



Taking SPERCs to a New Level

Roadmap 2.4 Team



Downstream Users of Chemicals Co-ordination group




Members of the CSR/ES SPERC TF



Name	Affiliation	Name	Affiliation
Andreas Ahrens	ECHA	Francesca Angiulli	A.I.S.E.
Christian Bögi	ESIG/ESVOC	Thomas May	ACEA
Romanas Cesnaitis	ECHA	Chrystelee Tissier	ECHA
Jean-Christophe Dewart	CEFIC	Johannes Tolls	DUCC
Christopher Dobe	ECPA	Frederick Verdonck	Eurometaux
Stefano Frattini	ECHA	Thorsten Wind	Cosmetics Europe
Miriam Leon-Paumen	ESIG/ESVOC	Lorenzo Zullo	ETRMA
Helene Magaud	ECHA	Janice Robinson	CEPE

SPERCs Update



SPERC Adaptation in CHESAR Discussion - Results



- **Overall results:**
- See pre-reading document ,SPERC format in Chesar 3'.
- ECHA is confident about migration of SPERC input files from Chesar 2 to Chesar 3


SPERC Adaptation in CHESAR

Discussion - Results




- **Identifier section:** More consistency with in Best Practice Format (e.g. field names, freetext field for scope, SPERC code).
- **Conditions of use section:** Standardization – Consensus
 - is desirable
 - is not feasible at present, has to be postponed.
- **Sub-SPERCs:** add fields, change naming of fields.
- **Fields with mandatory input:** Have been agreed upon. Flexibility for remaining fields.

SPERCs Update



SPERCs Update



SPERC Best Practice Factsheet



Feedback from Industry

- Evaluations to date find the format more or less ok
- Several suggestions for making the factsheet format more granular are discussed
- One uncontroversial suggestions for change:
 - Section on use descriptors should be extended with life cycle stage



SPERC Background Document

- **Background documents are an important element in SPERC documentation / justification**
- **Few examples exist to date**
- **Expectations**
 - **Development of background documents per se can be a significant effort.**
 - **Existing information (BREFs, etc.) can be leveraged.**
- **What is needed? – Common Understanding**
 - **Degree of detail, confidential information for justification etc.**
 - **ECHA, national authorities and industry**

Taking SPERCs to Next Level

Two Workstreams



- **Factsheets**

- Conclude discussion on format
- Implement format in SPERCs of all sectors


Joint workshop with
national authorities

- **Background documents**

- Pilot background documents & discussion with authorities, use ENES community as sounding board
- 2nd set of background documents & discussion with authorities

Two SPERC Workstreams

The Quality Improvement Plan



ES CSR Roadmap 2.4 Workshop



Objectives	Finalize discussion on SPERC best practice format*
	Develop common understanding of purpose and content of SPERC background documents*
Participants	ECHA, National authorities Industry (SPERC working group)
Date	2nd half of April 2016 (one day)
Place	t.b.d.

* Based on review of four factsheet examples and their corresponding background documents

Invitation to National Authority Representatives

ES CSR Roadmap 2.4 Workshop



National authority representatives are kindly invited to

- participate in the SPERC improvement process
- to attend the workshop (one day excl. travel).
- to review four factsheet examples and corresponding background documents (approximately 3 to 4 days of work)

Please indicate your interest in the workshop to DUCC
Laura Portugal (laura.portugal@aise.eu)

Thank you!!