
|                             |                       | NOEC               | 9.45E-04 mg a.s./L               |  |  |  |  |  |
|                             | Mic                   | croorganisms       |                                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Activated sludge            | 3 hours               | EC50               | 32.3 mg a.s./L                   |  |  |  |  |  |
|                             |                       | NOEC               | 6.35 mg a.s./L                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sediment dwelling organisms |                       |                    |                                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lumbriculus variegatus      | 28 days               | NOEC               | 174 mg a.s./kg dry<br>sediment   |  |  |  |  |  |
|                             |                       |                    | 37.82 mg a.s./kg wet<br>sediment |  |  |  |  |  |

## Effects on earthworms or other soil non-target organisms

Acute toxicity to *Eisenia foetida* (Annex IIIA, point XIII.3.2)

14-day  $LC_{50} > 201$  mg a.s./kg soil dry weight

After normalization at 3.4% of organic matter:  $14-d\ LC_{50\_std}$ : 68.34 mg a.s./kg soil dry weight

**Effects on soil micro-organisms** (Annex IIA, point 7.4)

| Nitrogen mineralization | LC <sub>50</sub> : > 1000 mg a.s./kg soil dry weight    |
|-------------------------|---|
|                         | NOEC = 1000 mg a.s./kg soil dry weight                  |
|                         |   |
|                         | After normalization at 3.4% of organic matter:          |
|                         | $LC_{50\_std}$ : > 2127.7 mg a.s./kg soil dry weight    |
|                         | NOEC <sub>std</sub> = 2127.7 mg a.s./kg soil dry weight |
| Carbon mineralization   | LC <sub>50</sub> : > 1000 mg a.s./kg soil dry weight    |
|                         | NOEC = 1000 mg a.s./kg soil dry weight                  |

After normalization at 3.4% of organic matter:

 $LC_{50\_std}$ : > 2127.7 mg a.s./kg soil dry weight

 $NOEC_{std} = 2127.7$  mg a.s./kg soil dry weight

#### Effects on terrestrial plants (Annex IIIA, point XIII.3.2)

Seedling emergence No reliable study available

Vegetative vigour No reliable study available

#### **Effects on terrestrial vertebrates**

(Annex IIIA, point XIII.1.2)

Acute toxicity to mammals (Annex IIIA, point XIII.3.3) Rat oral > 2000 mg/kg bw

Acute toxicity to birds
(Annex IIIA, point XIII.1.1)

No data presented (no exposure)

Reproductive toxicity to birds (Annex IIIA, point XIII.1.3)

No data presented (no exposure)

#### **Effects on honeybees** (Annex IIIA, point XIII.3.1)

Acute oral toxicity Not required

Acute contact toxicity Not required

## Effects on other beneficial arthropods (Annex IIIA, point XIII.3.1)

Acute toxicity No data presented (no exposure)

Acute toxicity No data presented (no exposure)

#### **Bioconcentration** (Annex IIA, point 7.5)

Bioconcentration factor (BCF)  $1.86 \times 10^{-3}$  L/kg (calculation based on log Kow of -2.39)

Depuration time  $(DT_{50})$  Not applicable as no bioaccumulation expected.

Level of metabolites (%) in organisms accounting for > 10 % of residues

#### **Chapter 6: Other End Points**

 $(DT_{90})$ 

Not applicable.

## Appendix 2 Summary of intended uses

| 01:  |                   |                      | Formu | lation              | ,   | Application | 1  |   |                          |
|--|-------------------|----------------------|-------|---------------------|---|-------------|--|---|--------------------------|
| Object<br>and/or<br>situation                        | Product<br>name   | Organisms controlled | Туре  | Conc<br>[%<br>PHMB] | Method  | Number      | Interval   | Applied amount per treatment                                      | Remarks                  |
| Hard<br>surface<br>disinfection                      | SURFACIL TC       | Bacteria<br>Yeasts   | SL    | 20 %<br>w/w         | Cleaning in place, surface disinfection (wipping and mopping of small objects | 1           | One<br>application.<br>Before re-<br>use of<br>equipment | 0.3 % w/v active<br>substance                                     | Professional use<br>only |
| Hard<br>surface<br>disinfection                      | SURFACIL TC       | Bacteria<br>Yeasts   | SL    | 20 %<br>w/w         | Surface disinfection (wipping and mopping) and dipping of small objects       | 1           | One<br>application.<br>Before re-<br>use of<br>equipment | 0.3 % w/v active<br>substance                                     | Non-<br>Professional use |
| Hard<br>surface<br>disinfection<br>small<br>surfaces | SURFACIL RTU      | Bacteria<br>Yeasts   | SL    | 0.016<br>%<br>(w/w) | spraying +<br>wiping  | 1           | One<br>application.<br>Before re-<br>use of<br>equipment | 0.016 % w/w a.s., 5<br>minutes<br>0.01% w/w a.s., 15<br>minutes   | Professional use only    |
| Hard<br>surface<br>disinfection<br>small<br>surfaces | SURFACIL<br>WIPES | Bacteria<br>Yeasts   | other | 0.016<br>%<br>(w/w) | wipping   | 1           | One<br>application.<br>Before re-<br>use of<br>equipment | Wipes are impregnated with a solution containing 0.016 % w/w PHMB | Professional use<br>only |

