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Minutes of the 52nd meeting of the Committee for Socio-economic Analysis

7-10 September 2021
and
13-15 September 2021
I. Summary Record of the Proceeding

1) Welcome

María Ottati, Chair of the Committee for Socio-economic Analysis (SEAC), ECHA, welcomed the participants to the 52nd meeting of SEAC.

The Chair informed the participants that the meeting would not be recorded. The list of attendees is given in Part III of the minutes.

2) Adoption of the Agenda

The Chair introduced the final draft agenda of SEAC-52. The agenda was adopted with minor modifications (in line with SEAC/A/52/2021). The Chair mentioned that the meeting would be partly chaired by the Deputy Chair Kalle Kivelä.

The final agenda is attached to these minutes as Annex III. The list of all meeting documents is attached to these minutes as Annex I.

3) Declarations of conflicts of interest to the Agenda

The Chair requested members and their advisors participating in the meeting to declare any conflicts of interest to any of the specific agenda items. Five members declared potential conflicts of interest to the substance-related discussions under the Agenda Items 5.2b-1), 5.2b-3) and 5.2b-4). These members did not participate in voting under those Agenda Items, as stated in Article 9(2) of the SEAC Rules of Procedure.

The Chair and Deputy Chair declared their absence of conflict of interest for all items of SEAC-52 plenary meeting.

The list with declared conflicts of interest is given in Annex II of these minutes.

4) General SEAC procedures

a) Report on SEAC-51 action points and written procedures

The Chair informed the participants that all action points of SEAC-51 had been completed or would be followed up during the on-going SEAC-52 meeting.

The Chair also informed the Committee that the final minutes of SEAC-51 had been adopted by written procedure and had been uploaded to S-CIRCABC as well as on the ECHA website. The Chair thanked members for providing comments on the draft SEAC-51 minutes.

Representatives of the Commission updated the Committee on SEAC-related developments in the REACH Committee and in CARACAL.
b) Appointment of SEAC co-opted members (closed session)

The Chair presented to the Committee the proposed list of nominees for appointment as co-opted members of SEAC in line with Article 85(4) of REACH. The Committee agreed on the appointment of four co-opted members proposed by the Selection Panel (restricted meeting document SEAC/52/2021/01). The Chair informed SEAC that the Secretariat will proceed with contractual arrangements for the new co-opted members.

5) Restrictions

5.1 General restriction issues
The item was postponed until SEAC-53.

5.2 Restriction Annex XV dossiers

a) Conformity check and key issues discussion

1) 2,4-dinitrotoluene
This agenda item was chaired by the Deputy Chair. He welcomed the Dossier Submitter's representatives from ECHA and the RAC rapporteur. He informed the participants that the restriction dossier had been submitted in August 2021.

The Secretariat first presented the background and legal basis for the Art 69(2) cases in general. The Dossier Submitter's representatives then provided an introductory presentation on the restriction proposal. Members asked clarifying questions on the DS presentation. The RAC rapporteur informed SEAC that RAC had concluded that the dossier conforms to the Annex XV requirements at RAC-58.

The SEAC rapporteur then presented the outcome of the conformity check and the recommendations to the Dossier Submitter.

The Committee agreed that the dossier conforms to the Annex XV requirements. In addition, the rapporteur presented the key issues that they identified for the evaluation of the restriction proposal. As this is a restriction proposal based on Art 69(2), ECHA-S together with the rapporteurs will consider if the case could benefit from a ‘simplified’ evaluation in RAC/SEAC following the Framework for RAC and SEAC in checking conformity and developing opinions on restriction proposals¹. The Chair informed the Committee that the Consultation on this restriction proposal will be launched on 22 September 2021.

b) Opinion development

1) Substances in single-use diapers – third draft opinion

The Chair welcomed the Dossier Submitter’s representatives from France, the RAC (co)rapporteurs and the occasional stakeholders. She summarised the state of play of the opinion-making process.

