OPINION OF THE MEMBER STATE COMMITTEE
ON THE DRAFT ANNUAL
COMMUNITY ROLLING ACTION PLAN UPDATE
COVERING THE YEARS 2020-2022

According to Articles 44 and 45 of Regulation (EC) 1907/2006¹

Adopted on 10 December 2019

OPINION

MSC is of the opinion that the substances included in the draft annual CoRAP update for the years 2020-2022 listed in the Annex should be prioritised for further evaluation. There are grounds for considering that these substances may constitute a potential risk to human health and/or the environment. To clarify the concern and inform risk management, generation of new information may be required.

BASIS FOR THE OPINION

According to Article 44 of Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 (REACH) the Agency compiles a draft Community Rolling Action Plan (CoRAP) for a period of three years based on agreed selection criteria. The Member State Committee (MSC) provides an opinion on it and ECHA adopts the CoRAP update on the basis of this opinion.

The MSC used the draft CoRAP update 2020-2022 and associated justification documents developed by MSCAs as the basis for its opinion on the inclusion of the substances listed.

MSC notes that the draft CoRAP update 2020-2022 contained altogether 76 entries with 74 of them for evaluation in 2020-2022 and two to be withdrawn. Of these 74, 60 substances are divided for evaluation in 2021 and 2022, 14 being planned for evaluation in 2020.

The list contains 7 substances newly allocated to Member States. From the 74 substances currently included in the draft CoRAP update published on 23 October 2019, the respective Member State postponed the evaluation of 52 cases to later years mainly to await the arrival of new information. The designated evaluating Member States considered withdrawing of 2 cases as, based on new information or changes of circumstances, evaluation was of low priority or unnecessary.

The MSC assessed for each substance the following question:

Does the concern fulfil the agreed selection criteria?

- Does the concern given in the draft CoRAP update match with the justification stated in the justification document?
- Which of the selection criteria are fulfilled?
- If Article 45(5) is used as legal basis to propose the substance, does the justification document describe a risk based concern?
- Are there any inconsistencies in the justification document which may prevent inclusion of the substance in the CoRAP update?

The MSC verified that the agreed selection criteria are met for the new entries and any existing

---

2 The selection criteria to prioritise substances for Substance Evaluation can be found on the ECHA website on: https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/13628/background_doc_criteria_ed_32_2011_en.pdf/67441c3c-75be-4ecd-992e-b90ab2041805
entries with updated justification documents and supports the draft CoRAP annual update for the years 2020-2022. For the remaining entries the MSC has provided an opinion in the past and considers this as still valid. The draft CoRAP update table (Annex) includes the MSC conclusion for every substance.

**PROCESS FOR ADOPTION OF THE OPINION**

MSC nominated the rapporteur at MSC-65 to draft its opinion. On 10 October 2019 ECHA secretariat requested MSC to provide an opinion on the draft CoRAP update. The MSC discussed and agreed the draft opinion at MSC-67 on 10 December 2019.

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

**ANNEX**

Table of substances representing the draft CoRAP update 2020-2022 including criteria used for the proposal (grounds for concern/selection criteria met), legal basis and conclusion of MSC on application of selection criteria.