

**Section A6.12****Human Case Report****Annex Point IIA6.12(5)**

## 6.12.1 Medical surveillance data on manufacturing plant personnel (5)

		<b>1 REFERENCE</b>	
<b>1.1 Reference</b>		Ochs, U. & R. Heyne (2004): Occupational Medical Experiences with Dichlofluanid. Bayer Industry Services. 21-JAN-2004, unpublished.	
<b>1.2 Data protection</b>		Yes	
1.2.1 Data owner		Bayer Chemicals AG	
1.2.2 Companies with letter of access		—	
1.2.3 Criteria for data protection		Data submitted to the MS after 13 May 2000 on existing a.s. for the purpose of its entry into Annex I/IA.	
		<b>2 GUIDELINES AND QUALITY ASSURANCE (NOT APPLICABLE)</b>	
		<b>3 MATERIALS AND METHODS</b>	
<b>3.1 Substance</b>		Dichlofluanid (“Euparen”) Plant: LDF plant Uerdingen Production period: [REDACTED] Annual production volume at LDF plant: [REDACTED].	
<b>3.2 Persons exposed</b>			
3.2.1 Sex		—	
3.2.2 Age/weight		—	
3.2.3 Known Diseases		—	
3.2.4 Number of persons		75	
3.2.5 Other information		—	
<b>3.3 Exposure</b>		—	
3.3.1 Reason of exposure		Occupational	
3.3.2 Frequency of exposure		—	
3.3.3 Overall time period of exposure		—	
3.3.4 Duration of single exposure		—	
3.3.5 Exposure concentration/dose		—	
3.3.6 Other information		—	
<b>3.4 Examinations</b>		Full physical examination with orientating neurological status (reflexes, sensibility, coordination) and skin status  Laboratory examinations: urine status and sediment, further examinations as required  Technical examinations: audiogram, ergometry, visual acuity testing	
<b>3.5 Treatment</b>		—	

Official  
use only

**Section A6.12****Human Case Report****Annex Point IIA6.12(5)****6.12.1 Medical surveillance data on manufacturing plant personnel (5)**

3.6	Remarks	–
<b>4 RESULTS</b>		
4.1	Clinical Signs	No clinical symptoms were noted.
4.2	Results of examinations	–
4.3	Effectivity of medical treatment	–
4.4	Outcome	–
4.5	Other	–
<b>5 APPLICANT'S SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION</b>		
5.1	Materials and methods	Occupational medical surveillance of workers exposed to dichlofluanid was performed every three years on a routine basis, not directly related to exposures, since 1990.
5.2	Results and discussion	The routine occupational medical surveillance did not reveal any adverse effects. During the production period [REDACTED], no accidents with dichlofluanid occurred in the workers and no consultations of the medical department due to work or contact with dichlofluanid were required. No contact sensitizations have been seen.
5.3	Conclusion	No adverse effects could be determined.

<b>Evaluation by Competent Authorities</b>	
	Use separate "evaluation boxes" to provide transparency as to the comments and views submitted
	<b>EVALUATION BY RAPPORTEUR MEMBER STATE</b>
<b>Date</b>	9/02/05
<b>Materials and Methods</b>	
<b>Results and discussion</b>	
<b>Conclusion</b>	
<b>Remarks</b>	The UK CA agrees with the applicant's assessment.
	<b>COMMENTS FROM ... (specify)</b>
<b>Date</b>	<i>Give date of comments submitted</i>
<b>Materials and Methods</b>	<i>Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state</i>
<b>Results and discussion</b>	<i>Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state</i>
<b>Conclusion</b>	<i>Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state</i>
<b>Remarks</b>	