

REACH 2018 How to share costs



Marko Sušnik Stakeholders' Day Helsinki, 31 January 2018



UEAPME represents SME in Europe

- Umbrella organization for unions of SMEs
- European social partner
- 84 member organisations
- More than 12 million enterprises (50 million people)
- Highly horizontal
- More info: http://www.ueapme.com/





WKÖ represents the Austrian economy

- Public body chamber: based on the
 "Wirtschaftskammergesetz" (economic chamber act)
- Constitutional social partner in Austria
- All Austrian companies are members by law
- Represents their interests on a national and European level
- Executes part of the national educational system
- Manages Austrian foreign trade in over 140 countries
- More info: www.wko.at



Overview

- Quick basics
- Experiences, thoughts and recommendations
- Available support



Quick basics

- REACH requires sharing of costs for...
 - ...animal-study-data
 - ...other studies by request of new entrands
- Data-/cost-sharing starts...
 - ...in a SIEF
 - ...with an inquiry
- Cost-sharing...
 - ...must be fair, transparent and non-discriminatory
 - ...is not a marketplace
 - ...must follow formalized minimum-standards





What are typical costs for a substance?

- No one will answer you this straight forward...
- ... but still we have some numbers:
 - data-package for 1-10 t/a: € 30.000 to 70.000
 - data-package for 1-100 t/a: € 300.000 to 500.000
- However, don't forget the administration costs, those can sometimes almost double the costs.
 - e.g. one SIEF from 2010 had overall costs of approx. € 5 mio.
 - → ~ 60% for data
 - → ~ 40% for administration





Cost-sharing is not a marketplace

- Every registrant pays his share not more, but also not less!
- REACH-cost-sharing is not selling a donkey on the market:
 - Data-owners should get compensated for their costs
 - Data-owners should not set arbitrary and/or profitoriented prices
 - Co-registrants should not haggle
 - Co-registrant should pay only for necessary data





Cost-sharing is not a marketplace

- What if the price for my data-share is too high?
 - "Too high" is relative
 - a very expensive dossier may be fair
 - a very cheap LoA may be unfair
 - but also the other way around
 - → BUT: you can always ask for a transparent break-down of the costs; it is your legal right according to reg. (EU) 2016/9 (DS-reg)
 - → IMPORTANT: clearly communicate your doubts to the data-owner and give fact-based arguments



briefly...

... the DS-regulation:

- Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2016/9 [...]
 on joint submission of data and data-sharing
- Clarifies minimum-rules:
 - on transparency, fairness and non-discrimination
 - for a DS-agreement:
 - itemisation/justification of data and related costs
 - reimbursement mechanism
- Documentation needs (12y)
- Strengthens the OSOR*-principle

(*OSOR stands for One Substance, One Registration)





Two main scenarios for 2018 to consider - I

- Entering an existing registration
 - Probably a letter of access (LoA) is the best option
 - Prices for a LoA should be pretty stable and transparent by now
 - You have any right <u>NOT</u> to accept an existing data-/cost-sharing-agreement; the DS-reg is very clear on that!
 - But you should have reasons for that, else you may slow down your registration-process unnecessarily
 - Do not "waive" your DS-reg-rights until you feel comfortable about the data-/cost-sharing-process for your substance!



Two main scenarios for 2018 to consider - II

- Starting a totally new registration
 - You will not have many partners; with 2 you are already lucky
 - Costs per registrant will probably be high, don't be surprised
 - Don't expect that things will just happen or will be done by others
 - Often there are no others, it's just you (and eventually the other guy from a totally different corner of the EU)
 - be proactive like there is no tomorrow, what is actually true, if you still want to make it until the deadline



Cooperation is key

- Respect your co-registrant(s) and don't try to put them under pressure, probably you will have to co-operate much longer as you expect right now
- Reacting on the requests of others is obligatory and not only polite
- If you disagree, be transparent about your reasons and constructive when finding another solution
- Blocking a process will block the process for everybody, that includes you





Co-registrant, defend your rights

- Pay only for that what is necessary to be compliant; make yourself well familiar with your specific obligations
- Make sure the quality of data is sufficient for your needs and considered in your financial contribution
- If you have your own data, you can use it, no need to pay twice
- If you have doubts about your financial contribution, ask questions, request a cost-breakdown
- No one can force you to waive your rights for a costbreakdown (itemisation) and a reimbursement-scheme; do this only, if you are sure things are fair and transparent



Some other aspects

- Be careful with confidential business information
 - only disclose what is necessary
 - you have mechanisms how to protect your information, get yourself informed before you act
- Respect competition law
 - some information is critical, don't exchange it
 - get yourself familiar with requirements of the competition law
 - put everything on paper
 - don't talk about business at dinner





If things go wrong

- ECHA offers a dispute mechanism, use it
 - don't be scared, activating the dispute-mechanism is easy, you don't even need a lawyer...
 - ...it's more difficult to prove your case, so:
 - insist, if there is no answer
 - set (reasonable) deadlines
 - keep written notes to document your efforts
 - If you can present "your story", ECHA can allow you to proceed with your registration





Before things go wrong

Get yourself familiar:

- with ECHA's Guidance on Data-/Cost-sharing
- the Implemeting Regulation (EU) 2016/9 of 5 January 2016 on joint submission of data and data-sharing

In case you decide to look for professional support
 → the DCG* developed a "CHECKLIST TO HIRE A GOOD CONSULTANT"; you can find it on ECHA's webpage

(*DCG stands for Directors' Contact Group)







It was a pleasure talking to you

Marko Sušnik

Austrian Federal Economic Chamber
Department of Environment and Energy Policy

E marko.susnik@wko.at

T + 43 (0)5 90 900 4393



