

#### Human health endpoints

Webinar: updated REACH Guidance for nanomaterials: what you need to know

30 November 2017

Camelia Constantin





#### **Human-health endpoints**

- Doesn't exclude parent guidance
  - If no specific endpoint advice, parent guidance applies
- Covers sections of appendices to Chapters R7.a (human health endpoints) and R.7c (toxicokinetics) and includes new sections.



# Decision tree: testing human health endpoints



- Testing strategy dependent on dissolution potential in relevant biological fluids and testing media
- No exact cut-off value proposed. Dissolution rate needs to be close to instantly dissolved
- Determination of dissolution rate gives insight on how a certain particle may interact with its biological environment



# Decision tree for testing the human health endpoints







# Advisory note: testing and sampling strategy and sample preparation (I)

#### Reporting

- Parameters needed in the endpoint study record:
  - Chemical composition as described in Guidance for identification and naming of substances under REACH and CLP
  - Size (as a minimum the D50, but particle size distribution is recommended)
  - Shape and aspect ratio
  - Surface chemistry





### Advisory note: testing and sampling strategy and sample preparation (II)

#### **Biological sampling**

- Samples from *in vivo* toxicological studies collected according to OECD test guidelines (TG)
  - If indication that NM distributed in other tissues, not listed in test guidelines, collection of additional tissues is recommended
- Keep samples to allow later analysis (e.g. storage by chemical or physical tissue fixation for microscopy, freezing for burden analysis)





#### Advisory note: testing and sampling strategy and sample preparation (III)

#### Use of non-animal testing approaches

- Follow ongoing developments and validation efforts by OECD and EU reference laboratory for alternatives to animal testing (EURL-ECVAM).
- Monitor regulatory acceptance of new methods.
- Implementation of non-animal approaches requires prior consideration of all available information, including contextspecific characterisation (critical for grouping, read-across and QSARs).
- Results from non-testing and in vitro methods can be useful in weight of evidence and give key information when planning an animal test.





#### **Repeated dose toxicity I**

- Testing to be performed via inhalation, unless convincing information (e.g. uses, dissolution rate, etc.) that justifies another route.
- Any modification of protocols described in OECD inhalation TG 412 and TG 413 should be justified.





# **Repeated dose toxicity II**

- Dose range finding studies or repeated dose studies with poorly soluble particles (PSP):
  - Recommended to collect additional toxicokinetic data.
  - Recommendations for NM applicable to Chapter R7c Endpoint specific guidance on toxicokinetics.
- Data on lung burden and clearance may be useful arguments in the context of read-across.





# **Repeated dose toxicity III**

- PSP: recommended to collect samples at several time points and/or in different organs.
  - Use of extra animals for the additional analyses generally not recommended but important to balance between performing additional analyses and following an indication of toxicity
- Bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) analysis recommended
- Use more than one different dose-describing metric and include mass metric.





#### Advisory note: consideration of lung burden within inhalation toxicity assessment (I)

- Moved under section "repeated dose toxicity"
- Scientific background redrafted and shortened
- Includes reference to maximum tolerated dose for new studies and dosimetry considerations
- Clarifies when advice is relevant for interpretation of available information





#### Advisory note: consideration of lung burden within inhalation toxicity assessment (II)

- While mass is always required, advised to use more than one different dose-describing metrics
- Choice for selected method should be justified
- Includes overview of recommendations for lung burden



### **Mutagenicity I**



- Ames test (TG 471) not recommended for NM
- Measures of cytotoxicity based on cell proliferation described in TGs are appropriate for determining top concentration for *in vitro* tests of NM.
- Extent of cellular uptake is critical to interpret test results



# **Mutagenicity II**



- *in vitro* micronucleus (recommended modification): if cytochalasin B is used, it should be added post-treatment (or delayed co-treatment).
  - Ensures a period of exposure of the cell culture system to the NM in the absence of cytochalasin B





### **Mutagenicity III**

- Before *in vivo* genotoxicity study, toxicokinetic investigations should determine if the NM reaches the target tissue if the target tissue is not the site of contact.
- Without toxicokinetic information the genotoxic effects should be investigated in the site of contact tissue.
- Selected route of administration should be justified
  - Exposure of target tissues should be addressed.





# **Toxicokinetics (R.7c) I**

- Detecting and quantifying NM in biological tissue is analytically and technically challenging.
- Methods used and their limitations should be adequately documented.
- Solubility and dissolution rate in relevant biological fluids and testing media is essential to understand a particle's kinetic.
- PSP: translocation across biological barriers is essential information.





# **Toxicokinetics (R.7c) II**

- Toxicokinetics data is valuable to justify use of toxicological data between different forms of a substance.
- Collect as much toxicokinetics data as possible from the experiments required under REACH
- Important to balance between performing additional analyses and following an indication of toxicity.





#### Main changes General advisory notes

- Decision-tree based on solubility and dissolution
  a starting point for nanomaterial (NM) testing
- Advisory note on testing and sampling strategy and sample preparation
- Reorganisation of general advice for non-testing methods under section 3.1.1. Not by each endpoint to avoid repetition





#### Main changes

#### **Individual endpoints**

- Update of advisory note on consideration of lung overload
- Update of section on repeated dose toxicity
- Update of section on mutagenicity
  - Relocation of information on bacterial assay interference
  - Inclusion of general recommendations for mutagenicity testing agreed at OECD/WPMN workshop (Nov 2013)

#### **R.7c (toxicokinetics)**



#### Thank you!

echa.europa.eu/contact

Subscribe to our news at echa.europa.eu/subscribe

Follow us on Twitter @EU\_ECHA

Follow us on Facebook Facebook.com/EUECHA