

Justification Document for the Selection of a CoRAP Substance

Substance Name (public name): bis(nonylphenyl)amine

EC Number: 253-249-4

CAS Number: 36878-20-3

Authority: FR MSCA

Date: 22/03/2016

Note

This document has been prepared by the evaluating Member State given in the CoRAP update.

Table of Contents

1	IDENTITY OF THE SUBSTANCE	3
1.1	Other identifiers of the substance	3
1.2	Similar substances/grouping possibilities	4
2	OVERVIEW OF OTHER PROCESSES / EU LEGISLATION	5
3	HAZARD INFORMATION (INCLUDING CLASSIFICATION)	6
3.	Classification 1.1 Harmonised Classification in Annex VI of the CLP 1.2 Self classification 1.3 Proposal for Harmonised Classification in Annex VI of the CLP	6
4	INFORMATION ON (AGGREGATED) TONNAGE AND USES	6
4.1	Tonnage and registration status	e
4.2	Overview of uses	7
	JUSTIFICATION FOR THE SELECTION OF THE CANDIDATI RAP SUBSTANCE	E 9
5.1.	Legal basis for the proposal	g
5.2.	Selection criteria met (why the substance qualifies for being in CoRAP)	g
5.3.	Initial grounds for concern to be clarified under Substance Evaluation	g
5.4. conc	Preliminary indication of information that may need to be requested to clarify the ern 10	
5.5.	Potential follow-up and link to risk management	11

1 IDENTITY OF THE SUBSTANCE

1.1 Other identifiers of the substance

Table 1: Other Substance identifiers

EC name (public):	Bis(nonylphenyl)amine
IUPAC name (public):	Reaction products of Benzeneamine, N-phenylwith nonene (branched)
Index number in Annex VI of the CLP Regulation:	
Molecular formula:	C ₃₀ H ₄₇ N
Molecular weight or molecular weight range:	169.0 — 547.0
Synonyms:	Benzenamine, ar-nonyl-N-(nonylphenyl)-

Type of substance $lacksquare$	☐ Mono-constituent	☐ Multi-constituent	☑ UVCB
--------------------------------	--------------------	---------------------	--------

Structural formula:

Table 2: Constituent

EC number:	253-249-4
EC name (public):	bis(nonylphenyl)amine
CAS number:	36878-20-3
CAS name (public):	
IUPAC name (public):	Reaction products of Benzeneamine, N-phenylwith nonene (branched)
Index number in Annex VI of the CLP Regulation:	
Molecular formula:	C ₃₀ H ₄₇ N
Molecular weight or molecular weight range:	169.0 — 547.0
Synonyms:	Benzenamine, ar-nonyl-N-(nonylphenyl)

Structural formula:

1.2 Similar substances/grouping possibilities

Chemical name	Benzeneamine, N-phenyl-, reaction products with	Benzenamine, N-phenyl-, reaction products with 2,4,4-trimethylpentene	Mono- nonyldiphenylamine	Dioctyldiphenyl amine
	Target chemical / analogue	Source chemical	Source chemical	Source chemical
CAS no	36878-20-3	68411-46-1	27177-41-9	101-67-7
EC No	253-249-4	270-128-1		

There is a self-classification of susbtance CAS# 68411-46-1 as Eye Irrit. 2, STOT RE 2, Aquatic Chronic 3 or 2.

2 OVERVIEW OF OTHER PROCESSES / EU LEGISLATION

Table 3: Completed or ongoing processes

RMOA		\square Risk Management Option Analysis (RMOA)	
	ion	□ Compliance check, Final decision	
	Evaluation	□ Testing proposal	
sses	ĒV	☐ CoRAP and Substance Evaluation	
REACH Processes	Authorisation	☐ Candidate List	
REAC	Author	☐ Annex XIV	
	Restric -tion	\square Annex XVII 1	
Harmonised C&L		☐ Annex VI (CLP) (see section 3.1)	
ses ther tion		☐ Plant Protection Products Regulation	
Processes under other EU legislation		Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009	
Pr unc		☐ Biocidal Product Regulation Regulation (EU) 528/2012 and amendments	
Previous legislation		☐ Dangerous substances Directive Directive 67/548/EEC (NONS)	
Prev		☐ Existing Substances Regulation Regulation 793/93/EEC (RAR/RRS)	
UNEP) ockholm nvention (POPs	☐ Assessment		
(UNEP) Stockholm convention (POPs Protocol)	☐ In relevant Annex		
Other processes / EU legislation		\square Other (provide further details below)	

¹ Please specify the relevant entry.

