## Section A7.1.1.1.2Phototransformation in water including identity ofAnnex Point IIA VII.7.6.2.2transformation products

		1 REFERENCE	Official use only
1.1	Reference	Adam, D., 2007, Phototransformation of 2-(n-octyl)-4-[4,5- <sup>14</sup> C]- isothiazolin-3-one ( <sup>14</sup> C-OIT) in water - direct photolysis,	
1.2	Data protection	Yes	
1.2.1	Data owner	THOR GmbH	
1.2.2	Company with letter of access	None	
1.2.3	Criteria for data protection	Data submitted on existing a.s. for the purpose of its entry into Annex I.	
		2 GUIDELINES AND QUALITY ASSURANCE	
2.1	Guideline study	Yes	
		OECD Guideline for Testing of Chemicals, Proposal for a new Guideline: Phototransformation of Chemicals in Water – Direct and Indirect Photolysis, Draft Document, August 2000.	
		GCPF/ECPA –Comments on the Draft Proposal for a New OECD Test Guideline on:	
		"Phototransformation of Chemicals in Water – Direct and Indirect Photolysis", 30 October 2000.	
		JMAFF Agchem Test Guidelines 12 Nohsan N. 8147, 24 November 2000, revised 26 June 2001: Photodegradation in water (2-6-2)	
		Pesticide Assessment Guidelines, Subdivision N, Chemistry: Environmental Fate, EPA-540/9-82-021, Section 161-2: Photodegradation Studies in Water, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, October 18, 1982.	
		FIFRA Accelerated Reregistration, Phase 3 Technical Guidance, EPA 540/09-90-078, December 1989.	
		Environmental Chemistry and Fate Guideline for Registration of Pesticides in Canada.	
2.2	GLP	Yes	
2.3	Deviations	No	Х
		3 MATERIALS AND METHODS	
3.1	Test material	2-(n-octyl)-4-[4,5- <sup>14</sup> C]isothiazolin-3-one ( <sup>14</sup> C-OIT)	
3.1.1	Lot/Batch number		
3.1.2	Radiochemical Purity		
3.1.3	Radiolabelling		
3.1.4	UV/VIS absorption spectra and absorbance value	UV-absorption spectrum of $^{14}C$ -OIT in pond water before irradiation (0.473 mg/L)	

## Section A7.1.1.2 Phototransformation in water including identity of Annex Point IIA VII.7.6.2.2 transformation products



3.4.6 Duration of the test	15 days
----------------------------	---------

Irradiated

solution

Dark control

solution

- 3.4.7 Number of replicates
- Duplicates (irradiated samples) and single samples for dark controls.
- 3.4.8 Sampling

Duplicate irradiated samples and dark controls were taken after 0, 2, 4 and 15 hours and 1, 2, 4, 8 and 15 days of irradiation/incubation. Dark

8.40

8.40

8.39

A

в

8.40

8.44

8 39

8.41

8.41 8.43

8.52

8.59

8.53

8.54

8.53

7.95

8.50 8.75

8.49 8.55

8 65

8.77

8.61

8.51

8.63 8.76

8.62

8.70

## Section A7.1.1.1.2Phototransformation in water including identity ofAnnex Point IIA VII.7.6.2.2transformation products

		controls were taken at the same sampling intervals.					
3.4.9	Analytical methods	<u>HPLC</u> :					
		Pre-column:					
		Column:					
		Column Temperature:					
		Mobile Phase:					
		Solvent A:					
		Solvent B:					
		Gradient:					
		Time (min)         0         5         15         45         50         55         55.1         70					
		Solvent A (%) 100 100 40 40 0 0 100 100					
		Solvent B (%) 0 0 60 60 100 100 0 0					
		Flow:					
		UV-Detection:					
		14C-Detection:					
		<u>TLC</u> :					
3.5	Transformation products	Transformation products tested: Yes					
351	Method of analysis	<u>LCMS</u> :					
0.0.12	for transformation	MS Conditions					
	products	Instrument:					
		Software:					
		Ionisation Mode:					
		Detection Mode:					
		Scan Mode:					
		Sheath Gas Pressure:					
		Auxiliary Gas Pressure:					
		Discharge current:					
		4 RESULTS					
4.1	Controls	Report the initial molar test substance concentration ( $C_0$ ) and the final molar concentration ( $C_t$ ) of the controls.					
4.2	Photolysis data	Non-entry field					
4.2.1	Concentration	See Tables A7_1_1_2-3 through A7_1_1_2-6					
	values	0					
422	Mass balance	The total mean recoveries from the irradiated and dark control buffer					
	1.1455 Guidilee	samples during the study were 96.7% $\pm$ 2.1% and 100.1% $\pm$ 2.1% of the					
		applied radioactivity, respectively, Corresponding values for the natural					
		pond system are $100.1\% \pm 1.5\%$ and $100.1\% \pm 2.6\%$					
400	TT-16 1:	× v					
4.2.3	Half-lives						