## Appendix 3 List of studies by author

## **List of studies for the active substance**

| Author       | Section No | Year | Title  | Data protection claimed | Owner of data      |
|--------------|------------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------|
| Anonymous    | 5.4        | 2007 | Mode of action of PHMB. ICI technical service document, Non-GLP/Published.   | N                       | Public             |
| Anonymous    | 5.4        | 2007 | Mode of action of PHMB. Avecia technical service document, Non-GLP/Published.  | N                       | Public             |
| ANSM         | 4.2        | 2013 | Evaluation de la conformité aux bonnes pratiques de laboratoire  | Y                       | Laboratoire PAREVA |
|              | 6.10.2     | 2015 | Assessment of the Bioavailability and Distribution of PHMB in the Rat and Its Effects on Oxidative Stress, Cytotoxicity, Mitogenicity, and Histologic Alterations in the Rat Liver. Assessment of the Bioavailability of PHMB in Blood, Urine, and Tissues Using [14C]PHMB – (Studies No 338 and 338A) | Y                       |                    |
|              | 6.10.3     | 2015 | Evaluation of the Proliferative Effects of Chronic Treatment with PHMB in the Liver Tissue of Wistar Han Rats (Study No 339)   | Y                       |                    |
|              | 6.10.4     | 2015 | Early Proliferative Effects of PHMB on the Liver Tissue of Male Wistar Han Rats (study No 342)   | Y                       |                    |
| Baltussen I. | 2.8        | 2008 | Determination of the content of Hexamethylene diamine, hexamethylene diammonium salt of bis-dicyanamide and Sodium dicyanamide in PHMB P20 D   | Y                       | LABORATOIRE PAREVA |
| Baltussen I. | 4.1        | 2008 | Determination of the content of Hexamethylene diamine, hexamethylene diammonium salt of bis-dicyanamide and Sodium dicyanamide in PHMB P20 D   | Y                       | Laboratoire PAREVA |

| Barker, J.,<br>Brown, M. R.<br>W., Gilbert, B.,<br>Collier, P.J.,<br>Farrell, I.D. | 5.10    | 1993 | The Physiological Status of Legionella pneumophila and Its Susceptibility to Chemical Inactivation, in Legionella: Current Status and Emerging Perspectives, ed. Barbaree, J.M., Breiman, R.F. and Dufour, A.P., pages 259 – 260. Non-GLP/Published | N | Public             |
|--|---------|------|---|---|--------------------|
| Birnschein K.  | 3.11    | 2008 | Flammability (solids) of PHMB P100 PC   | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Birnschein K.  | 3.17    | 2008 | Reactivity of PHMB P100 PC (Poly(HexaMethyleneBiguanide), hydrochloride) towards the Container Material after Accelerated Storage at 54°C for 2 Weeks   | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Bratt, H.,<br>Hathway, D.E.  | 6.2     | 1976 | Characterisation of the urinary polymer-related material from rats given poly(biguanide-1,5-diylhexamethylene hydrochloride). Imperial Chemical Industries Linited report. Makromol. Chem. 177, 2591-2605 (1976)                                    | N | Published          |
| Broxton, P.,<br>Woodcock,<br>P.M., Gilber, P.                                      | 5.10    | 1983 | A study of the antibacterial activity of some polyhexamethylene biguanides towards Escherichia coli ATCC 8739 Journal of Applied Bacteriology, 1983, 54, p. 345 – 353. Non-GLP/Published.   | N | Public             |
|  | 7.4.3.2 | 2013 | PHMB Fish Early Life Stage Toxicity Test for Fathead Minnow   | Υ |                    |
| Button S.G.  | 7.2.3.1 | 2013 | PHMB Adsorption/Desorption in five Soils  |   | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Caron C.   | 3.5     | 1995 | Series 63, Physical and chemical characteristics - 63.8.<br>Solubility of pure PHMB   |   | MAREVA             |
| Caron C.   | 3.7     | 1995 | Series 63, Physical and chemical characteristics - 63.8.<br>Solubility of pure PHMB   |   | MAREVA             |

| Chen J.                       | 6.12.1  | 2004                      | Report on Health Effects of PHMB in Humans - U.S. EPA Office of Pesticide Programs, Antimicrobials Division | N | Published          |
|-------------------------------|---------|---------------------------|---|---|--------------------|
| Chen J.                       | 6.18_03 | 2003                      | PHMB - 2nd Report of the Hazard Identification Assessment Review Committee.                                 | N | Published          |
| Cohen S.M. and<br>Creppy E.E. | 6.10.5  | 2015                      | Evaluation of PHMB-induced Rodent Tumors and Assessment of Human Relevance (Position Paper)                 | Y | Laboratoire PAREVA |
|                               | 6.1.2   | 2012                      | Evaluation of acute dermal toxicity in rats   | Υ |                    |
| Creppy E.E.                   | 6.10.1b | 2012                      | Etude in vitro des possibles propriétés Epigénétiques du PHMB   | Y | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Creppy E.E. et al.            | 6.10.1a | 2014                      | Study of Epigenetic Properties of Poly(HexaMethylene<br>Biguanide) Hydrochloride (PHMB)                     | N | Published          |
| Cros D.                       | 2.1     | 2013                      | Formulation composition statement of trades names   | Y | LABORATOIRE PAREVA |
| Cros D.                       | 2.1     | 2013                      | MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEET OF PHMB P20 PC   | Υ | LABORATOIRE PAREVA |
| Cros D.                       | 2.1     | 2013                      | MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEET OF PHMB P20 SP   | Υ | LABORATOIRE PAREVA |
| Cros D.                       | 2.1     | 2013                      | MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEET OF PHMB P20 TX   | Υ | LABORATOIRE PAREVA |
| Cros D.                       | 2.1     | 2013                      | MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEET OF PHMB P2056  | Y | LABORATOIRE PAREVA |
| Cros D.                       | 2.1     | 2013                      | MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEET OF PHMBG   | Υ | LABORATOIRE PAREVA |
| Cros D.                       | 2.1     | 2013                      | MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEET OF PHMB P20 D  | Υ | LABORATOIRE PAREVA |
| Cros D.                       | 2.1     | 2013                      | MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEET OF REVACIL   | Υ | LABORATOIRE PAREVA |
| Cros D.                       | 2.4.1   | 2007<br>2012<br>(updated) | Laboratoire PAREVA: active subtance Polyhexamethylene biguanidine (PHMB) Information about CAS number       | Υ | LABORATOIRE PAREVA |

