Section A2

Identity of Active Substance

| Anne | x point IIA, II 2 | | | |
|-------|---|--------------------|---|----------------------|
| | section ex Point) | | | Official use only |
| 2.1 | Common name | Common name: | Icaridin | \checkmark |
| | (IIA, II) | Synonym: | KBR 3023, Picaridin | |
| | | Trade name: | Bayrepel TM | |
| | | INCI name: | Hydroxyethyl Isobutyl Piperidine Carboxylate | |
| 2.2 | Chemical name (IIA, II 2.2) | IUPAC name: | (RS)-sec-butyl-2-(2-hydroxyethyl)piperidine-1- carboxylate | \checkmark |
| | | CAS name: | 1-Piperidinecarboxylic acid, 2-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1- methylpropylester | |
| 2.3 | Manufacturer's development code number(s) (IIA, II 2.3) | KBR 3023 | | \checkmark |
| 2.4 | CAS No and EC numbers (IIA, II 2.4) | | | |
| 2.4.1 | CAS-No | 119515-38-7 | | \checkmark |
| | Isomer 1 | Not allocated | | |
| | Isomer n | Not allocated | | |
| 2.4.2 | EC-No | ELINCS no.: 42 | 3-210-8 | \checkmark |
| | Isomer 1 | Not allocated | | |
| | Isomer n | Not allocated | | |
| 2.4.3 | Other | Carboxylate was | (the name Hydroxyethyl Isobutyl Piperidine s allocated CIPAC no. 668, whereas CIPAC no. 740 mon name, Icaridin) | \checkmark |
| 2.5 | Molecular and structural formula, molecular mass (IIA, II 2.5) | | | |
| 2.5.1 | Molecular formula | $C_{12}H_{23}NO_3$ | | \checkmark |

Section A2

Identity of Active Substance

Annex point IIA, II 2

| 2.5.2 form | Structural ula | HO $H_{3}C$ CH_{3} O | \checkmark |
|---------------|--|--|--------------|
| 2.5.3 | Molecular mass | 229.3 g/mol | |
| 2.6 | Method of manufacture of the active substance (IIA, II 2.6) | The method of manufacture of the active substance is confidential. This information is provided separately in the confidential part of the dossier. | \checkmark |
| 2.7 | Specification of the | Icaridin has a specified minimal purity of 97.0%. | \checkmark |
| | purity of the active substance, as | (Reference: Anonymous, 2005a) | |
| | appropriate (IIA, II 2.7) | Representative production batches of the active substance are analysed for their Icaridin content. This information is confidential and is provided separately in the confidential part of the dossier. | |
| 2.8 | Identity of impurities and additives, as appropriate (IIA, II 2.8) | The identity of impurities and additives is confidential. This information is provided separately in the confidential part of the dossier. | V |
| 2.8.1 | Isomeric composition | Icaridin is prepared from | \checkmark |
| | | Each of the precursors of Icaridin contains a center of asymmetry. The active ingredient therefore has two centers of asymmetry and consists of two pairs of enantiomers. | |
| | | During the industrial manufacturing process only the racemic form of | |
| | | and is used. | |
| | | Without presence of a stereospecific catalyst or a stereospecific solvent the result of such a reaction must be a racemat, a mixture of two pairs of diastereomers with the theoretical ratio of 1:1 resulting in a mixture of four enantiomers respectively | |
| | | In 1996 the ratio of two pairs of diastereomers was determined in 7 batches and was found to be constant $50 \pm 0.3\%$. | |
| | | (Reference: Koch, 2006c) | |
| | | 5 batches from each SGO plant 1 and SGO plant 5 were analysed for | |

| Draft CA report RMS: Denmark Applicant Saltigo GmbH | | | section 1-4 ember 2010 ed Nov 2016 | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | ion A2 | Identity of Active Substance | | | | | | |
| Anne | Annex point IIA, II 2 | | | | | | | |
| | | the enantiomeric ratio and optical rotation from Icaridin. | | | | | | |
| | | The determination shows a racemic mixture of four enantiomers for Icaridin. The enantiomers are: | | | | | | |
| | | KBR 5279 ((1S/2R)-Isomer) KBR 5280 ((1R/2R)-Isomer) KBR 5265 ((1S/2S)-Isomer) KBR 5264 ((1R/2S)-Isomer) | | | | | | |
| | | The studies show that the ratio of the isomers is 25:25:25:25. | | | | | | |
| | | No optical rotation was determined. | | | | | | |
| | | (Reference: Menne and Boddenberg, 2016a, Report No.: 2016-00177 and Menne and Boddenberg, 2016b, Report No.: 2016-00178) | | | | | | |
| 2.9 | The origin of the natural active substance or the precursor(s) of the active substance (IIA, II 2.9) | Not applicable as the active substance Icaridin has no natural origin. | \checkmark | | | | | |

Section A2 Ident

Identity of Active Substance

Annex point IIA, II 2

| | Evaluation by Competent Authorities | | | | |
|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Use separate "evaluation boxes" to provide transparency as to the comments and views submitted | | | | |
| | EVALUATION BY RAPPORTEUR MEMBER STATE | | | | |
| Date | November 2016 | | | | |
| Materials and methods | Acceptable | | | | |
| Conclusion | Acceptable | | | | |
| Reliability | 1 | | | | |
| Acceptability | Acceptable | | | | |
| Remarks | 2.2, IUPAC name amended to | | | | |
| | (RS)-sec-butyl (RS)-2-(2-hydroxyethyl)piperidine-1-carboxylate. | | | | |

| | Section A2.8Identity of impurities and additives (active substance)Subsection A2.8 | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|--|---|----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Annex Point IIA, II 2.8 | | | | | | | |
| Subsection | | | Official use only | | | | |
| 2.8.1.1 | Common name | The identity of impurities and additives in the active substance as | \checkmark | | | | |
| 2.8.1.2 | Function | manufactured is confidential. This information is provided separately in the confidential part of the dossier. | | | | | |
| 2.8.2 | IUPAC name | 1 | | | | | |
| 2.8.3 | CAS-No | | | | | | |
| 2.8.4 | EC-No | | | | | | |
| 2.8.5 | Other | | | | | | |
| | CIPAC | | | | | | |
| 2.8.6 | Molecular formula | | | | | | |
| 2.8.7 | Structural formula | | | | | | |
| 2.8.8 | Molecular mass | | | | | | |
| 2.8.9 | Concentration of the impurity or additive typical and range of concentrations | | | | | | |

| Section A2.8 Subsection A2.8 Annex Point IIA, II 2.8 | Identity of impurities and additives (active substance) |
|--|--|
| | Evaluation by Competent Authorities |
| | Use separate "evaluation boxes" to provide transparency as to the comments and views submitted |
| | EVALUATION BY RAPPORTEUR MEMBER STATE |
| Date | January 2007 |
| Materials and methods | Acceptable |
| Conclusion | Adopted |
| Reliability | 0 |
| Acceptability | Acceptable |
| Remarks | None |

| Section A2 Subsection A2.8 Annex Point IIA, II 2.8 | Identity of impurities and additives (active substance) 2.8.5 OTHER NUMBERS | | | | | |
|--|---|----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | JUSTIFICATION FOR NON-SUBMISSION OF DATA | Official use only | | | | |
| Other existing data [] | Technically not feasible [] Scientifically unjustified [] | | | | | |
| Limited exposure [] | Other justification [X] | \checkmark | | | | |
| Detailed justification: | CAS and EC numbers, respectively, are given for the impurities of Icaridin where possible. Other numbers, for example CIPAC numbers, are not submitted for the different impurities since such numbers are not allocated for them. | \checkmark | | | | |
| Undertaking of intended data submission [] | _ | | | | | |
| | Evaluation by Competent Authorities | | | | | |
| | Use separate "evaluation boxes" to provide transparency as to the comments and views submitted | | | | | |
| | EVALUATION BY RAPPORTEUR MEMBER STATE | | | | | |
| Date | January 2007 | | | | | |
| Evaluation of applicant's justification | No comments | | | | | |
| Conclusion | Acceptable | | | | | |
| Remarks | None | | | | | |

| Section A2 Subsection A2.9 Annex Point IIA, II 2.9 | Identity The origin of the natural active substance or the | |
|--|--|-------------------|
| | PRECURSOR(S) OF THE ACTIVE SUBSTANCE JUSTIFICATION FOR NON-SUBMISSION OF DATA | Official use only |
| Other existing data [] | Technically not feasible [] Scientifically unjustified [] | |
| Limited exposure [] | Other justification [X] | \checkmark |
| Detailed justification: | Since Icaridin is neither a natural active substance itself nor any precursors of the molecule are natural products this point does not apply to Icaridin. | \checkmark |
| Undertaking of intended data submission [] | _ | |
| | Evaluation by Competent Authorities | |
| | Use separate "evaluation boxes" to provide transparency as to the comments and views submitted | |
| | EVALUATION BY RAPPORTEUR MEMBER STATE | |
| Date | January 207 | |
| Evaluation of applicant's justification | No comments | |
| Conclusion | Acceptable | |
| Remarks | None | |