х

Х

### Section A7.1.1.1.2 Phototransformation in water including identity of Annex Point IIA VII.7.6.2.2 transformation products

Calculated Half-life (DT <sub>50</sub> ) and DT <sub>50</sub> in Days							
Compound	k-value (days <sup>1</sup> )	Sun	test *	Suni 5	light ** 0°N	Sunlight *** 30-40°N	
		DT50	DT <sub>90</sub>	DT50	DT <sub>90</sub>	DT <sub>50</sub>	DT <sub>90</sub>
Buffer pH7							
OIT	0 379	18	61	37	12 4	3 5	11 9
M8	0 341	20	67	41	13 6	39	13 0
Pond							
OIT	0 280	2 5	83	51	166	49	166
M7	0 104	66	22 1	13 4	44 8	12 9	43 0
M8	0 212	33	10 8	67	21 9	64	21 0

continuous irradiation

First order

natural summer sunlight at 50°N

\*\*\* natural summer sunlight at 30-40°N

#### 4.2.4 Kinetic order

4.3 Specification of products

See table A7\_1\_1\_2-3 through A7\_1\_1\_2-6 and Figures A7\_1\_1\_2-1 the transformation through A7\_1\_1\_2-31.

#### 5 APPLICANT'S SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

#### The direct and indirect photochemical degradation of <sup>14</sup>C-OIT, i.e. 2-(n-5.1 Materials and octyl)-4-[4,5-<sup>14</sup>C] isothiazolin-3-one, was investigated under simulated methods sunlight in sterilised buffer solution at pH 7 and sterilised natural pond water at about pH 8.

The photolysis apparatus (Suntest) was equipped with a . Filters were used to cut off ultraviolet light with a wavelength below 290 nm. For a representative range (300 nm to 400 nm) of the whole visual light spectrum, the intensity of light was determined to be 41.9 W/m<sup>2</sup> at the surface of the photo-degradation vessels. The intensity of the lamp was slightly higher than that of natural summer sunlight measured at the test facility (latitude 47.5°N, August 29, 2006) and representing 40.2 W/m<sup>2</sup>.

For both systems (buffer solution and pond water), duplicate samples at an initial concentration of approximately 0.5 mg 2-(n-octyl)-4-[4,5-<sup>14</sup>C]isothiazolin-3-one (<sup>14</sup>C-OIT) per litre were prepared and continuously irradiated for a period of 15 days at a mean temperature of 25.1  $\pm$  0.2°C. Control solutions were incubated under identical conditions but in the dark and at a temperature of  $24.6 \pm 0.0$  °C. Duplicate aliquots of the irradiated samples and dark controls were taken for analysis periodically over the incubation period. The 15 days of continuous Suntest irradiation corresponded to 30.5 natural summer sunlight days at latitude 50°N.

### 5.2 **Results and** The total mean recoveries from the irradiated and dark control solutions discussion during the 15-day incubation period were 96.7% $\pm$ 2.1% and 100.1% $\pm$ 2.1% of the initially applied radioactivity, respectively, for the buffered system. Corresponding values for the pond system were $100.1\% \pm 1.5\%$ and 100.1% ± 2.6%.

2-(n-octvl)-4-[4,5-14C] isothiazolin-3-one (14C-OIT) was found to be rapidly photolysed in both systems. In sterile pH 7 buffer solution, the concentration of the test item in the irradiated samples decreased from 97.9% to 1.1% of the applied radioactivity (mean values), within 15 days of irradiation. The corresponding values for natural pond system were

4/17

### Section A7.1.1.2 Phototransformation in water including identity of Annex Point IIA VII.7.6.2.2 transformation products

