

Helsinki, 03.10.2012

Final decision: TPE-D-0000002329-72-05/F

DECISION ON A TESTING PROPOSAL SET OUT IN A REGISTRATION PURSUANT TO ARTICLE 40(3) OF REGULATION (EC) NO 1907/2006**For bis(2-(2-butoxyethoxy)ethoxy)methane, CAS No 143-29-3 (EC No 205-598-9), registration number: [REDACTED]****Addressee: [REDACTED]**

The European Chemicals Agency (ECHA) has taken the following decision in accordance with the procedure set out in Articles 50 and 51 of Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 concerning the Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals (REACH Regulation).

I. Procedure

Pursuant to Article 40(1) of the REACH Regulation, ECHA has examined the following testing proposals submitted as part of the registration dossier in accordance with Articles 10(a)(ix) and 12(1)(e) thereof for bis(2-(2-butoxyethoxy)ethoxy)methane, CAS No. 143-29-3 (EC No. 205-598-9), by [REDACTED] (Registrant), latest submission number [REDACTED] for the tonnage band of 1000 tonnes or more per year:

- Developmental toxicity / teratogenicity study (OECD 414); and
- Two-generation reproduction toxicity study (OECD 416).

The present decision relates to the examination of the testing proposal for pre-natal developmental toxicity study. The testing proposal for the two-generation reproductive toxicity study is addressed in a separate decision although all testing proposals were initially addressed together in the same draft decision.

On 10 November 2010, pursuant to Article 40(1) of the REACH Regulation, ECHA initiated the examination of the testing proposals set out by the Registrant in the registration dossier for the substance mentioned above.

ECHA held a third party consultation for the testing proposals from 15 April 2011 until 30 May 2011. ECHA did receive information from third parties (see section III below).

On 8 February 2012 ECHA sent the draft decision to the Registrant and invited him to provide comments within 30 days of the receipt of the draft decision.

On 8 March 2012 ECHA received comments from the Registrant, in which it agreed with ECHA with regard to the pre-natal developmental toxicity study.

ECHA considered the comments received. The comment did not affect the outcome of the draft decision (see Section II. below).

On 14 June 2012 ECHA notified the Competent Authorities of the Member States of its draft

decision and invited them pursuant to Article 51(1) of the REACH Regulation to submit proposals to amend the draft decision within 30 days of the receipt of the notification.

Subsequently, Competent Authorities of the Member States submitted proposals for amendment to the draft decision. None of them concerned the request for the pre-natal developmental toxicity study.

On 18 June 2012 ECHA notified the Registrant of proposals for amendment to the draft decision and invited him pursuant to Article 51(5) of the REACH Regulation to provide comments on those proposals for amendment within 30 days of the receipt of the notification.

ECHA reviewed the proposals for amendment received and decided not to amend the draft decision.

On 30 July 2012 ECHA referred the draft decision to the Member State Committee.

On 15 August 2012, the Registrant provided comments on the proposed amendments. The Member State Committee took the comments of the Registrant into account.

The draft decision was split into two draft decision documents: one relating to the testing proposal for a two-generation reproductive toxicity study and one relating to the testing proposal for pre-natal developmental toxicity study.

A unanimous agreement of the Member State Committee on the draft decision relating to the pre-natal developmental toxicity study was reached on 3 September 2012 in a written procedure launched on 22 August 2012 and ECHA took the decision pursuant to Article 51(6) of the REACH Regulation.

This decision does not imply that the information provided by the Registrant in his registration dossier is in compliance with the REACH requirements. The decision does not prevent ECHA to initiate a compliance check on the present dossier at a later stage.

II. Testing required

The Registrant shall carry out the following proposed test pursuant to Article 40(3)(a) of the REACH Regulation using the indicated test method and the registered substance subject to the present decision:

Pre-natal developmental toxicity study in rats, oral route (Annex IX, 8.7.2., test method: EU B.31/OECD 414).

Pursuant to Articles 40(4) and 22 of the REACH Regulation, the Registrant shall submit to ECHA by **3 October** an update of the registration dossier containing the information required by this decision.

Data from a second pre-natal developmental toxicity study on another species is a standard information requirement according to Annex X, 8.7.2. of the REACH Regulation. The Registrant should firstly take into account the outcome of the pre-natal developmental toxicity on a first species and all other relevant available data to determine if the conditions are met for adaptations according to Annex X, 8.7. column 2, or according to Annex XI. If the Registrant considers that testing is necessary to fulfill this information requirement, he should include in the update of his dossier a testing proposal for a pre-natal developmental

toxicity study on a second species.

III. Statement of reasons

The decision of ECHA is based on the examination of the testing proposal submitted by the Registrant for the registered substance and scientific information submitted by third parties.

Pre-natal developmental toxicity study

a) Examination of the testing proposal

Pursuant to Article 40(3)(a) of the REACH Regulation, ECHA may require the Registrant to carry out the proposed test.

Pre-natal developmental toxicity studies are part of the standard information requirements as laid down in Annexes IX and X, section 8.7.2. of the REACH Regulation. The information on this endpoint is not available for the registered substance but needs to be present in the technical dossier to meet the information requirements. Consequently there is an information gap and it is necessary to provide information for this endpoint.

The Registrant did not specify the species and route to be used for testing. According to the test method EU B.31/OECD 414, the rat is the preferred rodent species, the rabbit the preferred non-rodent species and the test substance is usually administered orally. ECHA considers these default parameters appropriate and testing should be performed by the oral route with the rat as a first species to be used.

