

Enes tools survey

15 November 2016
Enes10 meeting

The purpose of the poll

Following discussion with Echa on roll out of Enes tools - at this critical time.

- To gain a snapshot of companies' intentions - both upstream and downstream;
- To help promote activities upstream and downstream; and
- To help identify gaps/needs.

What can Chemical Watch/Chemical Risk Manager do to contribute or assist?

Poll is [here](#).

The poll

14 questions.

- Asking upstream about intentions to register in 2018, how many, and if companies will require or recommend DUs report uses via Enes tools, other approaches or a combination;
- Dossier updates;
- Challenges; and
- DU perspective, Enes tool development and use intentions.

Results - As of 11 Nov

64 responses

Registrants

- 80% are registering in 2018 (most 5-20 substances)
- 4 will require, 20 recommend, 8 other tools, 18 a combination
- Adhesives, leather processing, coil coatings, fragrance materials, lubricants, metals and petroleum
- 50% plan to update existing dossiers; 33% may (interesting in its own right)
- 12 will require, 19 may require use of Enes tools (42 responded)

Results

DUs

37 respondents - 75% have critical substances being registered in 2018


Is your DU association developing Enes tools?

- 26% no
- 35% don't know
- 21% partly
- 18% yes (adhesives, coatings, solvents, others/unspecified)

Challenges

Q9 What is the biggest challenge for your company in incorporating information from the latest Enes use maps tools?

Answered: 37 Skipped: 27



It is a major effort to integrate the Enes tools into our internal data systems

In my company the IT experts do not understand or have the expertise to incorporate Enes use maps tools to the system

My company does not have sufficient financial resources (for the incorporation of the Enes tools to the data systems

My company does not have sufficient staff resources

My company does not see the benefits of using Enes use maps tools

Uncertainty over what information will be available from sector groups.

Key messages

- High numbers planning to recommend use; 4 will require use for 2018 (we'd like to know who these companies are);
- Higher numbers planning to recommend use, require use for dossier updates
- Low knowledge among DUs if their sectors are going to develop Enes tools

Main challenges

- Effort to integrate tools into data systems
- Staff resources
- Uncertainty over what tools will be available

Lowest-rated challenge

- Don't see the benefits +ve

What can we do to help?

Disseminate information on Enes activities and tools:

- CW - nearly 30,000 weekly email alert;
- CRM - over 4,000 biweekly email alert.

CW/CRM+ readers reach beyond industry associations/sector groups...beyond EU.

Also, webinars, elearning/training, events.

CW will include key developments, for example [Echa and industry groups commit to promoting use maps](#) and [Tenth meeting of Enes](#)

CRM is different, it aims to provide practical support for risk managers [New use maps for adhesives and sealants](#) and [REACH detergents use maps and Sweds published](#)

What can we do to help?

- As leading DU sector groups publish use maps, can we help promote them and instruct how they could/should be used;
- We can help encourage non-engaged DUs sector groups to develop use maps?
- We can provide case studies or host webinars/tutorials on how to integrate use maps into company systems
- We could promote registrants requiring use maps, and for which sectors they want them;
- We can promote the wider benefits of using use maps and associated tools; and
- We can take an international perspective...South Korea/Taiwan ...reformed US TSCA

Echa, Cefic and Ducc members have already started helping us.

Please get in touch: emma.chynoweth@chemicalwatch.com or andrew.turley@chemicalwatch.com