

**Discussion sessions:
Use Maps, ESCom and SUMIs.**

Summary of main points.

ENES10

15-16 November 2016, Helsinki



Use Maps - Benefits

- Avoid one-to-one discussion between registrant and each DU to understand which uses need to be covered.
 - Particularly relevant for long supply chains.
- The use map tools help automation.
- Alignment of exposure scenarios between different suppliers.

Use Maps – Explanation needs

- Explain the use map concepts, its benefits and its usefulness in simple words.
- Share this information broadly (via the library?); e.g. by developing simple standard materials/presentations.
- Explain what to do if the use map package does not allow to demonstrate the safe use?
- More education on how registrants can use the tools.
- Clarify the responsibilities of the formulators.
- Indication of the implication in terms of resources (time-wise) e.g. for sectors: how much time to develop use maps and translate it in CHESAR files?

Use Maps – Problematic or missing

- The use maps package are currently only available as excel documents: having the use map packages translated quickly into CHESAR files would facilitate the assessment (and avoid duplication of work).
- Coordination between sectors for broader availability of the use map packages.
- Target also the ones that are “helping” the SMEs, i.e. the consultants.
- More incentive for the registrants to update their registration dossiers?

ESCom

- Need for more promotion to IT providers.
- Sectors should be more active: generate relevant phrases and provide trainings to their members.
- Increase common understanding of what should be communicated.
- Increase the understanding what the ESCom package brings as benefit.
- Develop a business case (cost/benefit) based on pilot.
- Harmonised translations of phrases should be available.

SUMIs - benefits

- Easy readability, easy use.
- Step forward to bridge gap between REACH and OSH

SUMIs – Explanation needs

- Target audience of SUMIs is end users, not registrants, not formulators.
- SUMIs and SWEDs (content same but target audience and purpose different).
- Explain the SWED – SUMI relation to registrants so they would understand the need to provide SWED codes in ES to formulators.
- Relation between ES for communication and SUMI: different ways to communicate ES information, both trigger downstream user obligations

SUMIs – Missing elements, problems

- Relation with existing systems under OSH.
- Pictograms in SUMIs, need for pictograms for technical measures (not only PPE).
- Environmental aspects still need to be included.
- Consolidation of SUMIs (when one SUMI per PROC).

Thank you