### 98.0% and 2.5%.

Under irradiation the test item degraded to form a significant number of degradates. Some of them were further mineralised to  ${}^{14}CO_2$ . The formation of radioactive carbon dioxide increased continuously amounting to 8.8% and 6.8% for the buffer and pond samples, respectively. The presence of  ${}^{14}CO_2$  demonstrates that photodegradation involves cleavage of the isothiazolone ring. Besides 2-(n-octyl)-4-[4,5-<sup>14</sup>*C*]isothiazolin-3-one (<sup>14</sup>*C*-OIT), up to 10 radioactive fractions were detected, four of which accounted for more than 10% of the applied radioactivity. The pattern of metabolites was slightly different between buffer solution and pond water. The major radioactive fractions formed in the buffered system were M3, M7 and M8, whereas in the pond system M7, M8 and M10 were detected in amounts over 10%. M8 was identified by HPLC and LC/MS as N-(N-octyl) acetamide. M3 was identified as N-(N-octyl) ethyl amine. The very polar radioactive fractions, M7 and M10, were repeatedly re-generated in high dose experiments in buffer solution, natural and bi-distilled water. Several work-up procedures and mass spectrometric analysis were performed. Due to repeated clogging of the MS system after injection of purified M7/M10, structure elucidation for these fractions was not possible using LC/MS. However, chromatography and MS results indicate that the fractions must consist of several components, most probably polymers or adducts with differently sized fragments of the N-(N-octyl) side-chain.

In the buffer system, the metabolites, N-(N-octyl) ethyl amine (M3) and M7 increased continuously and accounted for a maximum mean amount of 16.2% and 55.1%, respectively after 15 days of irradiation. The metabolite M8, N-(N-octyl) acetamide reached its maximum of 23.3% on day 4 and declined to 1.3% after 15 days of irradiation. All other metabolites were individually  $\leq 4.0\%$  (mean values).

Virtually no degradation of 2-(n-octyl)-4-[4,5-<sup>14</sup>C] isothiazolin-3-one (<sup>14</sup>C-OIT) was observed in the dark control samples of the buffer test system. After 15 days of incubation, the test item still represented 95.4% of the applied radioactivity. The amount of carbon dioxide was  $\leq 0.3\%$ .

In the pond water, a different pattern was observed. The major metabolite was M10 reaching the maximum of 58.7% of the initial amount after 15 days of irradiation. N-(N-octyl) acetamide (M8) reached its highest concentration of 25.1% on day 4 and degraded to 4.5% at day 15. M7 reached its maximum of 11.3% on day 4 and degraded thereafter to 5.0% on day 15. M3 metabolite represented only 5.2% at the end of the irradiation (day 15). All other metabolites were individually  $\leq 6.2\%$  (mean values).

Contrary to the buffer system, the test item degraded in the dark controls of the pond system from 97.4% initially to 42.6% within 15 days. Formation of carbon dioxide reached a maximum value of 7.9% at study end (mean value). Degradation observed in the pond system was due to microbial activity, since the samples were shown to be non-sterile on day 15. The dark metabolic pattern, was completely different from the photodegradation pattern observed for the irradiated systems being comparable with the one observed in the biodegradation study on 2-(noctyl)-4-[4,5-<sup>14</sup>C]isothiazolin-3-one (<sup>14</sup>C-OIT) [2]. However, the irradiated samples were shown to be sterile during the whole irradiation time.

*The rate of photodegradation of 2-(n-octyl)-4-[4,5-<sup>14</sup>C]isothiazolin-3one (<sup>14</sup>C-OIT) was described using first order kinetics. The following* 

### Section A7.1.1.1.2 Phototransformation in water including identity of Annex Point IIA VII.7.6.2.2 transformation products

half-lives and DT90-values of the test item and its major metabolites are summarised in paragraph 4.2.3. 2-(n-octyl)-4-[4,5-14C] isothiazolin-3-one (14C-OIT) was rapidly photo-5.3 Conclusion degraded in a buffered solution at pH 7 and in natural pond water with a photolytic Suntest half-life of about 2 days, equivalent to about 4-5 days summer sunlight at latitudes 50°N and 30 to 40°N, respectively. Photodegradation involved cleavage of the isothiazolone ring (cf table 5.3.1 Reliability 1 х 5.3.2 Deficiencies No Evaluation by Competent Authorities Use separate "evaluation boxes" to provide transparency as to the comments and views submitted EVALUATION BY RAPPORTEUR MEMBER STATE Date 27 Nov 09 2.3: The OECD Guideline states that the control samples should remain sterile throughout; this was not the case for the dark pond control in this study. The dark buffer solution control did not loose sterility within the study. **Materials and Methods** Applicant's version is considered acceptable noting the following: 3.2: No reference substance was used. **3.4.7:** The robust study summary states that single samples were prepared for dark controls, which is contrary to page 22 of Adam (2007), where it is implied that the dark controls were prepared in duplicate. However, the study plan implies that single samples were taken for hydrolytically stable compounds and duplicate aliquots for irradiated samples if not hydrolytically stable.

