Section A7.5.1.3 Annex Point IIIA7.5.1.3	Acute toxicity to terrestrial plants	
	JUSTIFICATION FOR NON-SUBMISSION OF DATA	Official use only
Other existing data [X]	Technically not feasible [] Scientifically unjustified []	
Limited exposure []	Other justification []	
Detailed justification:	For dichlofluanid a test on terrestrial plant toxicity (Draft OECD 208A) was performed. Tier I of the test was done with 100 mg dichlofluanid/kg soil (dry weight). At this administration in one of the three plant species effects on biomass of 29 % were seen, which did not exceed the 50 % trigger to merit the next tier for non-target terrestrial plant studies.	
	No further testing (tier II) on toxicity to soil non-target plants is regarded to be justified because:	
	 a) from tier I a low effect concentration or a (worst case) EC50 with respect to the plants tested of 100 mg/kg soil can be derived, 	
	b) dichlofluanid degrades rapidly in soil,	
	Furthermore, the 29% reduction in biomass in one of three species does not exceed the 50 % trigger for tier II test (dose response curve). In the draft OECD guideline 208A this trigger is related to the highest test concentration of 1000 mg/kg soil. It is regarded to be reliable to apply it also to a lower concentration because the maximal exposure concentrations from the use of the active as wood preservative are far below the 100 mg/kg concentration applied in the test.	
Undertaking of intended data submission []	-	
	Evaluation by Competent Authorities	
	Use separate "evaluation boxes" to provide transparency as to the comments and views submitted	
	EVALUATION BY RAPPORTEUR MEMBER STATE	
Date	13/12/04	

	Acute toxicity to terrestrial plants
Annex Point IIIA7.5.1.3	
ia4:1: a-a4: a	The applicant has submitted a justification for non-submission of any further terrestrial plant toxicity testing based on the results of the limit test.
	The limit test has been evaluated by the UK CA and is considered acceptable for the purposes of risk assessment. The limit test was performed at a concentration of 100 mg/kg soil, the applicant has chosen this concentration for the limit test based on the use pattern and exposure of the product to soil. In the July 2000 draft of OECD 208 A for a limit test with a general chemical a concentration of 1000 mg/kg dry soil is suggested, but for crop protection products the guideline specifies that the physical-chemical properties, use pattern, maximum application rate, number of applications per season and/or the persistence of the test compound need to be taken into consideration when determining the appropriate concentration for the limit test. The UK CA supports the applicant's reasoning that for a wood preservative a similar assessment can be made, taking into consideration the use pattern in particular, to reduce the limit test concentration from 1000 to 100 mg/kg dry soil. The applicant has used the LOEC as a worst-case EC ₅₀ in the risk assessment which is considered to be very precautionary and the risk assessment is still acceptable.
Conclusion	Applicant's justification is acceptable
Remarks	
	COMMENTS FROM OTHER MEMBER STATE (specify)
Date	Give date of comments submitted
Evaluation of applicant's justification	Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state
Conclusion	Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state
Remarks	