

Section A6.8.1	Teratogenicity test	
Annex Point IIA6.8	6.8.1 Developmental toxicity test in the rabbit	
	JUSTIFICATION FOR NON-SUBMISSION OF DATA	Official use only
Other existing data <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Technically not feasible <input type="checkbox"/>	Scientifically unjustified <input type="checkbox"/>
Limited exposure <input type="checkbox"/>	Other justification <input type="checkbox"/>	
Detailed justification:	<p>No Guideline study on the teratogenicity of dichlofluanid in rabbits has been submitted.</p> <p>However, the non-guideline study by Parish (1982) is of sufficient quality and its deviations from the current relevant Guidelines (e.g., OECD 414 or 88/302/EEC B.31) are minor and do not render the study unsuitable for risk assessment.</p> <p>Despite its non-compliance with GLP regulations, which were not in force at the time of the study, the study is well reported and thus reliable.</p> <p>Conducting a new Guideline study where a reliable data base already exists is not justified for animal welfare reasons.</p>	
Undertaking of intended data submission <input type="checkbox"/>	–	
Evaluation by Competent Authorities		
<i>Use separate "evaluation boxes" to provide transparency as to the comments and views submitted</i>		
EVALUATION BY RAPPORTEUR MEMBER STATE		
Date	9/02/05	
Evaluation of applicant's justification	The UK CA agrees with the applicant's justification.	
Conclusion		
Remarks		
COMMENTS FROM OTHER MEMBER STATE (specify)		
Date	<i>Give date of comments submitted</i>	
Evaluation of applicant's justification	<i>Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state</i>	
Conclusion	<i>Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state</i>	
Remarks		