After the examination of the TPE a repeated dose toxicity study was requested (90d, OECD 408) by January 2013. This repeated dose toxicity study is available. The study is well conducted. Effects on liver, thyroid and body weight were identified. The liver was the target organ.

Additionally a CCH have been completed in December 2013 requesting a prenatal developmental toxicity study (OECD 414) by 13 December 2014. This study is available and well conducted. No teratogenic or embryotoxic hazards were identified.

3 HAZARD INFORMATION (INCLUDING CLASSIFICATION)

3.1 Classification

3.1.1 Harmonised Classification in Annex VI of the CLP

No existing harmonised classification

3.1.2 Self classification

• In the registration:

Aquatic Chronic 4 M factor=0

 The following hazard classes are in addition notified among the aggregated self classifications in the C&L Inventory:

Aquatic chronic 3, H412

3.1.3 Proposal for Harmonised Classification in Annex VI of the CLP

Not applicable

4 INFORMATION ON (AGGREGATED) TONNAGE AND USES²

4.1 Tonnage and registration status

Table 4: Tonnage and registration status

EC no 253-249-4 MSCA - FR Page 6 of 11

² Please provide here the date when the dissemination site was accessed.

JUSTIFICATION DOCUMENT FOR THE SELECTION OF A CORAP SUBSTANCES

From ECHA dissemination site				
□ Full registration(s) (Art. 10)		☐ Intermediate registration(s) (Art. 17 and/or 18)		
Tonnage band (as per dissemina	ation s	ite)		
□ 1 - 10 tpa	□ 1	0 – 100 tpa	□ 100 – 1000 tpa	
□ 1000 – 10,000 tpa	⊠ 10,000 - 100,000 tpa		□ 100,000 - 1,000,000 tpa	
□ 1,000,000 - 10,000,000 tpa	☐ 10,000,000 - 100,000,000 tpa		□ > 100,000,000 tpa	
□ <1>+ tpa	(e.g. :	10+; 100+; 10,000+ tpa)	☐ Confidential	
Joint submission				

4.2 Overview of uses

Table 5: Uses

Part 1:

\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	☐ Article	☐ Closed
Manufacture	Formulation	Industrial	Professional	Consumer	service life	system
		use	use	use		

Part 2:

Part 2:	Use(s)			
	Handling and dilution of metalworking fluid concentrates			
Formulation	Industrial formulation of lubricant additives, lubricants and greases. Includes material transfers, mixing, large and small scale packing, sampling, maintenance and associated laboratory activities			
	Use of lubricants in open high temperature processes, e.g. quenching fluids, glass release agents			
	General industrial use of lubricants and greases in vehicles or machinery. Includes filling and draining of containers and enclosed machinery (including engines)			
Uses at industrial sites	Use of lubricants in high energy open processes, e.g. in high speed machinery such as metal rolling / forming or metalworking fluids for machining and grinding			
	Use of lubricants and greases in open systems. Application of lubricant to work pieces or equipment by dipping, brushing or spraying (without exposure to heat), e.g. mould releases, corrosion protection, slideways			
	General industrial use lubricants and greases in vehicles and machinery			
	Use of lubricants in high energy open processes, e.g. in high speed machinery such as metal rolling / forming or metalworking fluids for machining and grinding			
	General professional use of lubricants and greases in vehicles or machinery. Includes filling and draining of containers and enclosed machinery (including engines)			
Uses by professional workers	Use of lubricants and greases in open systems. Application of lubricant to work pieces or equipment by dipping, brushing or spraying (without exposure to heat), e.g. mould releases, corrosion protection, slideways			
	Lubricant applications by dipping, brushing or spraying			
	Lubricants in high energy processes			
	General professional use of lubricants and greases in vehicles or machinery			
	General consumer use of lubricants and greases in vehicles or machinery. Includes filling and draining of containers and enclosed machinery (including engines)			
Consumer Uses	Use of lubricants and greases in open systems. Application of lubricant to work pieces or equipment by dipping, brushing or spraying (without exposure to heat), e.g. mould releases, corrosion protection, slideways)			
	Lubricant applications by dipping, brushing or spraying			
	General consumer use of lubricants in vehicles or machinery			
	Consumer use of lubricants in open systems			