# Section A7.1.1.1.2Phototransformation in water including identity of<br/>transformation products

Results and discussion	Applicant	t's versior	n conside	red accep	ptable, no	oting the f	ollowing:		
	A range of values are winter are values for described follows:	of latitudes e in good a e as follow c 30/40/50 in Appen	s, such as agreemen vs. The a ) °N using dix II of	30-40°, nt and ca pplicant' g the con the repo	should r n be allo s data wa version e rt. These	not be used wed, the v as converte equations e and the r	d. However the summer ralues for spring, fall and ed into the corresponding used by the applicant and esulting values are as		
				$d = \frac{h \cdot r}{0.7}$	• F1·F2 75 • 12		2)		
	Where:								
	d =	davs summer	r sunlight.						
	u -	hours of irred	listion in the	Suntest ann	aratus				
		nouis or mad		Sumest app	aratus,		1. 455		
	r =	1.04 (ratio of	intensity of S	Suntest light	to summer s	unlight, Formu	ila 1) ),		
	F1 =	2005, latitude Table overlea	ion for natur e 50°N) and af)	al sunlight n published (	day for sum	mer sunlight (	/ (August 29, (July 24, see		
	F2 =	0.96 (correcti 30°N-40°N (F	ion for natura F2 = 0.96 for	l summer su calculation f	unlight of latif rom 50°N to	tude 50°N to la 30°N-40°N), s	titudes ee overleaf		
	0.75 =	Correction fo	r diurnal vari	ation of natu	ral sunlight,				
	12 =	Conversion of	of hours into (	days.					
	Calculation of Factor F2								
	The intensit (Table A2-1 same, there the intensitie separately.	y of summer s and A2-2): In fore the value es at both lati	sunlight at 5( summertime as are avera tudes are di	0°N (Table A e, the light ir ged (followir fferent (Tabl	A2-3) compa ntensities at ng table). Fo es A2-1 to /	red to latitude 30°N and 40°I or the other se A2-3) and ther	s 30°N and 40°N N are virtually the pasons, however, refore considered		
	30°N	0.953	7						
	40°N	0.963							
	Mean	0.958	F2 = Σ 57.35 Wa	light intens cm²/59.54 V	ity at 50°N V x m² = 0.963	/∑ light inte 3	ensity at 40°N =		
	Calculation of seasonal factor (F3) for latitudes 30 N, 40 N and 50 N.								
	The solar im 835.2210: D seasonal co the different	adiance at lat irect photolys rrection at lat conditions are	itudes 30 N, is rate in wat itudes 30 N, e summarise	40 N and 50 ter by sunlig 40 N and 5 d in the table	0 N (as publi ht [3]) was u i0 N (Tables e below.	shed in EPA ( sed to calcula A2-1 to A2-3	Guideline OPPTS te a factor F3, for ). The factors for		
	Season	Date	Day of	Factors for	r seasonal co	orrection, F3			
			year	50°N	40°N	30°N			
	Winter	21/Jan/82	20.00	0.160	0.308	0.468			
	spring	16/Apr/82	204.00	1.000	1.000	1.000			
	summer	24/30//02	204.00	0.350	0.407	0.634			
	Fall	20/000/82	292.00	0.350	0.497	0.034			
	The corresp calculated b	onding expos y dividing forn	ure times for nula 2) (prev	natural suni ious page) b	light at differ y the respec	ent latitudes a tive factor F3.	nd seasons were		