December 2010

Revised Nov 2016

| | Section A3 Annex point IIA, III 3Physical and Chemical Properties of Active Substance | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|--|---|--------------------------|---|--|--------------|-------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|
| | Subsection (Annex point) | Method | Purity/ Specification | Results | Remarks/ Justification | GLP (Y/N) | Reliability | Reference | Official use only |
| 3.1 | Melting point, boiling point, relative density (IIA, III 3.1) | | | | | | | | |
| 3.1.1 | Melting point | EC method A.1 (Differential Scanning Calorimetry) | Purity: 98.9%, | No freezing, melting, crystallisation or glass transition was observed in a temperature range between -170 °C and 20 °C. A sample kept at -20 °C for 4 weeks did not solidify. | _ | Y | 1 | Krohn, 1996 and Feldhues, 2006 | \checkmark |
| 3.1.2 | Boiling point | The boiling point was calculated using the regression coefficients obtained during vapour pressure determination. | Purity: 98.9% | 296 °C at 1013 hPa | RMS: The calculation is accepted. The value is close to literature value. The exact value is not relevant at these high temperatures. | Y | 1 | Krohn, 1996 | \checkmark |
| 3.1.3 relati | Bulk density/ ive density | | | | | | | | |
| | Relative density | EC method A.3; OECD guideline 109 (bicapillary pycnometer) | Purity: 98.9% | Density at 20 °C: 1.07 g/ml | RMS: Be aware that the density is given – not the relative density. The result is accepted | Y | 1 | Krohn, 1996 | \checkmark |

| | tion A3 ex point IIA, III 3 | Physical and Chem | | | | | | | |
|-----|--|---|----------------------------|--|--|--------------|-------------|-------------|----------------------|
| | Subsection (Annex point) | Method | Purity/ Specification | Results | Remarks/ Justification | GLP (Y/N) | Reliability | Reference | Official use only |
| | Bulk density | _ | _ | _ | The determination of the bulk density is not feasible because Icaridin is liquid. | _ | _ | _ | \checkmark |
| 3.2 | Vapour pressure and Henry`s Law Constant (IIA, III 3.2) | | | | | · · · · | | | \checkmark |
| | Vapour pressure | EC method A.4; OECD guideline 104 (vapour pressure balance) | Purity: 98.9% | 3.4×10^{-2} Pa at 20 °C, 5.9 × 10 ⁻² Pa at 25 °C, 7.1 × 10 ⁻¹ Pa at 50 °C | _ | Y | 1 | Krohn, 1996 | \checkmark |
| | Henry's Law Constant | Calculation (ratio between vapour pressure and water solubility) | Specification: min. 97% | 9.1 × 10 ⁻⁴ Pa×m ³ ×mol ⁻¹ at 20 °C | Values used for calculation: Vapour pressure: 3.4 × 10 ⁻² Pa at 20 C ; Water solubility, unbuffered: 8.6 g/L at 20 °C | Y | 1 | Krohn, 1996 | V |
| 3.3 | Appearance (IIA, III 3.3) | | | 1 | | | | 1 | \checkmark |

December 2010

Revised Nov 2016

ICARIDIN

December 2010

Revised Nov 2016

| | Subsection (Annex point) | Method | Purity/ Specification | Results | Remarks/ Justification | GLP (Y/N) | Reliability | Reference | Official use only |
|-------|---|---------------------------------|--------------------------|------------|--|--------------|-------------|-------------|----------------------|
| 3.3.1 | Physical state | Visual and olfactory inspection | Purity: 98.9% | liquid | RMS: There is no reason to give information on both technical and purified material as the purity of typical technical material is above 98%. | Y | 1 | Krohn, 1996 | \checkmark |
| 3.3.2 | Colour | Visual and olfactory inspection | Purity: 98.9% | colourless | RMS: There is no reason toe give information on both technical and purified material as the purity of typical technical material is above 98%. | Y | 1 | Krohn, 1996 | \checkmark |
| 3.3.3 | Odour | Visual and olfactory inspection | Purity: 98.9% | odourless | RMS: There is no reason to give information on both technical and purified material as the purity of typical technical material is above 98%. | Y | 1 | Krohn, 1996 | \checkmark |
| 3.4 | Absorption spectra (IIA, III 3.4) | | - | - | | | | 1 | V |

Section A3 Physical and Chemical Properties of Active Substance

ICARIDIN

December 2010

Revised Nov 2016

| Subsection (Annex point) | Method | Purity/ Specification | Results | Remarks/ Justification | GLP (Y/N) | Reliability | Reference | Official use only |
|-----------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|---|--|--------------|-------------|----------------|----------------------|
| UV/VIS | Since no official (OECD) guideline is available the test was performed according to internal standard operation | Purity: 98.8% | Icaridin was identified by UV/VIS spectrum; acetonitrile was used as solvent; | _ | Y | 1 | Erstling, 2005 | \checkmark |
| | procedures. | | No absorptivity was observed. | | | | | |
| IR | | Purity: 98.8% | Icaridin was identified | RMS: | Y | 1 | Erstling, 2005 | \checkmark |
| | guideline is available the test was performed according to internal standard operation procedures. | by FTIR using a potassium bromid | by FTIR using a potassium bromide cell. | -OH: 3457 cm ⁻¹ | | | | |
| | | | | methylen: 2938 cm ⁻¹ | | | | |
| | | | | CH ₃ -: 2971 cm ⁻¹ , 2866 cm ⁻¹ , 1377 cm ⁻¹ | | | | |
| | | | | C=O: 1691 cm ⁻¹ , 1666 cm ⁻¹ | | | | |
| | | | | C-O: 1265 cm ⁻¹ , 1426 cm ⁻¹ | | | | |
| | | | | C-N: 1174 cm ⁻¹ | | | | |
| NMR | Since no official (OECD) guideline is available the test was performed according to internal standard operation procedures. | Purity: 98.8% | Icaridin was identified by ¹ H-NMR spectrum; CDCl ₃ was used as solvent. | _ | Y | 1 | Erstling, 2005 | \checkmark |

Section A3 Physical and Chemical Properties of Active Substance

ICARIDIN

December 2010

Revised Nov 2016

| | Subsection (Annex point) | Method | Purity/ Specification | Results | Remarks/ Justification | GLP (Y/N) | Reliability | Reference | Official use only |
|-----|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------|---|--|--------------|-------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| | MS | Since no official (OECD) guideline is available the test was performed according to internal standard operation procedures. | Purity: 98.8% | Icaridin was identified by 70 eV electron impact ionaisation mass spectrum (EI-MS). | RMS: molecular ion 229. Fragments: 184, 156, 128, 84, 57. | Y | 1 | Erstling, 2005 | \checkmark |
| 3.5 | Solubility in water (IIA, III 3.5) | EC method A.6; OECD guideline 105 (flask method) Analysed with HPLC | Purity: 98.2% | Results at 20 °C: 8.6 g/L in unbuffered aqueous solution; 8.2 g/L in buffered aqueous solutions in the range between pH 4 and pH 9. | The solubility in water is not influenced by the pH in the range between pH 4 and pH 9. The lower results for buffered solutions show an influence of salinity on the solubility. | Υ | 1 | Krohn, 1996 | \checkmark |
| | | EC method A.6 (flask method) Analysed with HPLC | Purity: 98.8% | 12.9 g/L at 10 °C, 10.6 g/L at 20 °C, 8.9 g/L at 30 °C | A temperature influence of the water solubility of Icaridin between 10 °C and 30 °C was observed. | Y | 1 | Jungheim, 2006a | use only √ |
| 3.6 | Dissociation constant (-) | OECD guideline 112 (titration method) | Purity: 98.9% | Icaridin has no acidic or basic properties in aqueous solutions. It is not possible to specify dissociation constants for water. | _ | Y | 1 | Krohn, 1996 | \checkmark |

Section A3 Physical and Chemical Properties of Active Substance

ICARIDIN

December 2010

Revised Nov 2016

| Section A3 Annex point IIA, III 3 | | Physical and Chemie | cal Properties (| of Active Substance | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|--------------------------|---|--|--------------|-------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Subsection (Annex point) | Method | Purity/ Specification | Results | Remarks/ Justification | GLP (Y/N) | Reliability | Reference | Official use only | | | | |
| 3.7 | Solubility in organic solvents, including the effect of temperature on solubility (IIIA, III 1) | Determination of a threshold value as a first approximation to the solubility. Portion of Icaridin is added with solvent and filled up to a fixed volume. After mechanical agitation visual inspection is followed whether a homogeneous solution is formed. | Purity: 97.9% | Results at 20 °C: Acetone, Acetonitrile, Dichlormethane, Dimethylsulfoxid, Ethylacetate, n-Heptane, 1-Octanol, Polyethylenglycol, 2-Propanol, Xylene: > 250 g/L | It resulted from the preliminary test that the solubility of Icaridin in representative organic solvents at 20 °C was in all cases > 250 g/L. It is not necessary to determine the exact value in the case of solubility > 250 g/L | Y | 1 | Krohn, 1996 | 1 | | | | |
| | | CIPAC MT 157; CIPAC MT 181 | Purity: 98.8% | Results at 10 °C: Acetone, Acetonitrile, Dichloromethane, Ethylacetate, n-Heptane, 1-Octanol, Polyethyleneglycol 400, 2-Propanol, Xylene: > 250 g/L | The mixture of Icaridin in dimethylsulfoxide was frozen. Therefore a determination of the solubility of Icaridin in dimethylsulfoxide at 10 °C is not possible. | Υ | 1 | Jungheim, 2006b | \checkmark | | | | |

ICARIDIN

December 2010

Revised Nov 2016

| | tion A3 ex point IIA, III 3 | Physical and Chemic | cal Properties o | f Active Substance | - | | | | |
|-----|--|--|--------------------------|---|--|--------------|-------------|-------------|----------------------|
| | Subsection (Annex point) | Method | Purity/ Specification | Results | Remarks/ Justification | GLP (Y/N) | Reliability | Reference | Official use only |
| 3.8 | Stability in organic solvents used in b.p. and identity of relevant breakdown products (IIIA, III 2) | _ | _ | _ | Icaridin as manufactured does not include an organic solvent. Therefore a study regarding stability in organic solvents is not applicable for Icaridin. | _ | _ | _ | V |
| 3.9 | Partition coefficient n- octanol/water (IIA, III 3.6) | EC method A.8; OECD guideline 107 (shake-flask method) | Purity: 98.9% | Results of log Pow at 20 °C: unbuffered water: 2.11; buffered water, pH 4-9 (salt concentration = 0.1 mol/L): 2.23 | The differences between the partition coefficients measured for unbuffered water and buffered water are due to an effect of salinity. RMS: Icaridin is surface active but the result is accepted as it is stated in the report that the concentration was analysed after phase separation | Y | 1 | Krohn, 1996 | V |

