UK CA

Dichlofluanid

Section A6.6.4		Genotoxicity in vivo		
Annex Point IIA6.6		6.6.4 In Vivo Liver UDS		
		1 REFERENCE	Official use only	
1.1	Reference	Ex Vivo hepatocyte UDS study with KUE 13032c. Final report study No		
1.2	Data protection	Yes		
1.2.1	Data owner	Bayer CropScience AG		
1.2.2	Companies with letter of access	Bayer Chemicals AG		
1.2.3	Criteria for data protection			
		2 GUIDELINES AND QUALITY ASSURANCE		
2.1	Guideline study	Broadly compliant with OECD TG 486.		
2.2	GLP	Yes		
2.3	Deviations	The major deviations were the lack of evaluation criteria and the use of only 50 cells per slide. It is noted that 3 slides per animal were used, compared to a minimum of 2 per animal in OECD TG 486.		
		3 MATERIALS AND METHODS		
3.1	Test material			
3.1.1	Lot/Batch number			
3.1.2	Specification			
3.1.2.1	Description	White powder		
3.1.2.2	Purity			
3.1.2.3	Stability	In vehicle: at pH 4 = 15.3 days; at pH 7 = 18.8 hours; at pH 9 = < 10 minutes		
3.1.2.4	Maximum tolerable dose	>5000 mg/kg		
3.2	Test Animals			
3.2.1	Species	Rats		
3.2.2	Strain	Wistar strain		
3.2.3	Source			
3.2.4	Sex	Males		
3.2.5	Age/weight at study initiation	Age: NA Weight: approximately 220-225 g		
3.2.6	Number of animals per group	3 males per dose.		
3.2.7	Control animals	Yes		
3.3	Administration/ Exposure	Oral		

Section A6.6.4		Genotoxicity in vivo		
Annex Point IIA6.6		6.6.4 In Vivo Liver UDS		
3.3.1	Number of applications	1		
3.3.2	Interval between applications	NA		
3.3.3	Post exposure period	2 hours and 16	hours	
3.3.4	Туре	Gavage		
3.3.5	Concentration	0, 170, 500, 15	00 or 4500 mg/kg	
3.3.6	Vehicle	0.5 % aqueous	Cremophor emulsion	
3.3.7	Concentration in vehicle	0, 17, 50, 150	or 450 mg/ml	
3.3.8	Total volume applied	All groups: 10 ml/kg		
3.3.9	Controls	Vehicle (negat 300 mg/kg Me acetylaminoflu	ive control), thylmethanesulphonate and 100 mg/kg 2- torene (positive control).	
3.4	Examinations			
3.4.1	Clinical signs	Assessed		
3.4.2	Tissue	Liver		
		Number of animals:	3	
		Number of cells:	50 cells per slide (three slides per animal)	
		Time points:	Test substance 2 and 16 h after treatment, Methylmethanesulphonate: 2 h after treatment 2- acetylaminofluorene 16 h after treatment	
		Type of cells	Heaptocytes	
		Parameters:	Unscheduled DNA synthesis	
3.5	Further remarks	—		
		4 RESU	JLTS AND DISCUSSION	
4.1	Clinical signs	Clinical signs of animals, in the	of toxicity (distress) were observed in 2/3 high dose 16-hour exposure group.	
4.2	Haematology / Tissue examination			
4.3	Genotoxicity	No		
		No evidence of	f UDS was found at either time point.	
		The positive co dichlofluanid o	ontrols gave appropriate responses. It was concluded that lid not cause UDS under the conditions of the study.	
4.4	Other	Necropsy of th lungs, and exce hepatotoxicity	e animals in the top-dose group revealed congested essive gas in the intestine and stomach. No evidence of was reported.	

UK CA

Dichlofluanid

Section A6.6.4		Genotoxicity in vivo			
Annex Point IIA6.6		6.6.4 In Vivo Liver UDS			
	Trypan blue vital dye call viability tests found viabilities of around 90%.				
		5 APPLICANT'S SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION			
5.1	Materials and methods				
5.2	Results and discussion				
5.3	Conclusion				
5.3.1	Reliability				
5.3.2	Deficiencies				

	Evaluation by Competent Authorities
	Use separate "evaluation boxes" to provide transparency as to the comments and views submitted
	EVALUATION BY RAPPORTEUR MEMBER STATE
Date	23/06/05
Materials and Methods	
Results and discussion	No evidence of UDS was found at either time point with the dose range used.
	The positive controls gave appropriate responses.
Conclusion	Dichlofluanid was not genotoxic under the conditions of the study.
Reliability	2
Acceptability	Acceptable
Remarks	The UK CA has included a robust summary of the above study, as it provides further information on the genotoxic potential of dichlofluanid. The UK CA notes that only 50 cells per slide were counted, from 3 slides per animal. The current OECD TG stipulates a minimum of 100 cells per slide and a minimum of 2 slides per animal.
	Trypan blue vital dye call viability tests found viabilities of around 90%.
	COMMENTS FROM
Date	Give date of comments submitted
Materials and Methods	Discuss additional relevant discrepancies referring to the (sub)heading numbers and to applicant's summary and conclusion. Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state
Results and discussion	Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state
Conclusion	Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state
Reliability	Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state
Acceptability	Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state
Remarks	

Dose	Net Nuclear Grain count	% of cells in repair
Vehicle control	-1.83+/-0.27	0.5+/-0.4
170 mg/kg	-1.93+/-0.32	0.6+/-0.5
500 mg/kg	-1.83+/-0.54	0
1500 mg/kg	-1.91+/-0.28	2.4+/-1
4500 mg/kg	-1.29+/-0.22	1+/-1.14
Methylmethanesulphonate	4.14+/-0.56*	42.5+/-5*

Table 1 Findings for the 2-hour exposure

* Statistically significantly different from vehicle control

Dose	Net Nuclear Grain count	% of cells in repair
Vehicle control	-1.8+/-0.15	0.9+/-0.8
170 mg/kg	-1.68+/-0.25	1.8+/-0.8
500 mg/kg	-1.64+/-0.13	1.1+/-0.8
1500 mg/kg	-1.38+/-0.27	1.1+/-0.4
4500 mg/kg	-1.17+/-0.4	0.7+/-0.7
Methylmethanesulphonate	4.40+/-0.51*	46.5+/-5*

Table 2 Findings for the 16-hour exposure

* Statistically significantly different from vehicle control