| Cros D.                    | 2.5.1 | 2007<br>2012<br>(updated) | Laboratoire PAREVA: active subtance Polyhexamethylene biguanidine (PHMB) Information about CAS number  | Υ | LABORATOIRE PAREVA |
|----------------------------|-------|---------------------------|--|---|--------------------|
| Cros D.                    | 2.5.2 | 2012                      | Synthesis of PHMB radiolabelled with 14C ([14C]PHMB).<br>Technical data on the final product obtained  | Υ | LABORATOIRE PAREVA |
| Cros D.                    | 2.6   | 2013                      | Description of the manufacturing process of the active substance PHMB followed by Laboratoire PAREVA   | Υ | LABORATOIRE PAREVA |
| Cros D.                    | 2.7   | 2012                      | Synthesis of PHMB radiolabelled with 14C ([14C]PHMB).<br>Technical data on the final product obtained  | Υ | LABORATOIRE PAREVA |
| Cros D.                    | 2.8   | 2014                      | Summary of the batch references used in Toxicological and ecotoxicological studies   | Υ | LABORATOIRE PAREVA |
| Cros D.                    | 4.1   | 2014                      | Reference DCI document. Request for additional information. Point No 5   | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Cros D.                    | 4.2   | 2014                      | Reference DCI document. Request for additional information. Point No 8   | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Cros D.                    | 4.2   | 2014                      | Reference DCI document. Request for additional information. Point No 8   | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Curl M.G                   | 7.3.1 | 2007                      | Computer modelled properties of PHMB using EPI Suite ™   | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Curl M.G.                  | 3.15  | 2007a                     | Expert statement on the explosive properties of poly(hexamethylenebiguanide) hydrochloride (PHMB)  | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Curl M.G.                  | 3.16  | 2007b                     | Expert statement on the oxidizing properties of poly(hexamethylenebiguanide) hydrochloride (PHMB)  | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Davies, A.,<br>Field, B.S. | 5.10  | 1969                      | Action of Biguanides, Phenols and Detergents on Escherichia coli and its spheroplasts. J. appl. Bact., 1969, 32, p. 233 – 243. Non-GLP/Published | N | Public             |

| Dawson, M.W.,<br>Brown, T., Till,<br>D. | 5.10       | 1983 | The effect of Baquacil on pathogenic free-living amoebae (PFLA) 1. In axenic conditions  New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research, 1983, Vol. 17, p. 305 - 311  Non-GLP/Published | N | Public             |
|---|------------|------|--|---|--------------------|
| DeMatteo V.                             | 2.1        | 2010 | Preliminary Analysis of Polyhexamethylene Biguanide (PHMB) Solid   | Υ | LABORATOIRE PAREVA |
| DeMatteo V.                             | 2.2        | 2010 | Preliminary Analysis of Polyhexamethylene Biguanide (PHMB) Solid   | Υ | LABORATOIRE PAREVA |
| DeMatteo V.                             | 2.5.1      | 2010 | Preliminary Analysis of Polyhexamethylene Biguanide (PHMB) Solid   | Υ | LABORATOIRE PAREVA |
| DeMatteo V.                             | 2.5.2      | 2010 | Preliminary Analysis of Polyhexamethylene Biguanide (PHMB) Solid   | Υ | LABORATOIRE PAREVA |
| DeMatteo V.                             | 2.5.3      | 2010 | Preliminary Analysis of Polyhexamethylene Biguanide (PHMB) Solid   | Υ | LABORATOIRE PAREVA |
| DeMatteo V.                             | 2.7        | 2010 | Preliminary Analysis of Polyhexamethylene Biguanide (PHMB) Solid   | Υ | LABORATOIRE PAREVA |
| DeMatteo V.                             | 2.8        | 2010 | Preliminary Analysis of Polyhexamethylene Biguanide (PHMB) Solid   | Υ | LABORATOIRE PAREVA |
| DeMatteo V.                             | 4.1        | 2010 | Preliminary Analysis of Polyhexamethylene Biguanide (PHMB) Solid   | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |
|   | 7.4.1.1    | 2013 | PHMB: Acute Toxicity to Rainbow Trout  | Υ |                    |
| Dickinson R.A.                          | 7.4.1.2    | 2013 | PHMB: Acute Toxicity to Daphnia Magna  | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Dickinson R.A.                          | 7.4.1.3    | 2013 | PHMB Algal Growth Inhibition Assay   | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Dickinson R.A.                          | 7.4.3.4    | 2013 | PHMB: Daphnia Magna Reproduction Toxicity Test   | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Eckenstein H.                           | 7.5.1.1-01 | 2013 | Poly HexaMethylene Biguanide, hydrochloride (PHMB):<br>Effects on Soil Microflora Activity   | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Eckenstein H.                           | 7.5.1.1-02 | 2013 | Poly HexaMethylene Biguanide, hydrochloride (PHMB):<br>Effects on Soil Microflora Activity   | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Eisner G.                               | 7.1.2.1.1  | 2013 | Poly HexaMethylene Biguanide, hydrochloride (PHMB):<br>Elimination and Primary Biodegradation in an Activated<br>Sludge Simulation Test  | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |

| Feil N.   | 7.1.1.2.1 | 2009<br>(revised<br>date 2014) | Ready Biodegradability of PHMB P100 PC in a CO2 headspace Test   | Y | Laboratoire PAREVA |
|---|-----------|--------------------------------|--|---|--------------------|
| Ferte C.  | 6.12.3    |                                | Study on PolyHexaMethylène Biguanidine impact (PHMB) and/or its manufacturing process impact on the workshop staff   | Y | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Ferte C.  | 6.12.6    |                                | Study on PolyHexaMethylène Biguanidine impact (PHMB) and/or its manufacturing process impact on the workshop staff   | Y | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Gaylarde, C.C.,<br>Johnston, J.M.               | 5.10      | 1984                           | Some recommendations for sulphate-reducing bacteria biocide tests.  JOCCA, 1984 (12), p. 305 – 309.  Non-GLP/Published.  | N | Public             |
| Gilbert, P.,<br>Pemberton, D.,<br>Wilkinson, D. | 5.10      | 1990                           | Synergism within the polyhexamethylene biguanide biocide formulations.  Journal of Applied Bacteriology 1990, 69, p. 593 – 598.  Non-GLP/Published.  | N | Public             |
| Gilbert, P.,<br>Pemberton, D.,<br>Wilkinson, D. | 5.10      | 1990                           | Barrier properties of the Gram-negative cell envelope towards high molecular weight polyhexamethylene biguanides.  Journal of Applied Bacteriology 1990, 69, p. 585 – 592.  Non-GLP/Published. | N | Public             |
| Giordanengo A.                                  | 4.2       | 2014                           | Validation of an ELISA method for the quantification of PHMB in water  | Y | Laboratoire PAREVA |

| Goeres D.M,<br>Palys T., Sandel<br>B.B, Geiger J.                       | 5.10     | 2004      | Evaluation of disinfectant efficacy against biofilm and suspended bacteria in a laboratory swimming pool model. Water Research, 38 (2004), p. 3103 – 3109 Non-GLP/ Published.  | N | Public             |
|---|----------|-----------|--|---|--------------------|
|   | 6.3.3_01 | 2013      | PHMB: Dose Range Finding Inhalation Toxicity Study (Nose-Only) in the rat  | Υ |                    |
| Grosz M.  | 6.3.3_02 | 2013      | PHMB: 28-Day Inhalation Toxicity Study (Nose-Only) in the rat  |   | Laboratoire PAREVA |
|   | 6.1.3    | 2012      | Acute Inhalation Toxicity Study (Nose-only) in the Rat according to OECD 403 guideline   | Y |                    |
| Harmand, M.F.   | 6.6.1    | 2002      | Reverse Mutation Assay on Salmonella typhimurium his and Escherichia coli,   | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |
|   | 6.6.2    | 2002      | In-vitro mammalian chromosome aberration test using Chinese Hamster Ovary Cells (CHO)  | Υ |                    |
| Harmand, M.F.   | 6.6.2    | 2002-2012 | Historical Controls  | N | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Harmand, M.F.   | 6.6.3    | 2002      | In-vitro mammalian cell gene mutation test   | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Ismael, N., Furr,<br>J.R., Russell,<br>A.D.                             | 5.10     | 1987      | Inhibitory and lethal effects of chlorhexidine and a polymeric biguanide on some strains of Providencia stuartii.  Letters in Applied Microbiology, 1987, 5, p. 23 – 26.  Non-GLP/Published  Journal of Applied Bacteriology 1990, 69, p. 585 – 592.  Non-GLP/Published. | N | Public             |
| Kusnetsov, J.M.,<br>Tulkki, A.I.,<br>Ahonen, H.E.,<br>Martikainen, P.J. | 5.10     | 1977      | Efficacy of three prevention stages against legionella in cooling water systems Journal of Applied Microbiology 1997, 82, p. 763 – 768 Non-GLP/Published   | N | Public             |

| L'Haridon J.          | 7.1.1.2.1 | 2002b | PHMB P20 D: Determination of Ready Biodegradability Closed Bottle test (study 23441 ECS)   | Y | Laboratoire PAREVA |
|-----------------------|-----------|-------|--|---|--------------------|
| Laboratoire<br>PAREVA | 8         | 2013  | MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEET OF PHMB P20 D<br>According to Annex I of Regulation 453/2010  | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Laboratoire<br>PAREVA | 2.7       | 2011  | PHMB from Laboratoire PAREVA: Summary of the available data  | Y | LABORATOIRE PAREVA |
| Laboratoire<br>PAREVA | 2.7       | 2014  | Answer to additional information: Point No. 1 Content in PHMB < 1000 Da  | Υ | LABORATOIRE PAREVA |
| Laboratoire<br>PAREVA | 2.7       | 2014  | Answer to additional information: Point No. 2 Certified range values of active substance and impurities  | Υ | LABORATOIRE PAREVA |
| L'Haridon J.          | 7.4.1.4   | 2002  | Activated Sludge, Respiration Inhibition Test  | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Lonza                 | 2.1       | 2012  | MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEET OF LONZABAC™ PC   | Υ | LABORATOIRE PAREVA |
| Lonza                 | 2.1       | 2012  | MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEET OF LONZABAC™ BG   | Υ | LABORATOIRE PAREVA |
| Maher M.              | 3.11      | 2013  | PHMB P100 Analysis – Relative Self-Ignition Temperature of a Solid According to EC Physico-Chemical Test A16   | Y | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Maher M.              | 3.4.3     | 2012  | PHMB Batch Characterisation (Bx 111077)  | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |
|                       | 6.8.2_02  | 2015  | PolyHexaMethylene Biguanide hydrochloride (PHMB): Two Generation Reproduction Toxicity Study by Oral route (Through Drinking Water) in Wistar Rats. Advinus Therapeutics Ltd., Study No. G8974.  | Υ |                    |
|                       | 6.3.1_02  | 2014  | PolyHexaMethylene Biguanide hydrochloride (PHMB): Combined Repeated Dose Toxicity Study with the Reproduction/Developmental Toxicity Screening Test by Oral Route (Through Drinking Water) in Wistar Rats. Advinus Therapeutics Ltd., Study No. G8973. 20 November 2014 (unpublished). | Y |                    |