ICARIDIN

December 2010

Revised Nov 2016

Section A3

Physical and Chemical Properties of Active Substance

Annex point IIA, III 3

| | Subsection (Annex point) | Method | Purity/ Specification | Results | Remarks/ Justification | GLP (Y/N) | Reliability | Reference | Official use only |
|------|---|---|--------------------------|---|--|--------------|-------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| | | EC method A.8; OECD guideline 117 (HPLC) | Purity: 98.8% | Results of log Pow at pH 7 and different temperatures: 30 °C: 2.3 40 °C: 2.4 50 °C: 2.5 | The log Pow-values showed slight temperature dependence. RMS: The result is accepted as no problem due to the surface activity is reported. | Y | 1 | Jungheim, 2005 | \checkmark |
| 3.10 | Thermal stability, identity of relevant breakdown products (IIA, III 3.7) | OECD guideline 113 (differential thermal analysis (DTA) and thermogravimetric analysis (TGA)) | Purity: 98.9% | DTA: no exothermic reaction in sealed glass and in open containers until 400 °C; TGA: weight loss starting above 120 °C under air and under nitrogen. | Icaridin was classified as thermally stable at ambient temperature under air. | Y | 1 | Krohn, 1996 | \checkmark |
| 3.11 | Flammability, including auto- flammability and identity of combustion products (IIA, III 3.8) | | | | | | | | |

ICARIDIN

December 2010

Revised Nov 2016

| | Subsection | Method | Purity/ Specification | Results | Remarks/ Justification | GLP (Y/N) | Reliability | Reference | Official use only |
|------|---|----------------|--------------------------|---|---------------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| | (Annex point) | | Specification | | Justification | (1/1) | | | use only |
| | Evolution of flammable gases when contact with water | EC method A.12 | Purity: 98.3% | Icaridin does not liberate gases in hazardous amounts as defined in EC method A.12. | - | Y | 1 | Mix, 1996 | \checkmark |
| | Pyrophoric properties | EC method A.13 | Purity: 98.3% | Icaridin has no pyrophoric properties in the sense of EC method A.13. | _ | Y | 1 | Mix, 1996 | \checkmark |
| | Auto-flammability | EC method A.15 | Purity: 98.3% | Icaridin exhibits an ignition temperature of 375 °C. | _ | Y | 1 | Mix, 1996 | \checkmark |
| | | EC method A.15 | Purity: 98.8% | Icaridin exhibits an auto ignition temperature of 375 °C. | _ | Y | 1 | Heitkamp, 2001 | \checkmark |
| 3.12 | Flash-point (IIA, III 3.9) | EC method A.9 | Purity: 98.3% | 151 °C at 1027 hPa | _ | Y | 1 | Mix, 1996 | \checkmark |
| | | EC method A.9 | Purity: 98.8% | 142 °C at 1007 hPa | _ | Y | 1 | Heitkamp, 2001 | \checkmark |

Section A3 Physical and Chemical Properties of Active Substance

ICARIDIN

December 2010

Revised Nov 2016

| Section A3 | |
|-----------------|---|
| Anney point IIA | I |

Physical and Chemical Properties of Active Substance

Annex point IIA, III 3

| | Subsection (Annex point) | Method | Purity/ Specification | Results | Remarks/ Justification | GLP (Y/N) | Reliability | Reference | Official use only |
|------|--|---|--------------------------|---|--|--------------|-------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| 3.13 | Surface tension (IIA, III 3.10) | EC method A.5; OECD guideline 115 (ring method) | Purity: 98.9% | The surface tension of aqueous solutions of Icaridin with a concentration of approximately 1 g/L was determined to be 49 mN/m at 20 °C. | Icaridin has to be classified as surface active according to EC method A.5. | Y | 1 | Krohn, 1996 | \checkmark |
| 3.14 | Viscosity (-) | OECD guideline 114 Rotational viscometer | Purity: 98.8% | 0.104 Pa×s at 23 °C and a shear rate from 0 to 100 s ⁻¹ . | _ | Y | 1 | Jungheim, 2006c | \checkmark |
| 3.15 | Explosive properties (IIA, III 3.11) | EC method A.14 | Purity: 98.3% | Icaridin is not explosive in the sense of EC method A.14. | Thermal stability and mechanical sensitivity are tested | Y | 1 | Mix, 1996 | \checkmark |
| 3.16 | Oxidizing properties (IIA, III 3.12) | _ | _ | Based on scientific judgement it is certified that due to the chemical structural formula Icaridin does not contain oxidising groups in its molecular backbone and thus may not react exothermically with a combustible material. Therefore Icaridin does not have oxidising properties. | | Ν | 2 | Koch, 2006 | \checkmark |

ICARIDIN

December 2010

Revised Nov 2016

| | section ex point) | Method | Purity/ Specification | Results | Remarks/ Justification | GLP (Y/N) | Reliability | Reference | Official use only |
|-------|--|--------|--------------------------|--|--|--------------|-------------|--------------|----------------------|
| conta | tivity towards iner material III 3.13) | _ | _ | Based on experience by the following packaging matter the direct contact with the - Polyethylene Inliner Based on experience by stank, following material in contact with the active suther - 1.4571 VA = 17/12/2 Cr with Ti, C max. 0.08 Based on trials on corrostic different metals, following suitable for the direct constant substance: - 1.4401 = 18/12/2 CrNiN - 1.4313 = 13/4 CrNi-stee | erial is recommended for active substance: toring of Icaridin in a s suitable for the direct bstance: NiMo-steel, stabilised on of Icaridin towards g materials are also tact with the active fo-steel, C max. 0.06 | Ν | 2 | Lindel, 2005 | V |

Section A3 Physical and Chemical Properties of Active Substance

Section A3 Physical and Chemical Properties of Active Substance

| | Evaluation by Competent Authorities |
|-----------------------|--|
| | Use separate "evaluation boxes" to provide transparency as to the comments and views submitted |
| | EVALUATION BY RAPPORTEUR MEMBER STATE |
| Date | January 2007 |
| Materials and methods | Acceptable |
| Conclusion | Adopted |
| Reliability | 1-2 |
| Acceptability | acceptable |
| Remarks | The remarks are inserted in the column "remarks/justification". |

| Section A3 Subsection A3.1.3 Annex Point IIA, III 3.1 | Physical and chemical properties of the active substance BULK DENSITY | |
|---|--|----------------------|
| | Justification for non-submission of data | Official use only |
| Other existing data [] | Technically not feasible [X] Scientifically unjustified [] | \checkmark |
| Limited exposure [] | Other justification [] | |
| Detailed justification: | The determination of the bulk density is only applicable to solid substances. Since the active substance Icaridin is a colourless liquid the determination of the bulk density does not apply. | \checkmark |
| Undertaking of intended data submission [] | _ | |
| | Evaluation by Competent Authorities | |
| | Use separate "evaluation boxes" to provide transparency as to the comments and views submitted | |
| | EVALUATION BY RAPPORTEUR MEMBER STATE | |
| Date | January 2007 | |
| Evaluation of applicant's justification | No comments | |
| Conclusion | Acceptable | |
| Remarks | None | |

| Section A3 | Physical and chemical properties of the active substance | |
|--|--|----------------------|
| Subsection A3.8 Annex Point IIIA, III 2 | STABILITY IN ORGANIC SOLVENTS | |
| | JUSTIFICATION FOR NON-SUBMISSION OF DATA | Official use only |
| Other existing data [] | Technically not feasible [] Scientifically unjustified [] | |
| Limited exposure [] | Other justification [X] | \checkmark |
| Detailed justification: | Since the active substance Icaridin as manufactured does not include an organic solvent a study regarding stability in organic solvents does not apply. For data regarding storage stability of the Icaridin containing formulation (ethanol/water-mixture) please refer to Document III B, Section 3.7. | \checkmark |
| Undertaking of intended data submission [] | _ | |
| | Evaluation by Competent Authorities | |
| | Use separate "evaluation boxes" to provide transparency as to the comments and views submitted | |
| | EVALUATION BY RAPPORTEUR MEMBER STATE | |
| Date | January 2007 | |
| Evaluation of applicant's justification | No comments | |
| Conclusion | Acceptable | |
| Remarks | None | |

| Sectio | on A4.1 | Analytical Methods for Detection and Identification | |
|----------------|---------------------------------|---|----------------------|
| Annex | Point IIA, IV 4.1 | ANALYTICAL METHOD FOR THE DETERMINATION OF PURE ACTIVE SUBSTANCE IN THE ACTIVE SUBSTANCE AS MANUFACTURED | |
| 1.1 | Reference | 1 REFERENCE Jungheim, R., 2009, Validation of a GC-method for the determination of KBR 3023 and significant impurities in KBR 3023. CURRENTA GmbH & CO. OHG, Services Analytik, Leverkusen, Germany, Study No. 2009/0004/01 (unpublished), 2009-05-04. | Official use only |
| 1.2 | Data protection | Yes | |
| 1.2.1 | Data owner | Saltigo GmbH | |
| 1.2.2 | Companies with letter of access | | |
| 1.2.3 | Criteria for data protection | Data submitted to the MS after 13 May 2000 on existing a.s. for the purpose of its entry into Annex I/IA. | |
| | | 2 GUIDELINES AND QUALITY ASSURANCE | |
| 2.1 | Guideline study | SANCO guidance 3030/99 rev. 4 of 11/07/00 | |
| 2.2 | GLP | Yes | |
| 2.3 | Deviations | No | |
| | | 3 MATERIALS AND METHODS | |
| 3.1 | Preliminary treatment | | |
| 3.1.1 3.1.2 | Enrichment Cleanup | An amount of the active substance as manufactured is dissolved in dichloromethane by manual shaking after it was weighed to the nearest 0.01 mg into a measuring flask. After filling the mark with dichloromethane an aliquot of the solution is analysed according to the indicated conditions. | |
| 3.2 | Detection | | |
| 3.2.1 | Separation method | The active substance is determined by means of gas chromatography according to the following conditions: | |
| | | Separation column: Fused silica capillary Length: 25 m Internal diameter: 0.32 mm Film thickness: 1.2 µm Stationary phase: CP-Sil 5 CB Carrier gas: Helium Split: 70 mL/min Sample injection: Split injection Pressure: 120 kPa Injector temperature: 250 °C | |