The Secretariat informed the Committee that RAC had adopted its opinion at RAC-58. The RAC rapporteur reported from the RAC discussions at the RAC-58 Restriction Working Group and the RAC-58 plenary and responded to questions from the SEAC members. The SEAC rapporteurs then presented the third draft opinion to the Committee.

Members discussed the uncertainties relating to the analytical methods, including how current limits of detection/quantification could affect the enforceability of the proposal. The occasional stakeholder observer from EEB also commented on total chlorine free bleaching. The Dossier Submitter provided clarifying comments regarding the analytical method. The Commission representative commented on the clarity of the SEAC opinion with respect to the medium cost scenario. Members also commented on the proportionality scenarios and the fact that benefits have not been demonstrated by the Dossier Submitter in particular. The Commission representative commented on the proportionality assessment and the break-even analysis in the opinion.

The Committee agreed on its draft opinion by consensus (with editorials as agreed at SEAC-52). The rapporteurs were requested, together with the Secretariat, to do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion and to ensure that the supporting documentation (BD and RCOM) is in line with the agreed SEAC draft opinion. The Secretariat intends to launch the consultation on the SEAC draft opinion on 15 September 2021.

2) Lead and its compounds in ammunition and fishing tackle – second draft opinion

The Chair welcomed the Dossier Submitter’s representative from ECHA, the regular and occasional stakeholders and their accompanying industry experts, invited experts, as well as the members of the SEAC support group which was established to support the rapporteurs in the development of the opinion. She summarised the state of play of the opinion-making process.

The Secretariat informed the Committee that RAC had discussed its second draft opinion at the RAC-58 working group on restrictions as well as at the RAC-58 plenary. The SEAC rapporteurs then presented the second draft opinion to the Committee. Members commented on the scope and costs of the restriction (relationship between the proposed restriction and the restriction on lead gunshot in wetlands; optional derogation for sports shooting with gunshot including a possible time limit; uses for self-defence; indoor vs outdoor uses; definition/prescription of appropriate bullet containment; effectiveness of information/labelling obligations distribution of costs). SEAC also had preliminary discussions on benefits (overall approach; quantification of impacts) and proportionality (overall approach; role of affordability; use of cost-effectiveness ratios).

The regular stakeholder observers, together with their experts, commented on the scope including derogations, transition periods, costs and proportionality. An occasional stakeholder observer commented on the proposed labelling requirements, the technical feasibility of alternatives and on costs. The invited experts supported a time limit for the
optional derogation for sports shooting with gunshot and commented on the costs and benefits.

The Chair concluded that the length of any time limit associated with the optional derogation for sports shooting would need an appropriate justification. With regard to the costs, while there was support for the overall approach and the order of magnitude of the costs, a few issues still need to be clarified on the calculations used by the Dossier Submitter. Furthermore, SEAC supported the preliminary conclusions on benefits which found the overall approach to be appropriate, though some clarifications regarding the quantification of impacts are still needed. Regarding the discussions on proportionality, SEAC supported the use of affordability as a complementing element alongside other elements, and to consider cost-effectiveness in the comparison of the restriction options.

The Chair welcomed the relevant stakeholder observers’ intention to submit additional information via the consultation on the Annex XV report.

The (co-)rapporteurs were requested to – with support from the SEAC support group members – prepare the third draft opinion by late October 2021, taking into account the SEAC-52 discussions and the outcome of the consultation on the Annex XV report (the deadline for comments is 24 September).

3) 1,6,7,8,9,14,15,16,17,18,18-Dodecachloropentacyclo-
[12.2.1.16,9.02,13.05,10]octadeca-7,15-diene (“Dechlorane Plus”™) - first draft opinion

The Chair welcomed the Dossier Submitter’s representatives from Norway and the RAC rapporteur. She informed the participants that the restriction dossier had been submitted in April 2021 and summarised the state of play of the opinion-making process.

The Secretariat informed the Committee that RAC had discussed its first draft opinion at RAC-58 and the RAC rapporteur summarised the discussions at RAC-58. The SEAC rapporteurs then presented the first draft opinion to the Committee.