|                                     | 6.4.1_02 | 2014 | PolyHexaMethylene Biguanide hydrochloride (PHMB): Combined Repeated Dose Toxicity Study with the Reproduction/Developmental Toxicity Screening Test by Oral Route (Through Drinking Water) in Wistar Rats. Advinus Therapeutics Ltd., Study No. G8973. 20 November 2014 (unpublished). | Y |                    |
|-------------------------------------|----------|------|--|---|--------------------|
|                                     | 6.8.2_01 | 2014 | PolyHexaMethylene Biguanide hydrochloride (PHMB): Combined Repeated Dose Toxicity Study with the Reproduction/Developmental Toxicity Screening Test by Oral Route (Through Drinking Water) in Wistar Rats. Advinus Therapeutics Ltd., Study No. G8973. 20 November 2014 (unpublished). | Y |                    |
|                                     | 6.7_04a  | 2014 | Evaluation of Liver and Thyroid Proliferative Lesions from the Pareva PHMB P100 Two-Year Rat Study   | Υ |                    |
| Ministère du redressement productif | 4.2      | 2013 | Certificat de conformité aux bonnes pratiques de laboratoire   | Y | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Morpeth, F.                         | 5.10     | 1993 | Polyhexanide Revisited. SPC March 1993, p. 37 – 39. Non-GLP/Published Polyhexanide Revisited. SPC March 1993, p. 37 – 39. Non-GLP/Published Polyhexanide Revisited. SPC March 1993, p. 37 – 39. Non-GLP/Published  | N | Public             |
|                                     | 6.7_04b  | 2014 | Pathology Peer review & Expert Opinion Consensus of the "Combined Chronic Toxicity and Carcinogenicity Study with PHMB P100 in Wistar Rats"  | Y |                    |
| N/A                                 | 2.10     | 2015 | EUSES files  | Υ | LABORATOIRE PAREVA |

| Naik, V., Varde,<br>S., Hindley, P.,<br>Yeates, T.,<br>Kundu, S. | 5.10      | 2003 | Study of a biocide (Vantocil-IB) for aerial and surface disinfection Asian Jr. of Microbiol. Biotech. Env. Sc., Vol. 5, No. 4, p. 483 – 486. Non-GLP/Published. | N | Public             |
|--|-----------|------|---|---|--------------------|
| O'Connor B.J.,<br>Wolley S.M.                                    | 3.9       | 2007 | PHMB P20D Poly(HexaMethylene Biguanide), hydrochloride: DETERMINATION OF NUCLEAR MAGNETIC RESONANCE SPECTRA AND PARTITION COEFFICIENT                           | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |
|  | 4.2       | 2014 | Poly(HexaMethylene Biguanide), hydrochloride (PHMB):<br>Analysis in rat faeces  | Υ |                    |
|  | 4.2       | 2014 | Poly(HexaMethylene Biguanide), hydrochloride (PHMB):<br>Analysis in rat urines  | Y |                    |
| Padel L.   | 4.2       | 2014 | Poly(HexaMethylene Biguanide), hydrochloride (PHMB): analysis in serums.  | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Pawsey B.  | 7.4.3.5.1 | 2015 | PHMB: Toxicity to the Sediment-Dwelling Phase of the Midge Chironomus riparius  | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |
|  | 6.8.1_02  | 2010 | Prenatal Developmental Toxicity study of PHMB [Poly (HexaMethyleneBiguanide), hydrochloride] in New Zealand White Rabbit  | Y |                    |
|  | 6.7_02    | 2012 | Certificate of Toxicological Evaluation regarding the Combined chronic Toxicity and Carcinogenicity study with PHMB P100 in Wistar Rat (OECD 453).              | Y |                    |
|  | 6.5_02    | 2012 | Certificate of Toxicological Evaluation regarding the Combined chronic Toxicity and Carcinogenicity study with PHMB P100 in Wistar Rat (OECD 453).              | Υ |                    |
| Quotient<br>Bioresearch  | 7.4.2     | 2013 | High Performance Liquid Chromatogram, Batch CFQ41501, Graph, 2013 03 20   | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |

| Quotient<br>Bioresearch | 7.4.2    | 2013  | High Performance Liquid Chromatogram, Batch CFQ41501, Excel Spreadsheet list  | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |
|-------------------------|----------|-------|---|---|--------------------|
| Quotient<br>Bioresearch | 7.4.2    | 2013  | High Performance Liquid Chromatogram, Batch CFQ41501, Excel Spreadsheet list  | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |
|                         | 6.7_01   | 2012  | Combined Chronic Toxicity/Carcinogenicity Study with PHMB P100 in Wistar rats   | Y |                    |
|                         | 6.4.1_01 | 2012  | Combined Chronic Toxicity/Carcinogenicity Study with PHMB P100 in Wistar rats.  | Y |                    |
|                         | 6.5_01   | 2012  | Combined Chronic Toxicity/Carcinogenicity Study with PHMB P100 in Wistar rats.  | Y |                    |
|                         | 6.1.1    | 2011  | Evaluation of acute oral toxicity in rats: Acute toxic class method.  | Υ |                    |
|                         | 6.1.4_01 | 2008  | Skin irritation test in the rabbit  | Y |                    |
| Richeux F.              | 6.1.4_01 | 2008  | Assessment of Acute Dermal Irritation - Study Plan  | Y | Laboratoire PAREVA |
|                         | 6.1.4_02 | 2008  | Eye irritation test in the rabbit   | Υ |                    |
|                         | 6.1.5    | 2011  | Assessment of sensitive properties on albino guinea pigs:<br>Maximisation test according to Magnusson and Kligman           | Y |                    |
| Shamim, A.N             | 6.15.3   | 2003  | RED Chapter: PHMB Dietary Exposure Assessments for he Reregistration Eligibility Decision (OPPTS 248.3000) USA, EPA review. | N | Published          |
| Smeykal H.              | 3.1.1    | 2007a | PHMB P100 PC Batch No.: 5519 MELTING POINT (A.1.)<br>OECD 102   | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Smeykal H.              | 3.1.2    | 2007b | PHMB P100 PC Batch No.: 5519 BOILING POINT (A.2.) OECD 103  | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Smeykal H.              | 3.10     | 2007  | Thermal Stability (OECD 113) of PHMB P100 PC Batch N°5519   | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Smeykal H.              | 3.2      | 2007c | PHMB P100 PC Batch No.: 5519 VAPOUR PRESSURE (A.4.) OECD 104  | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Stabler D.              | 7.5.1.2  | 2007  | Acute Toxicity of PHMB P20 D on Earthworms, Eisenia fetida Using an Artificial Soil Test                                    | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |

|          | 6.3.2_01 | 2013  | Preliminary Toxicity Study by Dermal Administration to Sprague-Dawley Rats for 2 Weeks; Huntingdon Life Sciences, Study number SSF0007, 18 December 2013         | Υ |                    |
|----------|----------|-------|--|---|--------------------|
|          | 6.3.2_02 | 2014  | PHMB: Toxicity Study by Dermal Administration to Sprague-<br>Dawley Rats for 4 Weeks; Huntingdon Life Sciences, Study<br>number SSF0008, 27 March 2014           | Y |                    |
|          | 6.3.1    | 2009  | PHMB P100: 28-day drinking water administration toxicity study in Wistar Rats  | Y |                    |
| Thery F. | 5.10     | 2009  | Determination de l'activité bactericide de base selon la norme NF EN 1040 .  | Y | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Thery F. | 5.10     | 2009  | Evaluation de l'activité fongicide du produit « PHMB » selon la norme NF EN 1275 (Avril 2006) – Méthode par filtration sur membrane                              | Y | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Thery F. | 5.10     | 2009  | Evaluation de l'activité fongicide du produit « PHMB » selon la méthodologue décrite dans la norme NF EN 1275 (Avril 2006)                                       | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Thom M.  | 2.1      | 2007  | Determination of PHMB (Poly(HexaMethyleneBiguanide), hydrochloride) in Five Batches of PHMB P20 D Eurofins-GAB GmbH Study code: 20071197/01-PC5B GLP/Unpublished | Υ | LABORATOIRE PAREVA |
| Thom M.  | 2.3      | 2007  | Determination of PHMB (Poly(HexaMethyleneBiguanide), hydrochloride) in Five Batches of PHMB P20 D Eurofins-GAB GmbH Study code: 20071197/01-PC5B GLP/Unpublished | Υ | LABORATOIRE PAREVA |
| Thom M.  | 3.1.3    | 2007a | Relative density of PHMB P100 PC (Poly(HexaMethyleneBiguanide), hydrochloride)   | Y | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Thom M.  | 3.13     | 2007c | Surface Tension of PHMB P100 PC (Poly(HexaMethyleneBiguanide), hydrochloride)  | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Thom M.  | 3.3.1    | 2007b | Physical State, Colour and Odour of PHMB P100 PC (Poly(HexaMethyleneBiguanide), hydrochloride)   | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |

| Thom M.     | 3.3.2     | 2007b | Physical State, Colour and Odour of PHMB P100 PC (Poly(HexaMethyleneBiguanide), hydrochloride)  | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |
|-------------|-----------|-------|---|---|--------------------|
| Thom M.     | 3.3.3     | 2007b | Physical State, Colour and Odour of PHMB P100 PC (Poly(HexaMethyleneBiguanide), hydrochloride)  | Y | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Thom M.     | 3.4.1     | 2008  | UV/VIS Absorption Spectrum and Infrared Absorption-<br>Spectrum of PHMB P100 PC<br>(Poly(HexaMethyleneBiguanide), hydrochloride)  | Y | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Thom M.     | 3.4.2     | 2008  | UV/VIS Absorption Spectrum and Infrared Absorption-<br>Spectrum of PHMB P100 PC<br>(Poly(HexaMethyleneBiguanide), hydrochloride)  | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Thom M.     | 4.1       | 2007  | Validation of Analytical Method for the determination of PHMB (Poly(HexaMethyleneBiguanide), hydrochloride) in drinking water "EOSIN Method", Eurofins-GAB GmbH Study code: 20071121/01-PCVE, GLP/Unpublished | Y | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Thom M.     | 4.1       | 2007  | Determination of PHMB (Poly(HexaMethyleneBiguanide), hydrochloride) in Five Batches of PHMB P20 D Eurofins-GAB GmbH Study code: 20071197/01-PC5B GLP/Unpublished  | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| THOR        | 2.1       | 2007  | ACTICIDE® PHB 20 Product Information  | Υ | LABORATOIRE PAREVA |
| THOR GmbH   | 2.1       | 2007  | Material Safety Data Sheet ACTICIDE PHB 20  | Υ | LABORATOIRE PAREVA |
| Tiedje M.H. | 3.6       | 1995  | Dissociation Constant(s) of PolyHexaMethylene Biguanide hydrochloride (PHMB)  |   | MAREVA             |
| Truslove N. | 2.5.2     | 2011  | PHMB 5-Batch Characterisation-FTIR Spectra  | Υ | LABORATOIRE PAREVA |
| Truslove N. | 2.5.2     | 2011  | 5-Batch Analysis Proton NMR Spectra   | Y | LABORATOIRE PAREVA |
| Truslove N. | 2.5.2     | 2011  | PHMB 5-Batch Characterisation-UV/Visible Spectra  | Y | LABORATOIRE PAREVA |
| Truslove N. | 3.4.3     | 2011  | PHMB 5-Batch Characterisation - Proton NMR Spectra  | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Ulbert O.   | 7.1.1.1.1 | 2013  | PHMB: Determination of the Hydrolysis as a Function of pH (Preliminary Test)  | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |

| Wallace, M   | 5.10      | 2001  | Testing the Efficacy of Polyhexamethylene Biguanide as an Antimicrobial Treatment for Cotton Fabric. AATCC Review, Novemer 2001, p. 18 – 20. Non-GLP/Published | N | Public             |
|--------------|-----------|-------|--|---|--------------------|
| Walther D.   | 7.1.2.2.2 | 2013a | Poly HexaMethylene Biguanide, hydrochloride (PHMB):<br>Route and Rate of Degradation of [14C]PHMB in Aerobic<br>Aquatic Sediment Systems                       | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Walther D.   | 7.2.1     | 2013b | PolyHexaMethylene Biguanide (PHMB):<br>degradation and metabolism in four soils of [14C]PHMB<br>incubated under aerobic conditions                             | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Walther D.   | 7.2.2.1   | 2013b | PolyHexaMethylene Biguanide (PHMB):<br>degradation and metabolism in four soils of [14C]PHMB<br>incubated under aerobic conditions                             | Y | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Wedemeyer N. | 7.5.1.3   | 2008  | Seedling Emergence Limit Test for Non-Target Plants Following one Application of PHMB on Six Species of Plants   | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Wedemeyer N. | 7.5.1.3   | 2008  | Vegetative Vigour Limit Test for Non-Target Plants<br>Following One Application of PHMB on Six Species of<br>Plants  | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Witte A.     | 3.4.4     | 2008  | Developement of an analytical method for determination of PHMB in water and soil   | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Witte A.     | 4.2       | 2008  | Developement of an analytical method for determination of PHMB in water and soil   | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |
|              | 6.18_01   | 2004  | POLYHEXAMETHYLENE BIGUANIDE (PHMB) RED DOCUMENT  | N | Published          |
|              | 6.18_02   | 2002  | Polyhexamethylene biguanide (PHMB): Toxicology<br>Disciplinary Chapter for the Reregistration Eligibility<br>Decision Document                                 | N | Published          |

## **List of studies for the reference product**

| Author     | Section No | Year | Title   | Data protection claimed | Owner of data      |
|------------|------------|------|---|-------------------------|--------------------|
| AVANTOR    | 2.2        | 2012 | MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEET OF<br>HYDROCHLORIC ACID  | Y                       | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| CARON C.   | 3.5        | 1995 | 63.12.pH of the End Used Product, Révacil   | Y                       | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| CARON C.   | 3.7        | 1995 | Long term stability of REVACIL  | Y                       | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| CARON C.   | 3.10       | 1995 | 63.12.pH of the End Used Product, Révacil   | Y                       | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| CARRARA M. | 3.7        | 2014 | Accelerated stability study at 54°C for 14 days on the test item PHMB P20D (polyhexamethylene biguanide, hydrochloride at 20%)  | Y                       | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Carrara S. | 4.1        | 2014 | Set up and validation of an HPLC/MS method for the identification and quantification of active ingredient polyhemathylene biguanide hydrochloride (PHMB) in the test item PHMB P20D (polyhexamethylene biguanide, hydrochloride at 20%) | Υ                       | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Cros D.    | 2.1        | 2013 | Formulation composition statement   | Y                       | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Cros D.    | 2.2        | 2013 | Formulation composition statement   | Υ                       | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Cros D.    | 2.2        | 2013 | MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEET OF SURFACIL-<br>TC<br>According to Annex I of Regulation 453/2010  | Y                       | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Cros D.    | 2.3        | 2013 | Certificate of analysis   | Y                       | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Cros D.    | 3.1        | 2013 | Certificate of analysis   | Y                       | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Cros D.    | 3.1        | 2013 | Certificate of analysis   | Y                       | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Cros D.    | 3.5        | 2013 | Certificate of analysis   | Y                       | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Cros D.    | 3.6        | 2013 | Certificate of analysis   | Y                       | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Cros D.    | 3.6        | 2013 | Certificate of analysis   | Y                       | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Cros D.    | 3.7        | 2014 | Long Term Stability of 20%-PHMB solutions.  | Y                       | Laboratoire PAREVA |

| Curl M.G              | 3.2        | 2007 | Expert statement on the explosive properties of poly(hexamethylene biguanide) hydrochloride (PHMB) Point 3.11   | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |
|-----------------------|------------|------|---|---|--------------------|
| Curl M.G              | 3.3        | 2007 | Expert statement on the oxidising properties of poly(hexamethylene biguanide) hydrochloride PHMB Point 3.12   | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Curl M.G.             | 3.4        | 2007 | Expert statement on the flammability of poly(hexamethylenebiguanide) hydrochloride (PHMB) point 3.9   | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| De Castro J.          | 3.7        | 2014 | Accelerated Stability study for 1 week at 0°C on the test item PHMB P20D (PolyHexaMethylene Biguanide Hydrochloride at 20%)   | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| De Castro J.          | 3.8        | 2014 | Persistent Foaming Analysis on Five sample batches containing different amounts of Polyhexamethylene Biguanide Hydrochloride (PHMB) according to CIPAC MT 47.1 and 47.2 Methods | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |
|                       | 6.1.3_01   | 2012 | Acute Inhalation Toxicity Study (Nose-only) in the Rat according to OECD 403 guideline  | Υ |                    |
| L'Haridon J.          | 7.7.1.1_04 | 2002 | PHMB P20 D: Activated sludge, respiration inhibition test   | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Laboratoire<br>PAREVA | 3.5        | 2007 | Analytical method - pH  | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Laboratoire<br>PAREVA | 3.6        | 2007 | Analytical method - density   | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Laboratoire<br>PAREVA | 6.6_02     | 2013 | SURFACIL-TC Technical Concentrate for hard surface disinfection Technical Data Sheet  | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Laboratoire<br>PAREVA | 8          | 2013 | MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEET OF SURFACIL-<br>TC<br>According to Annex I of Regulation 453/2010  | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |

| Lanata M.                 | 3.7        | 2015  | Shelf life stability study at 25°C/60°C RH for 24 months on the test item "PHMB P20D (Polyhexamethylene biguanide, hydrochloride at 20%) | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |
|---------------------------|------------|-------|--|---|--------------------|
| Lopez B.                  | 6.4        | 2013  | In vitro derma penetration of PHMB across human skin according to OECD 428 Guideline   | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Martelle I.               | 7.7.1.1_01 | 2002a | Acute toxicity in freshwater fish (96 hours) – 0.1, 1 and 10 mg/L Oncorhynchus mykiss  | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Martelle I.               | 7.7.1.1_02 | 2002b | Acute toxicity in Daphnia 48 hours – 1, 10, 100 mg/L Daphnia magna   | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Mazzei N.                 | 3.11       | 2014  | Surface Tension on the sample PHMB P20 D(Poly(HexaMethyleneBiguanide), hydrochloride at 20%)   | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Panaiva L.                | 3.10       | 2010  | DETERMINATION OF THE CINEMATIC VISCOSITY OF PHMB P20 D: 5-BATCH ANALYSIS   | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Paradis B.                | 7.7.1.1_03 | 2002  | Algal inhibition test (72 hours) – 0.01, 0.05, 0.1 mg/L Selenastrum capricornutum  | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |
|                           | 6.1.1      | 2002  | Assessment of acute oral toxicity in rats: Acute toxic class method.   | Υ |                    |
|                           | 6.1.2      | 2002  | Assessment of acute dermal toxicity in rats.   | Υ |                    |
| Richeux F.                | 6.2_01     | 2002  | Assessment of acute irritant/corrosive effect on the skin.   | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Richeux F.                | 6.2_02     | 2002  | Assessment of acute irritant/corrosive effect on the eyes.   | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |
|                           | 6.3        | 2002  | Assessment of sensitising properties on albino guinea pig: Maximisation test according to Magnusson & Kligman                            | Υ |                    |
| RIVM -<br>CONSEXPO        | 6.6_01     | 2006  | Cleaning Products Fact Sheet To assess the risks for the consumer  | N | Public             |
| Rondon C.,<br>Tiedje M.H. | 3.7        | 1995  | Corrosion Characteristics of Polyhexamethylene<br>Biguanide Hydrochloride (PHMB)   | Y | Laboratoire PAREVA |

| Stabler D. | 7.8.4  | 2007 | Acute toxicity of PHMB P20 D on Earthworms,<br>Eisenia fetida Using an Artificial soil test   | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |
|------------|--------|------|---|---|--------------------|
| Tessier V. | 6.6_03 | 2014 | Determination of the residual PHMB after simple rinsing operation of treated surface  | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Thery F.   | 5.10   | 2011 | Détermination de l'activité bactéricide de base<br>du produit « PHMB P20 D »<br>selon la norme NF EN 1040 (Avri I 2006)<br>- Conditions obligatoires -                                      | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Thery F.   | 5.10   | 2011 | Détermination de l'activité levuricide de base du produit « PHMB P20 D » selon la norme NF EN 1275 (Avril 2006) - Conditions obligatoires -   | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Thery F.   | 5.10   | 2011 | Détermination de l'activité bactéricide<br>du produit « PHMB P20 D »<br>selon la norme NF EN 1276 (Mars 2010)<br>- Conditions additionnelles spécifiques à l'usage -                        | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Thery F.   | 5.10   | 2011 | Détermination de l'activité bactéricide<br>du produit « PHMB P20 D »<br>selon la norme NF EN 1276 (Mars 2010)<br>- Activité bactéricide pour usages généraux -<br>- Conditions obligatoires | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Thery F.   | 5.10   | 2012 | Détermination de l'activité levuricide du produit « PHMB P20 D » selon la norme NF EN 1650 (Octobre 2008) - Activité bactéricide pour usages généraux Conditions obligatoires -             | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Thery F.   | 5.10   | 2011 | Détermination de l'activité bactéricide<br>du produit « PHMB P20 D »<br>selon la norme NF EN 1656 (Mars 2010)<br>- Activité bactéricide pour usages généraux -<br>- Conditions obligatoires | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Thom M.    | 3.7    | 2007 | Storage Stability of PHMB P20 D (Poly(HexamethyleneBiguanide), hydrochloride) at 4°C for 7 days   | Υ | Laboratoire PAREVA |

| Thom M.         | 3.11  | 2007c | Surface Tension of PHMB P100 PC (Poly(HexaMethyleneBiguanide), hydrochloride)  | Υ        | Laboratoire PAREVA |
|-----------------|-------|-------|--|----------|--------------------|
| Thom M.         | 4.1   | 2007  | Determination of PHMB (Poly(HexaMethyleneBiguanide), hydrochloride) in Five Batches of PHMB P20 D Eurofins-GAB GmbH Study code: 20071197/01-PC5B GLP/Unpublished | <b>Y</b> | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Thom M.         | 4.1   | 2007  | Validation of Analytical Method for the determination of PHMB  | Υ        | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Tiedje M.H.     | 3.7   | 1995  | Stability of Polyhexamethylene Biguanide<br>Hydrochloride (PHMB)   | Υ        | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Tremain S.      | 3.4   | 2007  | PHMB P20 D: POLY(HEXAMETHYLENE<br>BIGUANIDE), HYDROCHLORIDE<br>DETERMINATION OF AUTO-IGNITION<br>TEMPERATURE (LIQUIDS and GASES)                                 | Υ        | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Wedemeyer<br>N. | 7.8.6 | 2008  | Seedling Emergence Limit Test for Non - Target<br>Plants Following One Application of PHMB<br>on Six Species of Plants   | Υ        | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Wedemeyer<br>N. | 7.8.6 | 2008  | Vegetative Vigour Limit Test for Non - Target Plants Following One Application of PHMB on Six Species of Plants  | Υ        | Laboratoire PAREVA |
| Witte A.        | 4.2   | 2008  | Developement of an analytical method for determination of PHMB in water and soil   | Υ        | Laboratoire PAREVA |