| Section A4.1 | | Analytical Methods for Detection and Identification | | | |
|--------------|---|--|--|---|--|
| Annex | Point IIA, IV 4.1 | ANALYTICAL METHOD FOR THE DETERMINATION OF PURE ACTIVE SUBSTANCE IN THE ACTIVE SUBSTANCE AS MANUFACTURED | | | |
| | | Temperature prog Temp. [°C] 60 190 260 | gram: Time [min] 2 5 5 | Ramp [K/min] 10 5 | |
| | | Retention time: I | caridin approx. 1 | 8.8 min | |
| 3.2.2 | Detector | Flame ionisation | detector | | |
| | | Detector tempera | ture: 300 °C | | |
| 3.2.3 | Standard(s) | External standard | l: Icaridin (purity | y: 98.2%) | |
| 3.2.4 | Interfering substance(s) | Due to the analyt expected. | tical procedure th | here are no interfering substances | |
| 3.3 | Linearity | | | | |
| 3.3.1 | Calibration range | ranging from 80 - | determine the linearity of the detector response six concentrations ging from $80 - 120\%$ of the typical initial weight (where $100\% = 115$ / 5 mL) were measured. | | |
| 3.3.2 | Number of measurements | One measuremen | nt per standard co | oncentration was performed. | |
| 3.3.3 | Linearity | Correlation coeff | ficient: 0.9976 | | |
| 3.4 | Specificity: interfering substances | No interferences | were observed. | | |
| 3.5 | Recovery rates at different levels | | | y rates is not necessary for the active bstance as manufactured. | |
| 3.5.1 | Relative standard deviation | | | letermined for the precision of the 7 (Precision) below. | |
| 3.6 | Limit of determination | No limit of detern testing of specific | | n since the method is only used for | |
| 3.7 | Precision | | | | |
| 3.7.1 | Repeatability | | | precision six replicate sample ght of approx. 115 mg / 5 mL were | |
| | | | | 99.197%. The mean value obtained ard deviation 0.01%. | |
| 3.7.2 | Independent laboratory validation | No independent l | laboratory valida | tion is available. | |

| Section A4.1 | Analytical Methods for Detection and Identification |
|-------------------------|--|
| Annex Point IIA, IV 4.1 | ANALYTICAL METHOD FOR THE DETERMINATION OF PURE ACTIVE SUBSTANCE IN THE ACTIVE SUBSTANCE AS MANUFACTURED |
| | |

4 APPLICANT'S SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

| 4.1 | Materials and methods | The content of Icaridin in the active substance as manufactured is determined by means of gas chromatography using flame ionisation detection after dissolving samples in dichloromethane. The quantitative evaluation is done by area normalisation. For confirmation purposes GC-MS measurements are used. | |
|-------|-----------------------|--|--|
| 4.2 | Conclusion | The analytical method was successfully validated with respect to linearity, specificity, accuracy, and precision. The method is suitable for the determination of the Icaridin content in the active substance as manufactured in the range of 80-120%. | |
| 4.2.1 | Reliability | Reliability indicator: 1 | |
| 4.2.2 | Deficiencies | No | |

| | Evaluation by Competent Authorities |
|-----------------------|---|
| | Use separate "evaluation boxes" to provide transparency as to the comments and views submitted |
| | EVALUATION BY RAPPORTEUR MEMBER STATE |
| Date | November 2016 |
| Materials and methods | Acceptable |
| Conclusion | The analytical method is validated according to SANCO/0303/99 rev. 4 |
| Reliability | 1 |
| Acceptability | The analytical method is accepted |
| Remarks | The normalisation method is used to avoid several sources of measurements uncertainties (e.g. weighing and dilution errors) and has been shown to have a much higher precision and accuracy based on standard deviation, than internal or external standard methods. |

| Section A4.1 | | Analytical Methods for Detection and Identification | |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------|--|----------------------|
| Annex Point IIA, IV 4.1 | | ANALYTICAL METHOD FOR THE DETERMINATION OF IMPURITIES IN THE ACTIVE SUBSTANCE AS MANUFACTURED | |
| | | 5 REFERENCE | Official use only |
| 5.1 | Reference | The analytical methods for the determination of impurities in the active | \checkmark |
| 5.2 | Data protection | substance as manufactured are confidential. This information is provided separately in the confidential part of the dossier. | |
| 5.2.1 | Data owner | F | |
| 5.2.2 | Companies with letter of access | | |
| 5.2.3 | Criteria for data protection | | |
| | | | |
| | | Evaluation by Competent Authorities | |
| | | Evaluation by Competent Authorities Use separate "evaluation boxes" to provide transparency as to the comments and views submitted | |
| | | Use separate "evaluation boxes" to provide transparency as to the | |
| Date | | Use separate "evaluation boxes" to provide transparency as to the comments and views submitted | |
| | ials and methods | Use separate "evaluation boxes" to provide transparency as to the comments and views submitted EVALUATION BY RAPPORTEUR MEMBER STATE | |
| | | Use separate "evaluation boxes" to provide transparency as to the comments and views submitted EVALUATION BY RAPPORTEUR MEMBER STATE | |
| Materi | ision | Use separate "evaluation boxes" to provide transparency as to the comments and views submitted EVALUATION BY RAPPORTEUR MEMBER STATE | |
| Materi Conclu | ısion ility | Use separate "evaluation boxes" to provide transparency as to the comments and views submitted EVALUATION BY RAPPORTEUR MEMBER STATE | |

| Section A4.2 | | Analytical Methods for Detection and Identification | | | |
|--------------|---------------------------------|---|----------------------|--|--|
| Annex | Point IIA, IV 4.2 | ANALYTICAL METHOD FOR THE DETERMINATION OF ACTIVE SUBSTANCE RESIDUES IN AIR | | | |
| 6.1 | Reference | | Official ise only | | |
| 6.2 | Data protection | Yes | | | |
| 6.2.1 | Data owner | LANXESS Deutschland GmbH | | | |
| 6.2.2 | Companies with letter of access | - | | | |
| 6.2.3 | Criteria for data protection | Data submitted to the MS after 13 May 2000 on existing a.s. for the purpose of its entry into Annex I/IA. | | | |
| | | 7 GUIDELINES AND QUALITY ASSURANCE | | | |
| 7.1 | Guideline study | No guidelines available | | | |
| 7.2 | GLP | Yes | | | |
| 7.3 | Deviations | No | | | |
| | | 8 MATERIALS AND METHODS | | | |
| 8.1 | Preliminary treatment | | | | |
| 8.1.1 | Enrichment | Three adsorption tubes, each filled with 600 mg wadding, are connected | | | |
| 8.1.2 | Cleanup | in series to the sampling apparatus. For sampling air is sucked through the adsorption tubes (air throughput 2.0 L/min). The total volume of air (10 L) passing through, the temperature of the gas meter, the temperature of the inhalation chamber and the barometric pressure are read off. After sampling the adsorption tubes connected in series are mounted on a 100 mL volumetric flask, in the reverse order from that of the original direction of gas flow. A funnel is attached and 100 mL carbon tetrachloride is allowed to flow slowly through the tubes. The flask is then made up to the mark with carbon tetrachloride. The determination of the active substance is performed after gas chromatographic separation by means of a flame ionisation detector according to the indicated conditions. | | | |
| 8.2 | Detection | | | | |
| 8.2.1 | Separation method | Icaridin residues in air are analysed by means of gas chromatography using flame ionisation detection under the following conditions: Method: "Splitless" (run time 0.7; valve 5 off) | | | |
| | | Column: Fused silica column (series 530 μ); length: | | | |
| | | Column packaging:10m; film thickness: 2.0 µmHP-17 (crosslinked 50% phenyl methyl silicone) (from Hewlett-Packard)Gas settings: | | | |
| | | Carrier gas: Helium, 10 mL/min Make-up gas: Helium. 20 mL/min | | | |

