SUBSTANCE EVALUATION CONCLUSION DOCUMENT as required by REACH Article 48 for

Trizinc bis(orthophosphate)
EC No 231-944-3
CAS No 7779-90-0

Evaluating Member State(s): ROMANIA

Dated: November 2014

Evaluating Member State Competent Authority

MSCA name:

Ministry of Environment and Climate Changes

Address: 12, Libertatii ave., 5th district, RO 040129, Bucharest, ROMÂNIA

Tel: +40 (0)21 408 9582 Fax: +40 (0)21 316 0298

National Institute for Research and Development in Environmental Protection Address: 294 Splaiul Independentei, 6th District, RO 060031, Bucharest, ROMÂNIA

Tel: +40 (0)21 305 26 00 Fax: +40 (0)21 318 20 01 E-mail: incdpm@incdpm.ro

Year of evaluation in CoRAP: 2013

Member State concluded the evaluation without the need to ask further information from the registrants under Article 46(1) decision.

Please find (search for) further information on registered substances here:

http://echa.europa.eu/web/quest/information-on-chemicals/registered-substances

DISCLAIMER

The Conclusion document has been prepared by the evaluating Member State as a part of the substance evaluation process under the REACH Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006. The information and views set out in this document are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the position or opinion of the European Chemicals Agency or other Member States. The Agency does not guarantee the accuracy of the information included in the document. Neither the Agency nor the evaluating Member State nor any person acting on either of their behalves may be held liable for the use which may be made of the information contained therein. Statements made or information contained in the document are without prejudice to any further regulatory work that the Agency or Member States may initiate at a later stage.

CONTENTS

CONTENTS	4
1. CONCERN(S) SUBJECT TO EVALUATION	
2. CONCLUSION OF SUBSTANCE EVALUATION	. 5
3. JUSTIFICATION FOR THE CONCLUSION ON THE NEED OF REGULATORY RISK MANAGEMENT	5
3.1. NEED FOR FOLLOW UP REGULATORY ACTION AT EU LEVEL	5
3.1.1. Need for harmonised classification and labelling	5
3.1.2. Need for Identification as a substance of very high concern, SVHC (first step towards authorisation)	5
Substance characteristics evaluation does not lead to identifying as SVHC	
3.1.3. Need for restrictions	5
3.1.4. Proposal for other Community-wide regulatory risk management measures	6
Not applicable	6
3.2. NO FOLLOW-UP ACTION NEEDED	6
4. TENTATIVE PLAN FOR FOLLOW-UP ACTIONS (IF NECESSARY)	6

1. CONCERN(S) SUBJECT TO EVALUATION

Trizinc bis(orthophosphate) was originally selected for substance evaluation in order to clarify suspected risks about:

- Wide dispersive use
- Aggregated tonnage

During the evaluation no further concerns to be clarified under substance evaluation process were identified.

2. CONCLUSION OF SUBSTANCE EVALUATION

The available information on the substance and the evaluation conducted has led the evaluating Member State to the following conclusions, as summarised in the table below.

Conclusions	Tick box
Need for follow up regulatory action at EU level	
Need for Harmonised classification and labelling	
Need for Identification as SVHC (authorisation)	
Need for Restrictions	
Need for other Community-wide measures	
No need for regulatory follow-up action	X

3. JUSTIFICATION FOR THE CONCLUSION ON THE NEED OF REGULATORY RISK MANAGEMENT

3.1. NEED FOR FOLLOW UP REGULATORY ACTION AT EU LEVEL

3.1.1. Need for harmonised classification and labelling

Not applicable because the trizinc bis(orthophosphate) has already harmonized classification and labelling.

3.1.2. Need for Identification as a substance of very high concern, SVHC (first step towards authorisation)

Substance evaluation does not lead to identification as SVHC.

3.1.3. Need for restrictions

There is not need for further restrictions for the trizinc bis(orthophosphate).

The environmental risk reduction measures proposed to be applied for all local scenarios are considered appropriate.

3.1.4. Proposal for other Community-wide regulatory risk management measures

Not applicable.

3.2. NO FOLLOW-UP ACTION NEEDED

The concern could be removed because	Tick box
Hazard and /or exposure was verified to be not relevant and/or	
Hazard and /or exposure was verified to be under appropriate control and/or	X
The registrant modified the applied risk management measures.	
other: <please specify=""></please>	

4. TENTATIVE PLAN FOR FOLLOW-UP ACTIONS (IF NECESSARY)

Not necessary.