Annex to a news alert

Biocidal Products Committee proposes not to approve three silver-containing active substances  ECHA/NR/18/59

Helsinki, 23 October 2018

More information about the opinions

The adopted opinions concern the non-approval of the following active substances in the specified product-types:

**Silver zeolite for product-types 2 and 7**

Silver zeolite is an existing active substance. The biocidal products containing silver zeolite are used to treat polymers to achieve an antimicrobial effect in disinfectants and algaecides (product-type 2) and film preservatives (product-type 7).

**Silver copper zeolite for product-types 2 and 7**

Silver zeolite is an existing active substance. The biocidal products containing silver copper zeolite are used to treat polymers to achieve an antimicrobial effect in disinfectants and algaecides (product-type 2) and film preservatives (product-type 7).

**Silver sodium hydrogen zirconium phosphate for product-types 2 and 7**

Silver sodium hydrogen zirconium phosphate is an existing active substance. The biocidal products containing silver sodium hydrogen zirconium phosphate are used to treat polymers to achieve an antimicrobial effect in disinfectants and algaecides (product-type 2) and film preservatives (product-type 7).

The evaluating competent authority of these active substance applications is Sweden.

The opinions will be available at the following link in the near future:

Biocidal Products Committee
## Background information

### The role of the Biocidal Products Committee in EU regulatory processes

The Biocidal Products Committee prepares the opinions of the Agency related to several processes under the Biocidal Products Regulation. Each EU Member State is entitled to appoint one member to the BPC for a renewable term of three years.

In relation to applications for the approval of new active substances, companies have to apply for approval of an active substance by submitting a dossier. After a validation check, the evaluating competent authority carries out an evaluation within one year.

The result of the evaluation is forwarded to the BPC, which prepares an opinion within 270 days. The opinion serves as a basis for decision-making by the European Commission and the Member States. The approval of an active substance is granted for a defined number of years, not exceeding 10 years.

Substances, which were on the market before 14 May 2000 and are evaluated under the biocides review programme in an analogous manner to new active substances, are referred to as existing active substances.

During the approval process of an active substance, the evaluating competent authority may conclude that the active substance meets the criteria for substitution of Article 10(1) of the BPR and is therefore a potential candidate for substitution. The objective of this provision is to identify substances of particular concern to public health or the environment and to make sure that these substances are phased-out and replaced by more suitable alternatives over time. The criteria for substitution are based on the intrinsic hazardous properties in combination with the use and include, for example, if the substance meets at least one of the exclusion criteria listed in the BPR or if the substance is a respiratory sensitiser.

For substances that are identified by the evaluating competent authority as a potential candidate for substitution, ECHA will initiate a public consultation to allow interested third parties to submit relevant information, including information on available substitutes. Subsequently, in the preparation of its opinion, the BPC reviews the proposed identification of the active substance as a candidate for substitution.

Active substances which are candidates for substitution will not be approved for more than seven years, even in the case of renewal. If the active substance meets one or more exclusion criteria, it will only be approved for five years. When an active substance is identified as a candidate for substitution, products containing that active substance will have to be subject to a comparative assessment at the time of authorisation and will only be authorised if there are no better alternatives.