| Section A4.2 | | Analytical Methods for Detection and Identification | | | | |
|--------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Annex | Point IIA, IV 4.2 | ANALYTICAL METHOD FOR THE DETERMINATION OF ACTIVE SUBSTANCE RESIDUES IN AIR | | | | |
| | | Fuel gas: Temperatures: Injector: Detector: Column: | Hydrogen: 30 mL/min Synthetic air: 450 mL/min 200 °C 300 °C 1.5 min at 65 °C, then to 210 °C at 30 °C/min | | | |
| | | Volume injected: Retention time: The evaluation is perfo | 1.0 μL Solvent: 0.58 min Active substance: 7.32 min | | | |
| 8.2.2 | Detector | - | | | | |
| 8.2.3 | Standard(s) | External standard (Icar | idin, purity: 99.1%) | | | |
| 8.2.4 | Interfering substance(s) | Substances of sample r Icaridin. | natrix or adsorption material may interfere with | | | |
| 8.3 | Linearity | | | | | |
| 8.3.1 | Calibration range | | | | | |
| 8.3.2 | Number of measurements | concentrations, are injected for calibration purposes. Thus no extrapolation was performed. The determination of a calibration curve is | | | | |
| 8.3.3 | Linearity | therefore not necessary | ALLYTICAL METHOD FOR THE DETERMINATION OF ACTIVE SUBSTANCE RESIDUES IN AIR Fuel gas: Hydrogen: 30 mL/min Synthetic air: 450 mL/min Temperatures: Injector: 200 °C Detector: 300 °C Column: 1.5 min at 65 °C, then to 210 °C at 30 °C/min finally 10 min at 210 °C Volume injected: 1.0 µL Retention time: Solvent: 0.58 min Active substance: 7.32 min Cheevaluation is performed using an integrator. caridin residues in air are detected by means of flame ionisation Retection. External standard (Icaridin, purity: 99.1%) Substances of sample matrix or adsorption material may interfere with caridin. At regular intervals and alternating with the analysis samples, somparison standards of the active substance, at comparable concentrations, are injected for calibration purposes. Thus no extrapolation was performed. The determination of a calibration curve is herefore not necessary. No significant interferences were detected at the retention time corresponding to learidin. Food 0.0 (solution 2) µg active substance per mL ethanol / obyethyleneglycol 400 (1:1) were produced. 1.0 mL of solution 1 7635.0 (gabolute) and 0.2 mL solution 2 (120.0 µg absolute) were each placed in the wadding in the first of three adsorption tubes margaged in series. Fortified adsorption tubes were analysed in puintuplet for each fortification level. In one test of each fortification evel the three tub | | | |
| 8.4 | Specificity: interfering substances | | | | | |
| 8.5 | Recovery rates at different levels | or 600.0 (solution 2) polyethyleneglycol 400 (7635.0 μg absolute) ar each placed in the wadd arranged in series. Fort quintuplet for each fort |) µg active substance per mL ethanol /) (1:1) were produced. 1.0 mL of solution 1 nd 0.2 mL solution 2 (120.0 µg absolute) were ding in the first of three adsorption tubes ified adsorption tubes were analysed in ification level. In one test of each fortification | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | Recoveries determined A4_2-1. | at each fortification level are compiled in Table | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | · · | | | |
| 8.5.1 | Relative standard | The overall relative sta | ndard deviation was 3.6%. | | | |
| | deviation | | | | | |

| Section A4.2 | | Analytical Methods for Detection and Identification | | |
|--------------|---|---|--|--|
| Annex | Point IIA, IV 4.2 | ANALYTICAL METHOD FOR THE DETERMINATION OF ACTIVE SUBSTANCE RESIDUES IN AIR | | |
| 8.6 | Limit of determination | Under the cited conditions, the limit of detection was 9.0 mg active substance / m^3 air when taking a sample volume of 10 L air and a final volume of 100 mL solution. | | |
| 8.7 | Precision | | | |
| 8.7.1 | Repeatability | The precision was determined from the recovery rates. The overall relative standard deviation was 3.6%. | | |
| | | The precision of the method is acceptable as the relative standard deviation was $< 20\%$. | | |
| 8.7.2 | Independent laboratory validation | No independent laboratory validation available. | | |
| | | 9 APPLICANT'S SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION | | |
| 9.1 | Materials and methods | An analytical method for the determination of Icaridin in air after spraying was developed and validated. For sampling air is sucked through wadding adsorption tubes (air throughput 2.0 L/min, sample volume 10 L). The adsorbed active ingredient is extracted with carbon tetrachloride and determined after gas chromatographic separation by means of a flame ionisation detector. | | |
| 9.2 | Conclusion | The results show that the analytical method permits reliable determination of residues of Icaridin in air with good accuracy and precision. | | |
| 9.2.1 | Reliability | Reliability indicator: 1 | | |
| 9.2.2 | Deficiencies | No | | |

| | Evaluation by Competent Authorities |
|--|---|
| Use separate "evaluation boxes" to provide transparency as to the comments and views submitted | |
| EVALUATION BY RAPPORTEUR MEMBER STATE | |
| Date | January 2007 |
| Materials and methods | Carbon tetrachloride is used in the clean-up process. Carbon tetrachloride is carcionogenic and not acceptable. The method must be validated at ambient temperature and normal humidity as well as at 35C and at least 80% humidity. (The last is sufficient in case of sufficient recovery) Temperature and humidity is not reported in the study submitted. |
| Conclusion | Not adopted – method is not acceptable |
| Reliability | 4 |
| Acceptability | Not acceptable (See Material and methods) |
| Remarks | None |

| Table A4_2-1. Results of recoveries | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Fortification level | Recoveries | Mean recovery (n=5) | Relative standard deviation | Overall mean recovery (n=10) | Overall relative standard deviation | | |
| [µg absolute] | [%] | [%] | [%] | [%] | [%] | | |
| 7635.0 | 102.9 ^(*) , 100.5, 104.4, 102.3, 100.9 | 102.2 | 1.5 | 99.8 | 3.6 | | |
| 120.0 | 96.3 ^{(*),} 103.8, 95.7, 96.9, 94.7 | 97.5 | 3.7 | | | | |

| Table A4 | 2-1: | Results | of recoveries |
|----------|------|---------|---------------|
| | | | |

^(*) The three adsorption tubes were eluted separately

| Section A4.2 | Analytical Methods for Detection and Identification | | | |
|--|---|----------------------|--|--|
| Annex Point IIA, IV 4.2 | ANALYTICAL METHOD FOR THE DETERMINATION OF ACTIVE SUBSTANCE RESIDUES IN ANIMAL AND HUMAN BODY FLUIDS AND TISSUES | | | |
| | JUSTIFICATION FOR NON-SUBMISSION OF DATA | Official use only | | |
| Other existing data [] | Technically not feasible [] Scientifically unjustified [] | | | |
| Limited exposure [] | Other justification [X] | \checkmark | | |
| Detailed justification: | Since Icaridin is not classified as toxic or highly toxic no analytical method for its determination in animal and human body fluids and tissues must be submitted. | \checkmark | | |
| Undertaking of intended data submission [] | _ | | | |
| | Evaluation by Competent Authorities | | | |
| | Use separate "evaluation boxes" to provide transparency as to the comments and views submitted | | | |
| | EVALUATION BY RAPPORTEUR MEMBER STATE | | | |
| Date | January 2007 | | | |
| Evaluation of applicant's justification | No comments. | | | |
| Conclusion | Acceptable | | | |
| Remarks | None | | | |

| Section A4.2 | | Analytical Methods for Detection and Identification | | |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------|---|----------------------|--|
| Annex Point IIA, IV 4.2 | | ANALYTICAL METHOD FOR THE DETERMINATION OF ACTIVE SUBSTANCE RESIDUES IN WATER | | |
| | | Method for the determination of Icaridin (Bayrepel [®]) and its degradation product Bayrepel acid in ground and tap water | | |
| | | 10 REFERENCE | Official use only | |
| 10.1 | Reference | Knepper, T.P., 2005, Monitoring of Bayrepel and Bayrepel-acid in wastewater influents and effluents, ground and tap water, Europa Fachhochschule Fresenius, Idstein, Germany, 2005-10-10 | \checkmark | |
| 10.2 | Data protection | No | \checkmark | |
| 10.2.1 | Data owner | LANXESS Deutschland GmbH | \checkmark | |
| 10.2.2 | Companies with letter of access | _ | | |
| 10.2.3 | Criteria for data protection | Data submitted to the MS after 13 May 2000 on existing a.s. for the purpose of its entry into Annex I/IA. | \checkmark | |
| | | 11 GUIDELINES AND QUALITY ASSURANCE | | |
| 11.1 | Guideline study | No | \checkmark | |
| | | No guideline available | | |
| 11.2 | GLP | No, but method was developed and validated in an accredited laboratory. | \checkmark | |
| 11.3 | Deviations | No | \checkmark | |
| | | 12 MATERIALS AND METHODS | | |
| 12.1 | Preliminary treatment | | | |
| 12.1.1 | Enrichment | Neutral enrichment of Bayrepel | \checkmark | |
| 12.1.2 | Cleanup | 1 L samples of ground or tap water are filtered through a glass fiber filter (0.45 μm, prewashed with methanol and milli-Q-water). Prior to enrichment, 110 ng (10 μL of a solution of 11 ng/μL) of internal standard atrazine D5 are spiked to the samples. The solid phase extraction (SPE) is carried out in the neutral pH-range. The samples are passed through the Oasis [®] HLB 3cc cartridges under vacuum at a flow rate of approximately 20 mL/min. Prior to extraction, the cartridges are conditioned with 2 mL of n-hexane, 6 mL of methanol and 10 mL of ground water. After enrichment, the cartridges are dried under a gentle stream of nitrogen gas for 45 min. Afterwards, the enriched compounds are eluted with 3 x 1.5 mL of acetone/ethyl acetate (1:1, v:v) in 10 mL glass vials with stretched tip. All extracts are evaporated to approximately 150 μL in a gentle nitrogen flow and 100 ng (10 μL of a solution of 10 ng/μL) of external standard fluazifop-buthyl are added. The extract was made up with acetone/ethyl acetate (1:1, v:v) to 200 μL final volume. After all, the extracts are stirred and filled into micro glass vials. 1 μL of the final solution is analysed by GC-MS under the indicated conditions. | | |