5. JUSTIFICATION FOR THE SELECTION OF THE CANDIDATE CORAP SUBSTANCE

5.1.	Legal basis for the proposal
	☑ Article 44(2) (refined prioritisation criteria for substance evaluation)
	☐ Article 45(5) (Member State priority)
5.2.	Selection criteria met (why the substance qualifies for being in CoRAP)
	☑ Fulfils criteria as CMR/ Suspected CMR
	\square Fulfils criteria as Sensitiser/ Suspected sensitiser
	\square Fulfils criteria as potential endocrine disrupter
	☑ Fulfils criteria as PBT/vPvB / Suspected PBT/vPvB
	\boxtimes Fulfils criteria high (aggregated) tonnage ($tpa > 1000$)
	\square Fulfils exposure criteria
	\square Fulfils MS's (national) priorities

5.3. Initial grounds for concern to be clarified under Substance Evaluation

Hazard based concerns				
CMR □ C □ M □ R	Suspected CMR ¹ □ C ⋈ M □ R	☐ Potential endocrine disruptor		
☐ Sensitiser	☐ Suspected Sensitiser ³			
☐ PBT/vPvB	☐ Suspected PBT/vPvB¹	☐ Other (please specify below)		
Exposure/risk based concerns				
☐ Wide dispersive use	☐ Consumer use	☐ Exposure of sensitive populations		
☐ Exposure of environment	☐ Exposure of workers	☐ Cumulative exposure		
☐ High RCR		☐ Other (please specify below)		

Suspected PBT: Potentially Persistent, Bioaccumulative and Toxic

³ CMR/Sensitiser: known carcinogenic and/or mutagenic and/or reprotoxic properties/known sensitising properties (according to CLP harmonized or registrant self-classification or CLP Inventory) Suspected CMR/Suspected sensitiser: suspected carcinogenic and/or mutagenic and/or reprotoxic properties/suspected sensitising properties (not classified according to CLP harmonized or registrant self-classification)

According to uses and high tonnage, the read across proposed in the dossier must be evaluated, particulary for genotoxicity. The justification for the read-across should be redrafted.

Among all tests identified for the assessment of genotoxicity one the different substances used for read-across, one test for CAS 101-67-7 (DNA damage and repair assay, unscheduled DNA synthesis in mammalian cells in vitro) is positive without metabolic activation. Because of these equivocal results, further assessment is iustified.

Concerning environement, despite the substance is an UVCB, no accurate information dealing with identity are provided and it is therefore not possible to state on the PBT status of the components of the substance. In a read across approach, bis(nonylphenyl)amine is considered as P/vP on the basis of a ready biodegradation test carried out with another substance. Nevertheless, because of structural differences between the two substances, biodegradation of bis(nonylphenyl)amine leading to metabolites of concern cannot be completely excluded. According to QSAR, bis(nonylphenyl)amine should not fulfill B/vB criterion. However, component with shorter carbon chain length could have bioaccumulation potential which should be assessed. At last, aquatic toxicity tests have been carried out through the water accommodated fraction and showed no adverse effects on organisms. However, when performed, analytical monitoring do not detect any substance in water. It is therefore not possible to state that organisms have been exposed to the substance.

5.4. Preliminary indication of information that may need to be requested to clarify the concern

☐ Information on physico-chemical

oximes Information on toxicological properties	properties	
\square Information on fate and behaviour	\square Information on exposure	
$\hfill\Box$ Information on ecotoxicological properties	\square Information on uses	
☐ Information ED potential	○ Other (provide further details below)	
Substance identity linked to PBT properties		
Despite the substance is an UVCB, no accurate information dealing with identity are provided and it is therefore not possible to state on the PBT status of the components of the substance. P criterion is assessed through a read across with a worst case substance (branched carbon chain) and QSARs indicate higher biodegradation potentia for linear chain, which could lead to the formation of metabolite of concern. Although QSARs support that bis(nonylphenyl)amine should not be B, they also indicate that phenyl amine with with shorter chain length could have B or even vB potential. At last analyticial monitoring in the aquatic toxicity test do not allow to state that organizes have been exposed to the substance. Therefore, the identity of the substance should first be clarified. Second, the PBT/vPvB criteria should be assessed for each		

EC no 253-249-4 MSCA - FR Page 10 of 11

JUSTIFICATION	DOCUMENT FO	R THE SELECTION	ON OF A CORAP	SUBSTANCES

5.5. Potential follow-up and link to risk management

☐ Harmonised C&L	☐ Restriction	☐ Authorisation	☐ Other (provide further details)