

Helsinki,
D(2009)

Subject: ECHA/2009/39: Multiple Framework Contract with reopening of competition and division into lots for external service provision for development, studies, support of information systems and security, awarded through an open procurement procedure

CLARIFICATIONS 16

16.1 Question concerning the Case Study 2 in LOT 1

Under 2. DESCRIPTION OF THE CASE you write: Those requirements are defined by risk assessors based on the best available science. Does that mean that the requirements are defined only by risk assessors or could also ECHA staff from other units define these requirements (like the financial unit, the legal team, the operational team, etc.)? Would it be possible that also external scientist define the requirements? If yes, could these also be scientist working for the contractor?

The objective of this case study is to assess the tenderer's strategy for developing IT tools. The development of the IT tool is to be on the basis of scientific requirements set by risk assessors. The risk assessors do not work for the Contractor.

ECHA