| Section A4.2 | Analytical Methods for D | etection and Identification | |
|--------------------------|---|--|--------------|
| Annex Point IIA, IV 4.2 | ANALYTICAL METHOD FOR THE DETERMINATION OF ACTIVE SUBSTANCE RESIDUES IN WATER | | |
| | Method for the determination of degradation product Bayrepel a | | |
| | Acidic enrichment and derivat | isation of Bayrepel-acid | |
| | filter (0.45 μ m, prewashed with a enrichment, the samples are adju 3.5 M and 220 ng (20 μ L of a so MCPP D3 are spiked to the samp pH-range. The samples are passed cartridges under vacuum at a flor Prior to extraction, the cartridges hexane, 6 mL methanol and 10 m After enrichment, the cartridges nitrogen gas for 45 min. Afterwar with 4 x 1.5 mL of acetone in 10 extracts are evaporated to drynes samples are redissolved in 600 μ derivatisation is performed using (in excess) at ambient temperatur reaction is stopped after 60 min 10 acetic acid in acetone (10:90, v:v of external standard PCB 30 are hexane to 1 mL final volume. After | w rate of approximately 20 mL/min. s are conditioned with 2 mL of n- nL of ground water adjusted to pH 2. are dried under a gentle stream of urds, the enriched compounds are eluted mL glass vials with stretched tip. All ss under a gentle nitrogen flow. The | |
| 12.2 Detection | | | |
| 12.2.1 Separation method | Icaridin and Bayrepel acid are do chromatography according to the | | \checkmark |
| | GC-MS system: | 6890-GC/5973inert-MSD | |
| | Separation column: Length: Internal diameter: Film thickness: Stationary phase: Supplier: | capillary column 30 m 0.25 mm 0.25 μm HP-5 MS Agilent, PaloAlto, CA, USA | |
| | Temperatures: Injector: | 250 °C | |
| | Interface | 280 °C | |
| | Oven: Initial temperature: Initial time: Ramps: | 50 °C (On) 0.75 min 50-120 °C with 20 °C/min in 3.5 min 120-230 °C with 2 °C/min in 55 min 230-290 °C with 20 °C/min in 3 min | |
| | Post temp: Post time: | 290 °C 10.0 min | |
| | Carrier gas: | | |
| | Type: Flow: | helium 1.1 mL/min | |

| Section A4.2 | | Analytical Methods for Detection and Identification | | | |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|--------------|--|
| Annex Point IIA, IV 4.2 | | ANALYTICAL METHOD FOR THE DETERMINATION OF ACTIVE SUBSTANCE RESIDUES IN WATER | | | |
| | | | ation of Icaridin (Bayrepel®) and its wrepel acid in ground and tap water | | |
| | | Injection volume: | 1 μL (split/splitless mode) | | |
| | | Solvent delay: | 7.00 min | | |
| | | Retention time: | Icaridin: 22.1 min Bayrepel-acid: 24.7 min | | |
| 12.2.2 | Detector | Mass spectrometric dete | ctor | \checkmark | |
| | | Detector parameters: Temperature: Mode: Dwell time: | 250 °C SIM, EI+ 100 ms | | |
| | | Characteristic ions are u and quantification of eac | sed during GC/MS analysis for identification sh analyte. | | |
| | | | 8 and m/z 184 8, m/z 156, m/z 184 and m/z 257 | | |
| 12.2.3 | Standard(s) | | ion of Icaridin is carried out using Atrazine D5 o-buthyl as external standard. | \checkmark | |
| | | For quantification of the internal and PCB 30 as e | degradation product MCPP D3 is used as external standard. | | |
| 12.2.4 | Interfering substance(s) | Substances of sample ma | atrix may interfere. | \checkmark | |
| 12.3 | Linearity | | | | |
| 12.3.1 | Calibration range | The calibration was done at the following concentr | for Icaridin and Bayrepel-acid in ground water ations: | \checkmark | |
| | | 0.01, 0.02, 0.03, 0.05, 0. | 10, 0.30, 0.50 and 1.00 µg/L. | | |
| 12.3.2 | Number of measurements | One measurement per co | oncentration was performed. | \checkmark | |
| 12.3.3 | Linearity | The correlation coefficients obtained for the different characteristic ions are compiled in Table 4.2-1. | | \checkmark | |

| Saltigo GmbH | | IC | ARIDIN | | nber 2010 Nov 2016 |
|--------------|---|---|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Section A4.2 | | Analytical Methods | s for Detection and I | dentification | |
| Annex | Point IIA, IV 4.2 | | OD FOR THE DETERMI RESIDUES IN WATER | NATION OF | |
| | | | ation of Icaridin (Bayrepe yrepel acid in ground and | | |
| 12.4 | Specificity: interfering substances | Two significant ions are used for identification and quantification of Icaridin (a third ion m/z 229 is present in the chromatogram too) and four significant ions for Bayrepel acid during the mass spectrometric detection. Therefore no interferences were observed. | | \checkmark | |
| 12.5 | Recovery rates at different levels | | les are spiked with 300 ng ely. Each sample was mea | | \checkmark |
| | | Recovery rates: | | | |
| | | Icaridin: | Range of recoveries: Mean value: | 96 - 105% 101% | |
| | | Bayrepel acid: | Range of recoveries: | 94 - 103% | |
| | | | Mean value: | 99% | |
| 12.5.1 | Relative standard deviation | The relative standard de | viation for Icaridin and its | metabolite was 3.7%. | \checkmark |
| 12.6 | Limit of determination | The limit of detection fo tap water is 0.01 μ g/L. | r Icaridin and Bayrepel-ad | cid in ground water / | See remark |
| 12.7 | Precision | | | | |
| 12.7.1 | Repeatability | The fivefold determination of samples enriched with Icaridin and Bayrepel-acid, respectively resulted in a relative standard deviation of 3.7% for both analytes (please refer to point 3.5, recovery rates). | | | |
| 12.7.2 | Independent laboratory validation | No independent laborate | ory validation is available. | | \checkmark |
| | | 13 APPLICANT'S | SUMMARY AND CON | CLUSION | |
| 13.1 | Materials and methods | An analytical method for the determination of Icaridin and its biodegradation product Bayrepel acid in ground and tap water was developed and validated. The compounds are separated by means of gas chromatography using mass spectrometric detection after solid-phase extraction of water samples. Before gaschromatographic analysis a derivatisation of the metabolite has to be performed. The quantitative evaluation is carried out according to the method of the internal and external standard. | | \checkmark | |
| 13.2 | Conclusion | J I I J, J, | | See remark | |
| 13.2.1 | Reliability | Reliability indicator: 2 | | | \checkmark |
| 13.2.2 | Deficiencies | No | | | \checkmark |

Remarks

| Section A4.2 | Analytical Methods for Detection and Identification |
|-------------------------|---|
| Annex Point IIA, IV 4.2 | ANALYTICAL METHOD FOR THE DETERMINATION OF ACTIVE SUBSTANCE RESIDUES IN WATER |
| | Method for the determination of Icaridin (Bayrepel®) and its degradation product Bayrepel acid in ground and tap water |
| | |
| | Evaluation by Competent Authorities |
| | Use separate "evaluation boxes" to provide transparency as to the comments and views submitted |
| | EVALUATION BY RAPPORTEUR MEMBER STATE |
| Date | January 2007 |
| Materials and methods | Acceptable |
| Conclusion | Not adopted. It is concluded that LOQ is 0.01 μ g/L. LOQ is defined as the lowest fortified level validated with RSD <20 % and a recovery between 70 and 110 %. Lowest fortified level in this study is 0.3 μ g/L. The method does not fulfil the required LOQ at 0.1 μ g/L for drinking water. |
| Reliability | 2 (not GLP) |
| Acceptability | Not acceptable. The LOQ does not fulfil the requirement for drinking water. |

derivatisation with diazomethane.

2013: 2013: Analytical method for Bayrepel acid is not acceptable due to

| Table 4.2-1: | Linearity |
|---------------------|-----------|
|---------------------|-----------|

| Compound | Characteristic Ion (m/z) | Correlation coefficient |
|---------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| Icaridin | 128 | 0.999 |
| | 184 | 0.999 |
| Bayrepel acid | 128 | 1.000 |
| | 156 | 0.999 |
| | 184 | 1.000 |
| | 257 | 0.999 |

Table 4.2-2:Recovery

| Compound | Icaridin | Icaridin-acid |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| Groundwater | Mean value: 101 % | Mean value: 99 % |
| Spiked conc. 0.3 µg/L | (104, 96, 102, 105, 99) | (94, 96, 103, 99, 104) |
| | RSD: 3.7% | RSD: 3.7% |
| Wastewater (effluent/influent) | Mean value: 98 % | Mean value: 110 % |
| Spiked conc. 0.3 µg/L | (95, 96, 104) | (113, 105, 113, 107) |
| | RSD: 5.0% | RSD: 3.8% |

| Section A4.2 | | Analytical Methods for Detection and Identification | | |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------|---|-------------------|--|
| Annex Point IIA, IV 4.2 | | ANALYTICAL METHOD FOR THE DETERMINATION OF ACTIVE SUBSTANCE RESIDUES IN SOIL | | |
| | | 14 REFERENCE | Official use only | |
| 14.1 | Reference | Weber, H. and Anspach, Th., 2001, Enforcement method for the determination of the residues of KBR 3023 in soil – Validation of DFG Method S 19 (extended revision) combined with a detection by LC-MS/MS, Dr. Specht & Partner, Chemische Laboratorien GmbH, Hamburg, Germany, Report No. BAY-0105V, Az. G01-0008 (unpublished), 2001-05-22. | V | |
| 14.2 | Data protection | Yes | \checkmark | |
| 14.2.1 | Data owner | LANXESS Deutschland GmbH | \checkmark | |
| 14.2.2 | Companies with letter of access | _ | | |
| 14.2.3 | Criteria for data protection | Data submitted to the MS after 13 May 2000 on existing a.s. for the purpose of its entry into Annex I/IA. | \checkmark | |
| | | 15 GUIDELINES AND QUALITY ASSURANCE | | |
| 15.1 | Guideline study | The applicability of the DFG Method S 19 (extended revision) for the determination of Icaridin in soil was examined according to the guidance document SANCO/825/00 rev. 6 of 20/06/00 of the European Commission, the BBA-guideline: Residue analytical methods for post-registration control purposes of July 21, 1998 and Directive 91/414/EEC, Annex VI, Part C, No. 2.6.2. | 1 | |
| 15.2 | GLP | Yes | \checkmark | |
| 15.3 | Deviations | No | \checkmark | |
| | | 16 MATERIALS AND METHODS | | |
| 16.1 | Preliminary treatment | | | |
| 16.1.1 | Enrichment | Before analysis, the soil (LUFA Speyer standard soil 2.2) was mixed thoroughly without further preparation. | \checkmark | |
| 16.1.2 | Cleanup | Outline of the method: | | |
| | | Specimen material (25 g per analysis) is extracted with acetone. Water is added before-hand in an amount that takes full account of the natural water content of the specimen so that during extraction the acetone:water ratio remains constant at $2+1$ (v+v). For liquid-liquid partition ethyl acetate / cyclohexane (1+1, v+v) and sodium chloride are added and after repeated mixing excess water is separated. The evaporated residue of an aliquot of the organic phase is cleaned by gel permeation chromatography (GPC) on Bio Beads S-X3 polystyrene gel using a mixture of ethyl acetate and cyclohexane (1+1, v+v) as eluent. | | |
| | | The residue containing fraction is concentrated and analysed for residues of Icaridin by liquid chromatography using tandem mass spectrometric detection according to the indicated conditions. | | |

| Section A4.2 | Analytical Methods for Detection and Identification |
|-------------------------|---|
| Annex Point IIA, IV 4.2 | ANALYTICAL METHOD FOR THE DETERMINATION OF ACTIVE SUBSTANCE RESIDUES IN SOIL |

