

EC No.: 226-866-1

### HAZARD ASSESSMENT OUTCOME DOCUMENT

#### for

# 2,9-dimethylanthra[2,1,9-def:6,5,10-d'e'f'] diisoquinoline-1,3,8,10(2H,9H)-tetrone

EC No 226-866-1 CAS No 5521-31-3

**Member State: Belgium** 

Dated: 1 August 2023

#### Disclaimer:

The information and views set out in this document are those of the evaluating authority and do not necessarily reflect the position or opinion of the other Member States or ECHA. Neither ECHA nor the evaluating authority nor any person acting on either of their behalves may be held liable for the use which may be made of the information contained therein. Statements made or information contained in the document are without prejudice to any formal regulatory activities that ECHA or the Member States may initiate at a later stage. Hazard assessments and their outcomes are compiled on the basis of information available by the date of the publication of the document.

#### 1. HAZARD SUBJECT TO ASSESSMENT

2,9-dimethylanthra[2,1,9-def:6,5,10-d'e'f']diisoquinoline-1,3,8,10(2H,9H)-tetrone (also known as C.I. Pigment Red 179 or PR 179) was originally selected for hazard assessment in order to clarify suspected hazard properties:

EC No.: 226-866-1

- PBT/vPvB

#### 2. OUTCOME OF HAZARD ASSESSMENT

The available information on the substance and the hazard assessment conducted has led the assessing Authority to the following considerations, as summarised in the table below.

Hazard Assessment Outcome	Tick box
According to the authority's assessment the substance does not have PBT/vPvB properties based on the currently available information.	
According to the authority's assessment the substance has PBT/vPvB properties.	
According to the authority's assessment further information would be needed to confirm the PBT/vPvB properties but follow-up work is not relevant or carried out at present.	х

This outcome is based on the REACH and CLP data as well as other available relevant information.

#### 3. BASIS FOR REASONING<sup>1</sup>

Based on the currently available information in the registration dossier(s) and considering the various comments that were received in response to a written procedure in the PBT Expert Group, the BE CA can at present not come to a definitive conclusion whether the substance with EC n° 226-866-1 (Pigment Red 179) meets the PBT criteria. This substance tends to aggregate in solution and to readily form particulates which hampers a reliable execution of any study usually performed to determine the log  $K_{ow}$ . In the registration dossier(s) the log  $K_{ow}$  is evaluated to be -0.033 based on the separate solubilities in n-octanol and water. There remains some uncertainty on the correctness of this value, but it is noted that this value is also

Template version 1.3

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Assessments of PBT properties are based on Annex XIII to the REACH Regulation.

considerably below the screening threshold for bioaccumulation in air-breathers (log  $K_{\text{ow}} > 2$ ). However, as described in the Appendix R7-1 for nanomaterials applicable to ECHA Guidance Chapter R.7a, log  $K_{\text{ow}}$  is not sufficient to conclude on the bioaccumulation potential of substances under nanoform. Therefore, based on the available information a conclusion whether Pigment Red 179 is or is not bioaccumulative for air-breathers and/or for aquatic organisms cannot be made. In the absence of information on the fate descriptors to predict the behaviour and transport of nanoforms in the environment and biological media as alternatives to n-octanol/water partition coefficient (e.g. dispersion stability), the BE CA does not to want to pursue the assessment of the bioaccumulation potential of the Substance at this moment.

EC No.: 226-866-1

Another reason for not continuing the evaluation of the bioaccumulation potential at present is the observation that legal bioaccumulation criteria for air-breathers are not yet established in the REACH Regulation. Further there are also no agreed approaches or set quantitative values regarding the bioaccumulation assessment of nano forms. Therefore, the BE CA is of the opinion that for now it is appropriate to cease the evaluation of this substance. Follow-up work on this substance can be considered when more bioaccumulation criteria are clearly enshrined.

## 4. TENTATIVE PLAN FOR FOLLOW-UP ACTIONS IF NECESSARY

Indication of a tentative plan is not viewed as a commitment by the authority. Any commitment to prepare a REACH Annex XV dossier (SVHC, restrictions) and/or CLP Annex VI dossier should be made via the Registry of Intentions.

Follow-up action	Date for intention	Actor
not at present	n.a.	n.a.