When considering the need for a testing proposal for a prenatal developmental toxicity study in a second species, the Registrant should take into account the outcome of the pre-natal developmental toxicity study on the first species and all available data to determine if the conditions are met for adaptations according to Annex X, 8.7. column 2, or according to Annex XI; for example if the substance meets the criteria for classification as toxic for reproduction Category 1B: May damage the unborn child (H360D), and the available data are adequate to support a robust risk assessment, or alternatively, if Weight of Evidence assessment of all relevant available data provides scientific justification that the study in a second species is not needed.

b) Consideration of the information received during third party consultation

ECHA received third party information concerning the testing proposal during the public consultation. For the reasons explained further below the information provided by third parties is not sufficient to fulfil this information requirement.

Third party information:

The third party has requested ECHA to evaluate the need to conduct this test in light of the results of the existing studies and other toxicological data, perform in vitro studies, apply QSAR (Qualitative or quantitative structure-activity relationship) classification models, use the results of the Extended One Generation Reproductive Toxicity Study (EOGRTS) to waive the developmental toxicity study (Prenatal Developmental Toxicity Study, OECD Guideline 414) and exposure considerations (TTC).

The third party has proposed a strategy for ECHA to consider before further tests on animals are requested. However, third parties were invited, as specified by Article 40(2) of the REACH Regulation to submit "scientifically valid information and studies that address the

relevant substance and hazard end-point, addressed by the testing proposal". As the proposal for a strategy as such cannot be regarded information or studies, ECHA concludes that this is not a sufficient basis to fulfil the data/information requirement.

Furthermore, the third party presented results from the QSAR classification model (i.e. "toxic" or "non-toxic") which are not suitable for the purposes of classification and labelling and/or risk assessment for the endpoint for which testing has been proposed to meet the information requirement (Annexes IX or X, 8.7.). The documentation provided is inadequate and it has not been shown if the scientific validity of the model has been established or not. Therefore, the conditions specified in Annex XI, 1.3. of the REACH Regulation for the application of QSARs are not met and the results cannot be used instead of testing. The submitted documents also indicate that the substance might be outside of the applicability domain of the model.

Therefore, ECHA concludes that on this occasion, the information submitted does not meet the conditions for the adaptation on the basis of QSAR models set out in Annex XI, Section 1.3. Therefore, it cannot constitute an acceptable adaptation to standard information requirements. When considering the need for a testing proposal for a prenatal developmental toxicity study in a second species, the Registrant should take into account the outcome of the pre-natal developmental toxicity study on the first species and all available data to determine if the conditions are met for adaptations according to Annex X, 8.7. column 2, or according to Annex XI; for example if the substance meets the criteria for classification as toxic for reproduction Category 1B: May damage the unborn child (H360D), and the available data are adequate to support a robust risk assessment, or alternatively, if Weight of Evidence assessment of all relevant available data provides scientific justification that the study in a second species is not needed.

c) Outcome

Therefore, pursuant to Article 40(3)(a) of the REACH Regulation, the Registrant is required to carry out the proposed study: Pre-natal developmental toxicity study in rats, oral route (test method: EU B.31/OECD 414) using the registered substance.

At any time, the Registrant shall take into account that there may be an obligation to make every effort to agree on sharing of information and costs with other Registrants.

d) Deadline for submitting the information

In the draft decision communicated to the Registrant the time indicated to provide the requested information was 30 months from the date of adoption of the decision. This period of time took into account the fact that the draft decision also requested a reproductive toxicity study according to the standard information requirement of Annex X, 8.7.3 of the REACH Regulation. As the testing proposal for this study is not addressed in the present decision, ECHA considers that a reasonable time period for providing the required information in the form of an updated IUCLID5 dossier is 12 months from the date of the adoption of the decision. The decision was therefore modified accordingly.

IV. Adequate identification of the composition of the tested material

It is important to ensure that the particular sample of substance tested in the new studies is appropriate to assess the properties of the registered substance, taking into account any variation in the composition of the technical grade of the substance as actually

manufactured. If the registration of the substance covers different grades, the sample used for the new studies must be suitable to assess these.

Furthermore, there must be adequate information on substance identity for the sample tested and the grade registered to enable the relevance of the studies to be assessed.

V. General requirements for the generation of information and Good Laboratory Practice

ECHA reminds registrants of the requirements of Article 13(4) of the REACH Regulation that ecotoxicological and toxicological tests and analyses shall be carried out in compliance with the principles of good laboratory practice (GLP).

According to Article 13(3) of the REACH Regulation, tests that are required to generate information on intrinsic properties of substances shall be conducted in accordance with the test methods laid down in a Commission Regulation or in accordance with other international test methods recognised by the Commission or the European Chemicals Agency as being appropriate. Thus, the Registrant shall refer to Commission Regulation (EC) No 440/2008 laying down test methods pursuant to Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 as adapted to technical progress or to other international test methods recognised as being appropriate and use the applicable test methods to generate the information on the endpoints indicated above.

VI. Information on right to appeal

An appeal may be brought against this decision to the Board of Appeal of ECHA under Article 51(8) of the REACH Regulation. Such appeal shall be lodged within three months of receiving notification of this decision. Further information on the appeal procedure can be found on the ECHA's internet page at http://echa.europa.eu/appeals/app_procedure_en.asp. The notice of appeal will be deemed to be filed only when the appeal fee has been paid.



Jukka Malm
Director of Regulatory Affairs