16.2 Detection 16.2.1 Icaridin residues in soil are analysed by means of liquid chromatography Separation method $\sqrt{}$ using tandem mass selective detection under the following conditions: Pre-column: Phenomenex Security Guard C18(2), 5 µm, 4 x 2 mm, No. AJ0-4286 Column: Phenomenex LUNA C18(2), 5 µm, 150 x 2 mm, No. 00F-4252-B0 Eluent: A: Methanol containing 10 mM ammonium acetate B: HPLC-water containing 10 mM ammonium acetate Time [min] A [%] B [%] Equilibration: 2.0 70 30 Injection: 0.0 70 30 Isocratic: 4.070 30 0.4 mL/min Flow rate: Injection volume: 10µL Retention time Icaridin: about 2.8 min For evaluation concentrations in specimen extracts are determined by comparing the detector responses (peak area of the specimen) with the pertinent detector responses obtained from the neighbouring external standard. 16.2.2 Detector Icaridin residues in soil are detected by means of tandem mass $\sqrt{}$ spectrometric detection under the following conditions: Type of interface: Turbo-Ion Spray (Electrospray ionisation) Ionisation mode: positive Acquisition time: 4.0 min Mass transition: 230.4→130.3 amu (Quantifier) 230.4→174.2 amu (Qualifier) $\sqrt{}$ 16.2.3 Standard(s) External standard (Icaridin, purity: 98.1%); External standard solutions: 0.06 and 0.006 µg/mL (in methanol/water (7+3, v/v))16.2.4 Interfering Substances of specimen matrix may interfere with Icaridin. $\sqrt{}$ substance(s) 16.3 Linearity 16.3.1 Calibration range The linearity of the detector response was confirmed by injecting seven standard solutions in the range of 1.25 to 100 ng/mL Icaridin, covering the working range. The single concentrations used for the linearity determination as well as the corresponding peak areas are compiled in Table 4_2-1.

| Section A4.2 | | Analytical Methods for Detection and Identification | |
|-------------------------|---|---|--------------|
| Annex Point IIA, IV 4.2 | | ANALYTICAL METHOD FOR THE DETERMINATION OF ACTIVE SUBSTANCE RESIDUES IN SOIL | |
| 16.3.2 | Number of measurements | Each standard concentration was measured once. | \checkmark |
| 16.3.3 | Linearity | Coefficient of correlation: 1.0000 | \checkmark |
| | | Linear regression: $Y = 8827 * X + 2127$ | |
| 16.4 | Specificity: interfering substances | No significant interferences from the specimen matrix were detected at the retention time corresponding to Icaridin in any of the control specimens. | \checkmark |
| 16.5 | Recovery rates at different levels | A series of recovery experiments was performed by fortifying control (untreated) specimens of LUFA Speyer standard soil 2.2. Fortification experiments were performed at the limit of quantification (0.005 mg/kg) and ten times that level (0.05 mg/kg). | \checkmark |
| | | Fortified specimens of soil were analysed in quintuplet for each fortification level. Control specimens were analysed in duplicate. | |
| | | The overall mean recovery was 84% (n = 10) and the corresponding coefficient of variation was 3.9% . | |
| | | Recoveries determined at each fortification level are compiled in Table A4_2-2. | |
| | | The accuracy of the method is acceptable as the recovery results are in the range of 70 to 110%. | |
| 16.5.1 | Relative standard | The overall coefficient of variation was 3.9%. | \checkmark |
| | deviation | The coefficients of variation determined at each fortification level are compiled in Table A4_2-2. | |
| 16.6 | Limit of determination | The limit of quantification was 0.005 mg/kg and the limit of detection was 0.001 mg/kg . | \checkmark |
| | | The chromatographic peaks were greater than the signal equivalent to three times the background noise. | |
| 16.7 | Precision | | \checkmark |
| 16.7.1 | Repeatability | The overall coefficient of variation was 3.9%. | \checkmark |
| | | The precision of the method is acceptable as the coefficient of variation was $< 20\%$. | |
| 16.7.2 | Independent laboratory validation | No independent laboratory validation available. | \checkmark |

| Section A4.2 | Analytical Methods for Detection and Identification |
|-------------------------|---|
| Annex Point IIA, IV 4.2 | ANALYTICAL METHOD FOR THE DETERMINATION OF ACTIVE SUBSTANCE RESIDUES IN SOIL |
| | |

17 APPLICANT'S SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

| 17.1 | Materials and methods | The applicability of the DFG Method S 19 (extended revision) for the determination of Icaridin in soil was examined. The extraction of Icaridin from soil was performed according to extraction module E 2 followed by a clean-up procedure according to module GPC. Because Icaridin could not be analysed by gas chromatography as a part of the DFG Method S 19, it was determined by high pressure liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometric detection (LC-MS/MS). | \checkmark |
|--------|--------------------------|---|--------------|
| 17.2 | Conclusion | The results show that the DFG S 19 Method (extended revision), combined with the chromatographic determination by high pressure liquid chromatography and the high specific MS/MS detection, permits reliable determination of residues of Icaridin in soil with excellent accuracy and precision from 0.005 to 0.050 mg/kg. | V |
| | | The method was therefore considered valid for the determination of Icaridin residues in soil. | |
| 17.2.1 | Reliability | Reliability indicator: 1 | \checkmark |
| 17.2.2 | Deficiencies | No | \checkmark |

| | Evaluation by Competent Authorities |
|-----------------------|---|
| | Use separate "evaluation boxes" to provide transparency as to the comments and views submitted |
| | EVALUATION BY RAPPORTEUR MEMBER STATE |
| Date | January 2007 |
| Materials and methods | Acceptable |
| Conclusion | Adopted |
| Reliability | 1 |
| Acceptability | Acceptable |
| Remarks | The highest fortification level is outside the range of calibration. But the method is accepted as the lowest level is inside the range and as the validation data for the high and low level do not differ significant from each other. |
| | Feb 2017: The study is in compliance with the guidance available at the time of performance. However for compliance with current guidance (SANCO/820/00 ver.8.1,) a request for validation of a 2^{nd} mass transition will be done at renewal stage. |

| External standard X [ng/mL] | Peak area Y [counts] |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1.25 | 10800 |
| 2.50 | 21600 |
| 6.00 | 54700 |
| 12.5 | 112000 |
| 25.0 | 228000 |
| 50.0 | 447000 |
| 100 | 882000 |

 TableA4_2-1:
 Linearity of detector response

 Table A4_2-2:
 Results of recoveries

| Fortification level | Recoveries | Mean recovery (n=5) | Coefficient of variation | Overall mean recovery (n=10) | Overall Coefficient of variation |
|------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| [mg/kg] | [%] | [%] | [%] | [%] | [%] |
| 0.005 | 89, 87, 81, 82, 82 | 84 | 4.3 | 84 | 3.9 |
| 0.05 | 81, 83, 84, 90, 84 | 84 | 4.0 | | |

