# Justification for the selection of a candidate CoRAP substance

Substance Name (Public Name): Diuron

**Chemical Group:** 

**EC Number:** 206-354-4

**CAS Number:** 330-54-1

**Submitted by:** Finnish Safety and Chemicals Agency

(Tukes), Finland

**Published:** 20/03/2013

#### **NOTE**

This document has been prepared by the evaluating Member State given in the CoRAP update.

#### **Contents**

1		Name and other identifiers of the substance	3
2	2.1 2.2	SSIFICATION AND LABELLING Harmonised Classification in Annex VI of the CLP Proposal for Harmonised Classification in Annex VI of the CLP Self classification	4 4 4
3	3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4	TIFICATION FOR THE SELECTION OF THE CANDIDATE CORAP SUBSTANCE Legal basis for the proposal Grounds for concern Information on aggregated tonnage and uses Other completed/ongoing regulatory processes that may affect suitability for substance evaluation	5 5 6
		Information to be requested to clarify the suspected risk	7
	.J.b	Potential follow-up and link to risk management	

1 IDENTITY OF THE SUBSTANCE

#### 1.1 Name and other identifiers of the substance

**Table 1: Substance identity** 

Public Name:	Diuron
EC number:	206-354-4
EC name:	Diuron
CAS number (in the EC inventory):	330-54-1
CAS number:	330-54-1
CAS name:	
IUPAC name:	3-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-1,1-dimethylurea
Index number in Annex VI of the CLP Regulation	006-015-00-9
Molecular formula:	$C_9H_{10}CI_2N_2O$
Molecular weight or molecular weight range:	233.0945
Synonyms:	

Type of substance		☐ Multi-constituent	☐ UVCB
-------------------	--	---------------------	--------

#### Structural formula:

#### **2 CLASSIFICATION AND LABELLING**

#### 2.1 Harmonised Classification in Annex VI of the CLP

Index number: 006-015-00-9

Hazard Class and Category Codes	Hazard State- ment Codes	Hazard Statements	Picto- gram Signal Word Codes	Hazard Statement Codes	Specific Concentration Limits and M Factors
Carc. 2 Acute Tox. 4 * STOT RE 2 * Aquatic Acute 1 Aquatic Chronic 1	H351 H302 H373 ** H400 H410	H351: Suspected of causing cancer. H302: Harmful if swallowed. H373: May cause damage to organs through prolonged or repeated exposure. H400: Very toxic to aquatic life. H410: Very toxic to aquatic life with long lasting effects.	GHS08 GHS07 GHS09 Wng	H351 H302 H373 ** H410	M = 10

Classification	Risk Phrase Codes	Risk Phrases	Safety Phrases	Indica- tions of danger	Concentration Limits
Carc. Cat. 3 Xn	R40 R22, R48/22 R50/53	R40: Limited evidence of a carcinogenic effect. R22: Harmful if swallowed. R48/22: Harmful: danger of serious damage to health by prolonged exposure if swallowed. R50/53: Very toxic to aquatic organisms, may cause longterm adverse effects in the aquatic environment.	S2 S13 S36/37 S46 S60 S61	Xn N	N; R50-53: C ≥ 2,5% N; R51-53: 0,25% ≤ C < 2,5% N; R52-53: 0,025% ≤ C < 0,25%

#### 2.2 Proposal for Harmonised Classification in Annex VI of the CLP

None proposed.

#### 2.3 Self classification

Classifications by the lead registrant is consistent with harmonised classifications.

Notifications to the Classification and Labelling Inventory is also mainly consistent with harmonised classifications, but some selv classify with M-factor =100.

### 3 JUSTIFICATION FOR THE SELECTION OF THE CANDIDATE CORAP SUBSTANCE

#### 3.1 Legal basis for the proposal

$\boxtimes$	Article 44(1)	(refined p	rioritisation	criteria for	substance	evaluation)
	Article 45(5)	(Member :	State priorit	zy)		

#### 3.2 Grounds for concern

☐ (Suspected) CMR	$oxed{\boxtimes}$ Wide dispersive use	☐ Cumulative exposure
☐ (Suspected) Sensitiser	☐ Consumer use	☐ High RCR
☐ (Suspected) PBT	☐ Exposure of sensitive populations	☐ Aggregated tonnage
Suspected endocrine disruptor     ■     ■ Suspected endocrine disruptor     ■ Suspected endocrine endoc	$oxed{\boxtimes}$ Other (provide further details below)	

Identified uses indicate uses in rubber articles and polymer preparations. Only industrial uses are indicated in the dossier. Spin database indicates consumer exposure. Diuron is currently assessed according to the Biocides directive for the following uses: in-can preservatives (PT 6), film preservatives (PT 7) and masonry preservatives (PT 10). Diuron has been accepted as an active ingredient in plant protection product (inclusion in Annex I to Plant Protection Product Directive 91/414/EEC). In Finland, for the time being, there are no plant protection products containing diuron on the market.

Reproductive toxicity tests indicate no effects on fertility or developmental toxicity (for the latter the maximum dose tested was 50 mg/kg bw/day). Substance is classified as Carc Cat 2 according to Regulation EC No 1272/2008.