## Section A7.1.1.2Phototransformation in water including identity ofAnnex Point IIA VII.7.6.2.2transformation products

	Fall	Calculated Half-life (DT50) and DT90 in Natural Fall Sunligh							
	Compound	Sunligh	nt 50° N	Sunligh	nt 40° N	Sunligh	at 30° N		
		DT50	DT90	DT50	DT90	DT50	DT90		
	Parent	10.4	35.4	7.3	24.9	5.5	18.7		
	M8	11.6	38.8	8.2	27.3	6.1	20.6		
	Parent pond	14.5	47.5	10.2	33.5	7.7	26.2		
	M7	38.3	128.1	26.9	90.2	20.3	70.7		
	M8	19.1	62.6	13.5	44.1	10.1	34.6		
	Winter	Calculate	ed Half-life (	(DT50) and da	DT90 in Na iys	tural Winter	Sunlight		
	Compound	Sunligh	nt 50° N	Sunligh	nt 40° N	Sunligh	1t 30° N		
		DT50	DT90	DT50	DT90	DT50	DT90		
	Parent	22.8	77.3	11.9	40.2	7.5	25.4		
	M8	25.4	84.9	13.2	44.1	8.3	27.9		
	Parent pond	31.7	104	16.5	54	10.4	35.5		
	M7	83.7	280.2	43.5	145.5	27.5	95.8		
	M8	41.8	136.9	21.7	71.1	13.7	46.8		
Conclusion	applicant has re- constant and r <sup>2</sup> ( Applicant's vers <b>5.2 :</b> Metabolites present at >50 % identify the very	<ul> <li>applicant has re-evaluated the data using first order kinetics to calculate t<sub>12</sub>, rate constant and r<sup>2</sup> (data shown below within figure A7_1_1_2-4)</li> <li>Applicant's version is considered acceptable noting the following:</li> <li>5.2 : Metabolites M7 and M10 were not identified, despite the fact that these were present at &gt;50 % in the samples It should be noted that Attempts were made to identify the very polar unknown major photodegradates M7 and M10</li> </ul>							
Reliability	2								
	Study conducted possibly with ind affect the quality	l in accordar complete rep of relevant	nce with ge porting or r results.	nerally acc nethodolog	cepted scier gical deficio	ntific princi encies, whi	ples, ch do not		
Acceptability	Acceptable								
<b>Remarks</b> All endpoints and data presented in the summary and tables have be against the original study. The DT50 values can be considered acceed the study fails to identify metabolite M7 and M10, while this is a r non-identification of the metabolites has no effect upon the resulting the study fails to identify metabolite has no effect upon the resulting the study fails to identify metabolite has no effect upon the resulting the study fails to identify metabolite has no effect upon the resulting the study fails to identify metabolite has no effect upon the resulting the study fails to identify metabolite has no effect upon the resulting the study fails to identify metabolite has no effect upon the resulting the study fails to identify metabolite has no effect upon the resulting the study fails to identify metabolite has no effect upon the resulting the study fails to identify metabolite has no effect upon the resulting the study fails to identify metabolite has no effect upon the resulting the study fails to identify metabolite has no effect upon the resulting the study fails to identify metabolite has no effect upon the resulting the study fails to identify metabolite has no effect upon the resulting the study fails to identify metabolite has no effect upon the resulting the study fails to identify metabolite has no effect upon the resulting the study fails to identify metabolite has no effect upon the resulting the study fails to identify metabolite has no effect upon the resulting the study fails to identify metabolite has no effect upon the resulting the study fails to identify metabolite has no effect upon the resulting the study fails to identify metabolite has no effect upon the resulting the study fails to identify metabolite has no effect upon the study fails to identify metabolite has no effect upon the study fails to identify metabolite has no effect upon the study fails to identify metabolite has no effect upon the study fails to identify metabolite has no effect upon the study fails to identify me					have been o d acceptabl s is a requir resulting D	checked le, however ement, the Γ50 values.			
	As discussed within Doc IIA the photometabolites are not relevant to the final risk assessment.								
	All endpoints an against the origin	d data prese nal study.	ented in the	summary	and tables I	have been o	checked		
	COMMENTS I	FROM							

Date

## Section A7.1.1.2Phototransformation in water including identity ofAnnex Point IIA VII.7.6.2.2transformation products

Materials and Methods Results and discussion Conclusion Reliability Acceptability

Remarks

Criteria	Details
Purity of water	Purified water and natural pond water sterilised by gamma irradiation up to a maximal dose of 60 kGy.
Preparation of test chemical solution	Stock solution: 0.202 mg 2-(n-octyl)-4-[4,5- <sup>14</sup> C] isothiazolin-3-one $({}^{14}C$ -OIT)/mL acetonitrile.
Test concentrations (mg a.s./L)	Initial concentrations: (buffer) and (natural pond water); The concentration of the co-solvent acetonitrile was 0.2%.
Temperature (°C)	About 25 °C
Preparation of a.s. solution	Not applicable
Controls	Dark controls
Identity and concentration of co- solvent	See above

