Section A4.2/01

Analytical Methods for Detection and Identification

Annex Point IIA, IV.4.2

c) Residues in Water

Official use only

1 REFERENCE

1.1 Reference

Hellstern J, 2007, Development and Validation of a Residual Analytical Method for the Determination of 2-(n-Octyl)-isothiazol-3(2H)-one (OIT) in Surface Water, RCC Ltd, Itingen, Switzerland

1.2 Data protection

Yes

1.2.1 Data owner

Thor GmbH, Speyer, Germany

1.2.2 Companies with letter of access

None

1.2.3 Criteria for data protection

Data submitted on existing A.S. for the purpose of its entry into Annex

I.

2 GUIDELINES AND QUALITY ASSURANCE

2.1 Guideline study

European Commission, Guidance Document on Residue Analytical

Methods, SANCO/825/00 rev. 7, March 17, 2004

2.2 GLP

Yes

2.3 Deviations

None

3 MATERIALS AND METHODS

3.1 Preliminary treatment

3.1.1 Extraction

Water samples are partitioned three times with 30 mL ethyl acetate. The organic phases are concentrated under reduced pressure in a rotary

evaporator at 30°C and the resulting sample re-dissolved in

water/acetonitrile.

3.1.2 Cleanup No further cleanup necessary

3.2 Detection

LC/MS

3.2.1 Separation method

Pump: TSP P4000 (Thermo Separation Products)

Autosampler: TSP AS3000 (Thermo Separation Products)

Pre-column: 4 mm x 2 mm, Security guard C18

Column: 150 mm x 4.6 mm, 5 µm Phenomenex Luna C8

Mobile Phase: Solvent A: 5 mM ammonium acetate in water

Solvent B: acetonitrile

Time, min.	0	9	16	16.1	20
Solvent A, %	50	5	5	50	50
Solvent B, %	50	95	95	50	50

Flow: 0.5 mL/min

Sample: A volume of 100 µL was injected

THOR GmbH		OIT, CAS 26530-20-1 Ma			March 2008	
Section A4.2/ Annex Point IIA		Analytical Methods for Detection and Identification c) Residues in Water				
3.2.2 Detector	r		: Atmospheric Pre Positive ion detec	er TSQ , Finnigan ssure Chemical Ion ction I+H+), width 0.6 I	nisation (APCI)	X
3.2.3 Standard 3.2.4 Interfering substance 3.3 Linearity	ing ce(s)	OIT determined None	by external standa	rdisation with refe	rence substance.	
-	ion range	0.002 – 0.046 μg/mL				X
3.3.2 Number measure		10 concentration levels, mostly duplicate determination				
3.3.3 Linearit	у	$r^2 = 0.9995$				
3.4 Specifity: interfering substance	ıg	No interference observed at the retention time of OIT higher than 5% of the LOQ.			f	
3.5 Recovery rates at		Surface water from a natural river in Switzerland was fortified with OIT				Γ
different levels	levels	Fortification level, μg/L	No. of analyses	Mean recovery, %	Relative standard deviation, %	
		0.1	5	89.5	8.3	
		1.0	5	100.4	4.6	
		Overall	10	94.9	6.4	
3.5.1 Relative deviatio	e standard n	see table above		•		_
3.6 Limit of determin	ation		' in surface water, ptable recovery ar	based on the lower ad precision.	st fortification leve	el
3.7 Precision	ı					
3.7.1 Repeata	bility	Relative standard deviations see point 3.5				
3.7.2 Indepen laborate validation	ory	Not required				

THOR GmbH		OIT, CAS 26530-20-1	March 2008	
Section A4.2/01 Annex Point IIA, IV.4.2		Analytical Methods for Detection and Identification c) Residues in Water		
4.1	Materials and methods	4 APPLICANT'S SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION A method was validated for the determination of OIT in surface water. OIT is extracted from water samples with ethyl acetate, and reconstituted in water/acetonitrile. Separation is achieved with HPLC and mass-spectrometric detection. OIT is quantified by external standardisation.		
4.2	Conclusion	A fully validated LC/MS method is available for the determination of OIT in surface water. Acceptable recovery, precision and the absence of interferences were demonstrated. The LOQ is 0.1 µg/L in surface water.		
4.2.1	Reliability	1 (reliable without restrictions)		

4.2.2

Deficiencies

None

Section A4.2/01 Analytical Methods for Detection and Identification

Annex Point IIA, IV.4.2 c) Residues in Water

	Evaluation by Competent Authorities			
	Use separate "evaluation boxes" to provide transparency as to the comments and views submitted			
	EVALUATION BY RAPPORTEUR MEMBER STATE			
Date	02/11/2009			
Materials and methods	Accept applicant's version, except that:			
	3.2.2 Detector: Single ion was monitored and hence method is not regarded as highly specific.			
	3.3.1: Calibration range 100 ml samples of water were taken for analysis. following extraction the sample was reduced to dryness and then re-dissolved in water/acetonitrile ranging from $1-4$ ml, the volume used being appropriate to ensure the concentration was within the linear range demonstrated:			
	 Re-dissolving in 1 ml covers a linear range of 0.02 μg/L – 0.46 μg/L 			
	• Re-dissolving in 4 ml covers a linear range of 0.08 μ g/L $-$ 1.84 μ g/L			
Conclusion	Adopt applicant's version			
Reliability	2			
Acceptability	acceptable			
Remarks	The Fate evaluation has proposed that OIT only is the relevant residue for monitoring in water.			
	The LOQ of 0.1 μg/L complies with the EU drinking water directive and also supports the NOEC for surface water which is 0.38 μg/L.			
	The validation data generated for surface water covers drinking and ground wa			
	Only a single ion was monitored. Therefore, the method cannot be regarded as highly specific. A confirmatory method is required with an LOQ of 0.005 $\mu g/l$ (based on the agreed PNEC $_{freshwater}$ of 0.0071 $\mu g/l$).			
	COMMENTS FROM			
Date	Give date of comments submitted			
Results and discussion	Discuss additional relevant discrepancies referring to the (sub)heading numbers and to applicant's summary and conclusion. Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state			
Conclusion	Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state			
Reliability	Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state			
Acceptability	Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state			
Remarks				