Diuron is selected for CoRAP to examine the basis of the EC List conclusion for potential evidence of ED and identify if there is significant exposure. Diuron is metabolised to 1-(3-4-dichlorophenyl)-3-methoxyurea and 3,4-dichloroaniline. Diuron is considered to be potentially antiandrogenic because of the great similarity in structure of a) diuron and the antiandrogen linuron (Human health cat. 1, Wildlife cat. 3) and b) the common metabolite, 3,4-dichloroaniline, of diuron and linuron, which was shown to bind to the androgen receptor (Cook et al., 1993). According to EC list 3,4-dichloroaniline is classified in human health ED Cat. 2 and in wildlife ED Cat.1 e.g. based on inhibitory effects on the synthesis and metabolism of androgens in breeding males of sticklebacks (Allner, 1995). Furthermore, basis of the EC list 3,4-dichloroaniline is classified as having high concern for exposure.

In addition, diuron has been identified as one of the most relevant pollutants in European ground waters in terms of frequency of detection and maximum concentrations. It was detected in approximately 30 % of ground water samples taken with a maximum concentration of 279 ng/l (Loos et al. 2010).

In European river waters diuron has been detected in 70 % of samples with a maximum concentration of 864 ng/l and average concentration 41 ng/l (Loos et al 2009). For comparison, the annual average environmental quality standard is 200 ng/l.

### 3.3 Information on aggregated tonnage and uses

☐ 1 - 10 tpa		☐ 10 - 100 tpa		⊠ 100 -	- 1000 tpa	
☐ 1000 - 10,000 tpa		☐ 10,000 - 100,000 tpa				
☐ 100,000 - 1000,000 tp	а	☐ > 1000,000 tp	ра			
☐ Confidential						
Please provide further deta	ails					
	☐ Profe	essional use	☐ Consumer use	<b>!</b>	☐ Closed System	
☐ Industrial use  Diuron is used in rubber protection products.					·	_
Diuron is used in rubber					·	_
Diuron is used in rubber					·	
Diuron is used in rubber					·	
Diuron is used in rubber					·	

## 3.4 Other completed/ongoing regulatory processes that may affect suitability for substance evaluation

☐ Compliance check final decision	☐ Dangerous substances Directive 67/548/EEC			
☐ Testing proposal	☐ Existing Substances Regulation 793/93/EEC			
⊠ Annex VI (CLP)	☐ Plant Protection Products Regulation 91/414/EEC			
☐ Annex XV (SVHC)	☐ Biocidal Products Directive 98/8/EEC			
☐ Annex XIV (Authorisation)	☐ Other (provide further details below)			
☐ Annex XVII (Restriction)				
Harmonised classification in Annex VI of the CLP and in Dangerous substances Directive (see section 2.1).				
Section 2.1).  Ongoing assessment according to Biocidal products directive 98/9/EEC for PT 7 and 10, but prohibited in PT 6 and 21 as off 25.10.2009/21.8.2008 respectively.  Included in Annex I of the Plant Protection Products Regulation 91/414/EEC.				

3.5 Information to be requested to clarify the suspected risk

☐ Information on toxic	cological properties	☐ Information	☐ Information on physico-chemical properties						
☐ Information on fate	and behaviour		☐ Information on exposure						
☐ Information on ecot	oxicological properties	☐ Information	☐ Information on uses						
☐ Other (provide furth	☐ Other (provide further details below)								
To examine the basis of the EC List conclusion for potential evidence of ED. The available and the requested information are evaluated on the basis of the criteria for ED chemicals.  Clarification on consumer exposure.									
3.6 Potential fo	ollow-up and link	to risk manage	ement						
Restriction	☐ Harmonised C&L	☐ Authorisation	☐ Other (provide further details)						
Depending on outcome of 3.5. (e.g., Annex XV dossier for SVHC (article 57f)).									
1									

#### References

Cook JC, Mullin JS, Frame SR, Biegel LB. Investigation of a mechanism for Leydig cell tumorigenesis by linuron in rats. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol 1993; 119: 195-204.

Allner, B. (1995). Effekte von endokrin wirksamen Substanzen auf Fische dargestellt am Beispiel der Wirkung von 3.4-Dichloranilin auf den Androgenmetabolismus des Stchlings. - UBA- Texte 65/95, Umweltchemikalien mit endokriner Wirkung, Berlin, 69-76.

Robert Loos, Giovanni Locoro, Sara Comero, Serafino Contini, David Schwesig Friedrich Werres, Peter Balsaa, Oliver Gans, Stefan Weiss, Ludek Blaha, Monica Bolchi, Bernd Manfred Gawlik. 2010 Pan-European survey on the occurrence of selected polar organic persistent pollutants in ground water. Water research 44 (2010) 4115 – 4126.

Robert Loos, Bernd Manfred Gawlik, Giovanni Locoro, Erika Rimaviciute, Serafino Contini, Giovanni Bidoglio. 2009. EU-wide survey of polar organic persistent pollutants in European river waters. Environmental Pollution 157 (2009) 561–5