 Table A7\_1\_1\_2-1:
 Description of test solution and controls

Table A7_1_1_2-2:	Description of test system

Criteria	Details
Laboratory equipment	Photolysis was performed using cylindrical vessels constructed entirely of Pyrex glass covered with quartz glass plates (to cut off radiation below 290 nm similarly to the natural sunlight cut-off by ozone), which were screwed on top of the vessel. Exposed area 28.26 cm <sup>2</sup> ; 100 mL solution
Test apparatus	
Radiometer	LI-1800 spectrophotometer
Properties of artificial light source:	
Nature of light source	Max. 765 W/m <sup>2</sup> at max. UV filtering (lambda < 800 nm) with irradiance between 400 W/m <sup>2</sup> and 765 W/m <sup>2</sup> to a pre-set value.)
Emission wavelenght spectrum	2125 D8/30 17:13 L2,ST,P1,857463 LIMS: 300NM 800NM INT: 1NM MIN: 4.025E-02 at 300NM MAX: 2.610E+00 at 468NM 3.50E+00 2.50E+00 2.50E+00 1.50E+00 5.00E-01 0.00E+00 300 Wavelength (nm)
Light intensity	400 W/m <sup>2</sup> to 765 W/m <sup>2</sup>
Filters	UV filter with a 290 nm cut-off to simulate natural sunlight

Pattern				IF	RADIAT	ION TIM	E IN DAY	'S		
Irradiated Buffer (pH 7)	[Suntest]	0	0.08	0.17	0.63	1	2	4	8	15
(%applied)	[Sunlight]1	0	0.2	0.3	1.3	2.0	4.1	8.1	16.2	30.5
	Α	98.1	93.9	88.6	76.0	65.5	46.1	21.2	5.0	0.6
Parent	В	97.7	94.4	91.0	76.9	67.3	45.5	20.2	5.8	1.6
	mean	97.9	94.2	89.8	76.5	66.4	45.8	20.7	5.4	1.1
	Α	*	*	*	*	*	*	0.7	*	*
M1 (unknown)	В	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	mean	*	*	*	*	*	*	0.4	*	*
	Α	*	0.4	*	*	*	0.9	2.3	4.7	3.0
M2 (unknown)	В	*	*	*	*	0.7	0.3	*	3.3	3.0
	mean	*	0.2	*	*	0.3	0.6	1.1	4.0	3.0
	Α	1.2	0.8	0.7	1.3	2.0	2.9	8.3	14.9	15.6
M3	В	0.6	1.1	0.8	1.5	1.7	4.4	7.2	14.3	16.8
	mean	0.9	1.0	0.7	1.4	1.8	3.6	7.8	14.6	16.2
	A	*	*	*	*	*	0.5	1.1	2.0	*
M4 (unknown)	В	*	*	*	*	*	0.5	2.6	*	3 5
	mean	*	*	*	*	*	0.5	1.8	1.0	1.7
	A	0.4	0.4	0.4	*	*	*	*	*	*
M5 (unknown)	В	0.5	05	0.7	*	*	*	0.4	*	*
	mean	0.4	0.5	0.6	*	*	*	0.2	*	*
	Α	*	*	*	*	*	*	18	*	32
M6 (unknown)	В	*	*	*	*	*	*	1.6	1.8	3.2
	mean	*	*	*	*	*	*	1.7	0.9	3.2
	Α	*	09	18	55	12.4	18 9	33 3	52 9	56 2
M7 (unknown)	В	12	10	2.1	5.7	9.9	19.4	30 0	51 8	54 0
	mean	0.6	0.9	2.0	5.6	11.1	19.2	31.7	52.3	55.1
	Α	0.3	1.9	3.2	10 0	14.7	21 8	20 0	6.9	*
M8	В	*	1.8	3.4	10 3	15 2	22.7	26 5	14.4	2.5
	mean	0.2	1.8	3.3	10.2	15.0	22.3	23.3	10.6	1.3
	A	*	*	*	*	*	1.6	1.4	2.4	2.4
M9	В	*	*	0.3	*	0.6	1.3	1.6	2.1	2.2
	mean	*	*	0.2	*	0.3	1.5	1.5	2.2	2.3
	A	*	*	0.3	1.3	*	*	*	1.8	3.0
M10 (unknown)	В	*	*	*	0.8	0.8	0.4	1.5	1.7	*
	mean	*	*	0.1	1.1	0.4	0.2	0.8	1.7	1.5
<sup>14</sup> CO <sub>2</sub>	A	n.p.	<0.1	<0.1	0.4	0.8	1.3	3.1	7.1	9.9
-	В	n.p.	<0.1	<0.1	0.4	0.8	1.8	3.5	3.7	7.b
Volatiles in e hylene glycol	В	n.p.	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.6	0.7
	Α	100.0	98.3	95.0	94.6	95.6	94.1	93.5	98.1	94.4
TOTAL	в	100.0	98.8	98.4	96.6	97.9	97.3	97.1	101.3	95.0

Table A7_1_1_2-3:	Degradation of <sup>14</sup> C-OIT in the irradiated buffer samples at pH 7. Results shown in
	% of the applied radioactivity.

