

Section A9**Classification and labelling****Annex Point II A, IX**

9.1 Current classification according to Directive 67/548/EEC	<p>The Applicant presented the current classification for Dichlofluanid in Doc II-A of their submission. This classification was as in Annex I of Council Directive 67/548/EEC ie:</p> <p>Class of Danger : Xn: Harmful; N: Dangerous for the environment.</p> <p>Risk Phrases: R20: Harmful by inhalation; R36: Irritating to eyes; R43: May cause sensitisation by skin contact; R50/R53: Very toxic to aquatic organisms; May cause long-term adverse effects in the aquatic environment.</p> <p>The Applicant also indicated that this classification would trigger the following safety phrases:</p> <p>S24: Avoid contact with skin; S37: Wear suitable gloves; S60: This material and/or its container must be disposed of as hazardous waste; S61: Avoid release to the environment. Refer to special instructions/Safety data sheets</p>	Official use only
---	---	--------------------------

9.2 Proposed classification	<p>The Applicant made the case for the risk phrase R53 to be removed in Doc II-A of their submission. This was based on the rapid hydrolysis of dichlofluanid in water and the low acute and long-term toxicity to aquatic organisms of the resulting degradation product DMSA, as well as the lack of bioconcentration of both dichlofluanid and DMSA. The Applicant supported this argument further, with data submitted to the Commission Working Group on the Classification and Labelling of Dangerous Substances (Grau, 2004).</p>
------------------------------------	--

Evaluation by Competent Authorities	
<i>Use separate "evaluation boxes" to provide transparency as to the comments and views submitted</i>	
EVALUATION BY RAPPORTEUR MEMBER STATE	
Date	20 th July 2006
Conclusion	<p>The UK CA agrees that the current classification is as in Directive 67/548/EEC, and with the Applicant's proposal for the removal of R53. The UK CA has explained its reasoning for agreeing with the removal of R53 in Doc II-A Section 1.5.2.</p> <p>The UK CA has not considered the safety phrases, and has not included them in the CA report, because they are triggered by the classification and do not need to be discussed specifically.</p>
Acceptability	Acceptable
Remarks	The Applicant submitted the current classification for dichlofluanid, and their proposal for a revised classification, as part of Doc II-A. The UK CA evaluated their submission, and compiled this Study Summary at the request of TMI06 so as to ensure the completeness of Doc III-A.
COMMENTS FROM ...	

Date	<i>Give date of comments submitted</i>
Materials and Methods	<i>Discuss additional relevant discrepancies referring to the (sub)heading numbers and to applicant's summary and conclusion. Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state</i>
Results and discussion	<i>Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state</i>
Conclusion	<i>Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state</i>
Reliability	<i>Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state</i>
Acceptability	<i>Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state</i>
Remarks	