

ECHA
European Chemical Agency

Public consultation on Chlofenapyr

Dear Sirs,

As a leading distributor of Pest Management products in Italy, we would like to give you our opinion on the possible substitution of chlorfenapyr, and in particular why we consider important to have formulations based on this active in our portfolio.

Due to the recent reviews of actives, most of the available products are based on pyrethroid actives. It is likely predictable that pests could become less and less susceptible to the available formulations if there will not be a sufficient number of alternatives to the pyrethroids.

Resistance of pests is a challenge that we are going to lose if the only weapon we have are pyrethroids.

For Colkim, and we think for the pest management industry, is extremely important to have products based on chlorfenapyr as an alternative to the classics pyrethroid actives.

With Chlorfenapyr we're able to offer a valid solution in the management of resistance and at the same time a good tool for the IPM, thanks to its persistence, lack of odor and good effectiveness.

Moreover, since it's not detectable by pests, it offers a good complementary solution in case of strong infestations of multiple species because it can be used in combination with gel baits, without affecting the attractiveness of gels.

Chlorfenapyr range of products (with SC and gel formulation) it's definitely welcome in our market and an extended field of applications would be a very important add, especially if other pests could be included in the current labels (mainly bed bugs and mosquitoes).

We hope that this short document could lead to a positive decision regarding Chlorfenapyr.

Kindest regards.

Colkim srl



Dr. Carlo Albertazzi