A/B: Replicates

\*: 1 not detected or lower than detection limit natural summer sunlight at latitude 50°N

M3: N-(n-octyl) ethyl-amine, contains also low amounts of N-(n-octyl)malonamic acid

N-(n-octyl)acetamide M8:

M9: N-(n-octyl)oxamic acid

M7/M10: radioactive fractions conaining multiple components

Table A7_1_1_2-4:	Distribution of <sup>14</sup> C-OIT in the dark control buffer samples at pH 7. Results shown
	in % of the applied radioactivity.

Pattern (pH 7) Dark control	INCUBATION TIME IN DAYS									
(% applied)	0	0.08	0.17	0.63	1	2	8	15		
Parent	98.5	99.0	96.9	98.0	99.0	97.4	98.2	95.4		
M1 (unknown)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
M2 (unknown)	0.3	0.3	*	*	*	0.3	3.2	4.4		
M3	0.7	0.8	0.8	*	0.6	0.6	1.3	0.4		
M4 (unknown)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
M5 (unknown)	0.5	0.4	0.7	*	0.4	0.5	0.5	*		
M6 (unknown)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	1.2		
M7 (unknown)	*	*	*	*	*	0.3	0.4	0.4		
M8	*	*	0.3	*	*	*	*	*		
M9	*	*	*	*	*	*	0.3	0.7		
M10 (unknown)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
<sup>14</sup> CO <sub>2</sub>	n.p.	0.0	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.3		
TOTAL	100.0	100.6	98.7	98.1	100.0	99.2	104.1	102.7		

\*: not detected or lower than detection limit

M3: N-(n-octyl) ethyl-amine, contains also low amounts of N-(n-octyl)malonamic acid

M8: N-(n-octyl)acetamide

M9: N-(n-octyl)oxamic acid

Pattern		IRRADIATION TIME IN DAYS								
natural water	[Suntest]	0	0.08	0.17	0.63	1	2	4	8	15
(% applied)	[Sunlight] <sup>1</sup>	0	0.2	0.3	1.3	2.0	4.1	8.1	16.2	30.5
/	A	97.9	95.0	96.2	85.6	76.2	56.8	31.0	9.6	2.2
Parent	В	98.2	95.0	95.2	87.4	74.3	56.2	31.5	11.0	2.8
	mean	98.0	95.0	95.7	86.5	75.2	56.5	31.2	10.3	2.5
	Α	0.4	0.4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
M1 (unknown)	В	0.8	*	0.4	*	1.3	*	*	*	*
	mean	0.6	0.2	0.2	*	0.6	*	*	*	*
	A	0.7	*	*	*	*	0.8	*	3.6	4.2
M2 (unknown)	В	0.6	*	*	*	1.5	0.5	*	4.4	4.3
	mean	0.6	*	*	*	0.7	0.7	*	4.0	4.2
	Α	0.7	1.2	0.7	*	0.8	1.7	3.1	3.5	5.4
M3	В	0.8	1.2	0.7	*	0.9	0.8	2.4	5.3	5.0
	mean	0.8	1.2	0.7	*	0.8	1.3	2.8	4.4	5.2
	Α	*	*	*	*	1.6	3.0	6.6	0.3	5.6
M4 (unknown)	В	*	0.6	0.4	*	2.2	4.6	5.8	4.9	4.6
	mean	*	0.3	0.2	*	1.9	3.8	6.2	2.6	5.1
M5 (unknown)	Α	*	0.4	0.4	*	*	1.4	*	*	*
	В	*	*	0.5	*	*	1.4	*	*	*
	mean	*	0.2	0.5	*	*	1.4	*	*	*
M6 (unknown)	Α	*	0.4	*	*	*	1.1	1.8	1.2	2.4
	В	*	0.3	0.6	*	*	2.0	1.6	*	*
	mean	*	0.3	0.3	*	*	1.6	1.7	0.6	1.2
	Α	*	0.5	1.5	4.8	6.3	9.5	12.9	7.0	6.2
M7 (unknown)	В	*	0.8	0.9	3.9	4.2	6.8	9.7	10.6	3.9
	mean	*	0.7	1.2	4.3	5.2	8.1	11.3	8.8	5.0
M8	Α	*	1.3	2.7	7.5	12.3	19.0	25.5	21.1	5.3
	В	*	1.6	2.4	8.8	11.1	16.8	24.7	15.4	3.6
	mean	*	1.4	2.5	8.2	11.7	17.9	25.1	18.2	4.5
	Α	*	*	*	*	*	*	2.0	3.5	5.4
M9	В	*	0.4	*	*	*	1.2	2.5	4.4	5.9
	mean	*	0.2	*	*	*	0.6	2.3	3.9	5.7
	Α	*	*	0.4	1.8	4.3	7.4	14.6	41.7	54.3
M10 (unknown)	В	*	0.3	0.5	1.5	4.4	8.2	17.8	42.0	63.2
	mean	*	0.1	0.5	1.6	4.3	7.8	16.2	41.9	58.7
<sup>14</sup> CO <sub>2</sub>	Α	n.p.	<0.1	<0.1	0.1	0.3	0.7	1.6	4.1	6.8
	В	n.p.	<0.1	<0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	1.4	1.8	6.7
Volatiles in ethylene glycol	A	n.p.	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.1	0.3	0.5	0.6	0.7
, ,,,,,,	В	n.p.	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.2	0.5	0.8	0.9	1.0
TOTAL	A	99.7	99.3	101.9	99.9	101.8	101.8	99.5	96.3	98.5
	В	100.3	100.2	101.7	101.9	100.3	99.4	98.1	100.8	101.0