| on A4.2 | Analytical Methods for Detection and Identification | |
|---------------------------------|---|---|
| Point IIA, IV 4.2 | ANALYTICAL METHOD FOR THE DETERMINATION OF ACTIVE SUBSTANCE RESIDUES IN WATER | |
| | Method for the determination of Icaridin and its degradation product Bayrepel acid in surface water | |
| | 18 REFERENCE | Official use only |
| Reference | Knepper, T.P., 2004, Analysis and mass spectrometric characterization of the insect repellent Bayrepel and its main metabolite Bayrepel-acid, Europe University for Applied Science Fresenius, Idstein, Germany <i>Journal of Chromatography</i> A 1046, pp. 159-166 (published) | See remark |
| Data protection | No | |
| Data owner | _ | |
| Companies with letter of access | _ | |
| Criteria for data protection | Data submitted to the MS after 13 May 2000 on existing a.s. for the purpose of its entry into Annex I/IA. | |
| | 19 GUIDELINES AND QUALITY ASSURANCE | |
| Guideline study | No | |
| | No guideline available | |
| GLP | No, but method was developed and validated in an accredited laboratory. | |
| Deviations | No | |
| | 20 MATERIALS AND METHODS | |
| Preliminary treatment | | |
| Enrichment | Surface water samples are filtered through glass fiber filters (0.45 μ m), | |
| Cleanup | (SPE) is performed with 1 L samples. The SPE of Icaridin is performed in the neutral pH-range, whilst when analysing for acidic metabolites, samples are adjusted to pH 2 by adding 3.5 M sulphuric acid prior to enrichment. The samples are filtered under vacuum (20 mL/min) through glass cartridges filled with 0.1 g LiChrolute EN and 0.25 g Isolute $C_{18}ec$ (encapped C_{18}). Prior to extraction, the cartridges are conditioned with 6 mL n-hexane, 6 mL methanol and 10 mL ground water, respectively for Icaridin analyses, and with 10 mL ground water adjusted to pH 2 for the screening of acidic metabolites. After enrichment and drying under a gentle stream of nitrogen gas for 60 min, the enriched compounds are eluted and prepared for analysis by the | |
| | Point IIA, IV 4.2 Reference Data protection Data owner Companies with letter of access Criteria for data protection Guideline study GLP Deviations Preliminary treatment Enrichment | Point IIA, IV 4.2 ANALYTICAL METHOD FOR THE DETERMINATION OF ACTIVE SUBSTANCE RESIDUES IN WATER Method for the determination of lcaridin and its degradation product Bayrepel acid in surface water Method for the determination of lcaridin and its degradation product Bayrepel acid in surface water Reference 18 REFERENCE Reference Knepper, T.P., 2004, Analysis and mass spectrometric characterization of the insect repellent Bayrepel and its main metabolite Bayrepel-acid, Europe University for Applied Science Fresenius, Idstein, Germany Journal of Chromatography A 1046, pp. 159-166 (published) Data protection No Data owner - Companies with - letter of access - Criteria for data Data submitted to the MS after 13 May 2000 on existing a.s. for the purpose of its entry into Annex 1/1A. 19 GUIDELINES AND QUALITY ASSURANCE Guideline study No No but method was developed and validated in an accredited laboratory. Deviations No 20 MATERIALS AND METHODS Preliminary treatment Surface water samples are filtered through glass fiber filters (0.45 µm), prewashed with methanol and Mill-Q water. Solid phase extraction is performed in the neutral pH-range, whilst when analysing for acidic metabolites, samples are adjusted to pH 2 by adding 3.5 M sulphuric acid prior to errichement. The samples are filtered under vac |

| Section A4.2 | | Analytical Methods for Detection and Identification | | | |
|-------------------------|-------------------|---|---|---|--|
| Annex Point IIA, IV 4.2 | | ANALYTICAL METHOD FOR THE DETERMINATION OF ACTIVE SUBSTANCE RESIDUES IN WATER | | | |
| | | Method for the determina Bayrepel acid in surface | tion of Icaridin and its deg water | gradation product | |
| | | evaporated under gentle n | etone-ethyl acetate (1:1, v/ nitrogen flow to 100 μ L, in nd the extract is made up to | iternal standard is | |
| | | under nitrogen to dryness 700 µL n-hexane and 150 at 20 °C, with the reaction droplets of acetic acid in a | 5 mL methanol, the extract . The samples are then der) μ L diazomethane in dieth n terminated after 60 min b acetone. (10%, v/v). The in l is added and the extract r exane. | ivatised using nyl ether (in excess) by addition of two nternal standard | |
| 20.2 | Detection | | | | |
| 20.2.1 | Separation method | | d are determined by means g to the following condition | | |
| | | Separation column: Length: Internal diameter Film thickness: Stationary phase Supplier: | 0.25 μm | nte, PA, USA | |
| | | Temperatures: Injector: Transfer line: Ion source: Oven: | 230 °C 250 °C 200 °C initial temperature: heating rate: final temperature: | 50 °C for 1 min 12 °C/min 300 °C for 10 min | |
| | | Carrier gas: Type: | helium | | |
| | | Injection volume:2 µL (sp | plitless) | | |
| 20.2.2 | Detector | Mass spectrometric detec | tion; | | |
| | | Two characteristic ions an identification and quantification | re used during GC/MS ana ication of each analyte. | llysis for | |
| | | | 8, m/z 184 8, m/z 156 | | |
| 20.2.3 | Standard(s) | All chemicals were of ana | alytical grade. | | |
| | | Purity of the reference co | mpound Icaridin: > 99%. | | |
| | | | ne carboxylic acid, 1-meth sed and characterised (puri | | |
| | | The quantitative evaluation | on of Icaridin is carried ou | t using fluazifop- | |

| Section A4.2 | | Analytical Methods for Detection and Identification | | |
|-------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| Annex Point IIA, IV 4.2 | | ANALYTICAL METHOD FOR THE DETERMINATION OF ACTIVE SUBSTANCE RESIDUES IN WATER | | |
| | | Method for the determination of Icaridin and its degradation product Bayrepel acid in surface water | | |
| | | butyl (the certified pesticide standard) as internal standard. | | |
| | | For quantification of the degradation product heptadecanoic nitrilo acid is used as internal standard. | | |
| 20.2.4 | Interfering substance(s) | Substances of sample matrix may interfere. | | |
| 20.3 | Linearity | | | |
| 20.3.1 | Calibration range | To determine the linearity of the detector response an eight-point calibration was performed in the range of 0.03 to 2 μ g/L in ground water for each compound. | | |
| | | The concentrations of the calibration line were: | | |
| | | 0.03 (graphically determined), 0.05, 0,1, 0,25, 0,5, 0,75, 1, 1,5 and 2 μ g/L. | | |
| 20.3.2 | Number of measurements | One measurement per standard concentration was performed. | | |
| 20.3.3 | Linearity | The calibration curves were linear in the tested range. | | |
| 20.4 | Specificity: interfering substances | Two characteristic ions are used for identification and quantification of Icaridin and its metabolite Bayrepel acid during the mass spectrometric detection. No interferences from the matrix were observed. | | |
| 20.5 | Recovery rates at different levels | The recovery rates were checked for Icaridin and Bayrepel acid by three standard additions. A mean recovery rate of 98% was determined for Icaridin and a mean recovery rate of 97% was obtained for Bayrepel acid. | | |
| 20.5.1 | Relative standard deviation | Relative standard deviations were not stated in the report. | | |
| 20.6 | Limit of determination | The limit of quantification (LOQ) was determined from the calibration curve and the limit of detection (LOD) was defined as $2/1$ signal/noise. The LOQ of Icaridin and its degradation product Bayrepel acid in surface water is 0.03 µg/L. The LOD of both analytes is 0.01 µg/L. | | |
| 20.7 | Precision | | | |
| 20.7.1 | Repeatability | The precision of the method is established based on the findings for recovery rates which were performed under repeatability conditions. | | |
| 20.7.2 | Independent laboratory validation | No independent laboratory validation is available. | | |

| Section A4.2 | Analytical Methods for Detection and Identification |
|-------------------------|--|
| Annex Point IIA, IV 4.2 | ANALYTICAL METHOD FOR THE DETERMINATION OF ACTIVE SUBSTANCE RESIDUES IN WATER |
| | Method for the determination of Icaridin and its degradation product Bayrepel acid in surface water |

21 APPLICANT'S SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

| 21.1 | Materials and methods | An analytical method for the determination of Icaridin and its biodegradation product Bayrepel acid in surface water was developed and validated. The compounds are separated by means of gas chromatography using mass spectrometric detection after solid-phase extraction of water samples and derivatisation (Bayrepel acid). The quantitative evaluation is carried out according to the method of the internal standard. |
|--------|--------------------------|--|
| 21.2 | Conclusion | A method for the determination of Icaridin and Bayrepel acid residues in surface water was developed and validated successfully. The method has been shown to give acceptable specificity, linearity, recovery and limits of determination and detection. |
| | | The method is suitable for the determination of Icaridin and Bayrepel acid residues in surface water. |
| 21.2.1 | Reliability | Reliability indicator: 2 |
| 21.2.2 | Deficiencies | Relative standard deviations were not stated in the report. This has no influence on the validity of the analytical method. |

| | Evaluation by Competent Authorities |
|-----------------------|--|
| | Use separate "evaluation boxes" to provide transparency as to the comments and views submitted |
| | EVALUATION BY RAPPORTEUR MEMBER STATE |
| Date | January 2007 |
| Materials and methods | The materials and the method may be acceptable, but it is not possible to evaluate it. No validation data is submitted. The reference is a scientific paper without any background data e.g. correlation coefficent of the linearity, recovery data for the individual samples or RSD of recovery. Recovery is tested on 3 samples – not at 5 samples as required. |
| Conclusion | Not adopted. Not possible to evaluate the method. |
| Reliability | 4 |
| Acceptability | Not acceptable |
| Remarks | 2013: Analytical method for Bayrepel acid is not acceptable due to derivatisation with diazomethane. |

| Section A4.3 | Analytical Methods for Detection and Identification | |
|---|--|----------------------|
| Annex Point IIIA, IV 1 | ANALYTICAL METHOD FOR THE DETERMINATION OF ACTIVE SUBSTANCE RESIDUES IN/ON FOOD OR FEEDSTUFFS | |
| | JUSTIFICATION FOR NON-SUBMISSION OF DATA | Official use only |
| Other existing data [] | Technically not feasible [] Scientifically unjustified [] | |
| Limited exposure [] | Other justification [X] | \checkmark |
| Detailed justification: | Analytical method for the determination of active substance residues in/on food or feedstuffs is required if the active substance or the material treated with it is to be used in a manner which may cause contact with food or feedstuffs, or intended to be placed on, in or near soils in agricultural or horticultural use. Icaridin is intended to be used as insect repellent against biting arthropods (biting midges, flies, ticks). Since an exposure to food and feedstuffs can be excluded when applied according to the recommended use, it is justified not to submit an analytical method for the determination of Icaridin in/on food or feedstuffs. | \checkmark |
| Undertaking of intended data submission [] | _ | |
| | Evaluation by Competent Authorities | |
| | Use separate "evaluation boxes" to provide transparency as to the comments and views submitted | |
| | EVALUATION BY RAPPORTEUR MEMBER STATE | |
| Date | January 2007 | |
| Evaluation of applicant's justification | No comments | |
| Conclusion | Acceptable | |
| Remarks | None | |