Table A7_1_1_2-5:	Degradation of <sup>14</sup> C-OIT in the irradiated natural pond water samples. Results
	shown in % of the applied radioactivity.

A/B: Replicates

\*: 1 not detected or lower than detection limit natural summer sunlight at latitude 50°N

M3: N-(n-octyl) ethyl-amine, contains also low amounts of N-(n-octyl)malonamic acid

M8: N-(n-octyl)acetamide

M9: N-(n-octyl)oxamic acid

M7/M10: radioactive fractions conaining multiple components

Table A7_1_1_2-6:	Distribution of <sup>14</sup> C-OIT in the dark control natural pond water samples. Results
	shown in % of the applied radioactivity.

Pattern nat. water	INCUBATION TIME IN DAYS									
Dark control										
(% applied)	0	0.08	0.17	0.63	1	2	4	8	15	
Parent	97.4	95.4	97.6	97.4	93.9	93.3	86.9	82.0	42.6	
M1 (unknown)	0.4	*	0.4	1.5	1.8	1.2	*	*	95	
M2 (unknown)	0.9	*	1.9	*	*	1.8	1.9	8.5	*	
M3	0.5	12	0.7	*	0.7	0.6	1.2	1.7	*	
M4 (unknown)	0.8	0.6	0.9	0.6	2.1	1.8	3.0	*	7.8	
M5 (unknown)	*	*	*	*	*	*	2.5	*	*	
M6 (unknown)	*	03	*	2.4	2.1	*	3.2	1.1	12 8	
M7 (unknown)	*	08	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
M8	*	1.6	03	*	*	0.7	*	*	0.5	
M9	*	0.4	*	*	*	0.5	*	0.9	0.8	
M10 (unknown)	*	0.3	*	*	*	0.4	0.5	3.4	1.2	
M11 (unknown)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	1.1	
M12 (unknown)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	9.1	
<sup>14</sup> CO <sub>2</sub>	n.p.	0 0	<0.1	<0.1	0.2	0.4	1.7	3.2	79	
TOTAL	100.0	100.6	101.9	102.0	100.9	100.6	100.9	100.9	93.3	

\*: not detected or lower than detection limit

M3: N-(n-octyl) ethyl-amine, contains also low amounts of N-(n-octyl)malonamic acid

N-(n-octyl)acetamide

M8: M9: N-(n-octyl)oxamic acid

## Figure A7\_1\_1\_2-1:Degradation of 14C-OIT and major metabolites in sterilised buffer solution at<br/>pH 7. Irradiated samples (top), dark controls (bottom).





- M3: N-(n-octyl) ethyl-amine, contains also low amounts of N-(n-octyl)malonamic acid
- M8: N-(n-octyl)acetamide
- M7: radioactive fraction containing multiple components







Note: Degradation observed in the dark control was due to microbial contamination. In the sterile dark controls of the buffered system <sup>14</sup>C-OIT was stable.

M8: N-(n-octyl)acetamide

M7/M10: radioactive fractions containing multiple components



Figure A7\_1\_1\_2-3: Proposed degradation pathway of irradiated OIT in aqueous system