Members commented on the effectiveness of the restriction to prevent releases under the different restriction options assessed and the Dossier Submitter provided clarifying remarks. SEAC considered that it is too early in the evaluation to conclude on which restriction option (RO) is the most appropriate EU-wide measure among those assessed, specifically as the consultation on the Annex XV report is ongoing. The Chair asked the rapporteurs to request upper and lower bounds for the cost estimates from the DS and include them in the opinion. Members requested additional information regarding the current state of manufacture and import into the EU. SEAC discussed the assumptions underpinning the cost assessment and noted that they should be described in greater detail in the opinion. Similarly, SEAC considered that information on alternatives needs to be clarified in the opinion. Members also discussed whether environmental stocks could be taken into account in the estimation of benefits.

The occasional stakeholder observer from Plastics Europe commented on alternatives, on recycling in Europe, as far as it relates to the proposed restriction, as well as on the length of the assessment period used for the socio-economic analysis.

The Chair concluded that there was general support by SEAC that action on a Union-wide basis is justified. She further concluded that SEAC also agreed that the scope of the proposed restriction and the other ROs considered is clear, and that more information and work is needed before a conclusion can be reached on which of the Restriction Options is
most appropriate amongst those proposed. Regarding the cost assessment, the Chair concluded that there was general support for the approach taken by the Dossier Submitter but that further scrutiny is needed prior to a conclusion on cost calculations. She further concluded that SEAC supports the general approach to use emission reductions as a proxy for benefits and that SEAC’s approach to assessing proposals on PBT and vPvB substances could benefit from an update in terms of environmental stocks.

The (co-)rapporteurs were requested to prepare the second draft opinion by October 2021, taking into account the SEAC-52 discussions, the outcome of the SEAC written consultation and any comments received during the consultation (the deadline for comments is 3 January 2022).

4) PFHxA – status update

The Chair welcomed the Dossier Submitter’s representative from Germany, the regular and occasional stakeholder observers, and their accompanying experts. The SEAC rapporteurs provided a status update on the outcome of the sixty days consultation on the SEAC draft opinion (concluded the previous week, with 161 comments received).

The rapporteurs were requested to provide the draft of the final opinion by late October 2021. The Secretariat will table it for adoption at SEAC-53.

5.3 Appointment of (co-)rapporteurs for restriction dossiers (closed session)

SEAC agreed on the pools of (co-)rapporteurs for the upcoming restriction proposals (considered as agreement on appointment in line with the restricted room document SEAC/52/2021/02).

6) Authorisation

6.1 General authorisation issues

a) Update on incoming/future applications

The Secretariat presented information on incoming/future applications for authorisation and review reports, expected workload in 2021 and 2022 and timelines.

SEAC took note of the update on the new applications for authorisation received during the August 2021 submission window and other AfA-related updates.

b) Use and treatment of confidential business information in applications for authorisation

The Secretariat delivered a presentation on what information applicants may claim confidential, what ECHA does at different steps in the process and what rapporteurs can do, as well as on how Committees can refer to confidential information in their opinions. During the discussion SEAC members noted that they understand and concur with the approach presented by the Secretariat. However, they noted that details in confidentiality claims vary from one application to another. They also noted that meaningful public ranges are helpful both for the rapporteurs, to overcome difficulties in drafting opinions, and to contribute to the overall transparency of the opinion development process. One SEAC
member reminded SEAC that the Committee members have access to the information which is claimed confidential by applicants. A representative of Eurometaux contributed to the discussion.

c) Updated format for applications for authorisation
The Secretariat presented the changes made to the format used by applicants as a result of recent developments. A brief discussion took place about availability of an overview, which would contain links to the guidance documents and their most recent versions adopted by the Committee. The Secretariat will publish the updated template on the ECHA website.

d) Approach to assessing applications for uses of ED substances – update
The Secretariat informed the Committee of the potential implications of an amendment to Annex XIV to include endocrine-disrupting properties for four phthalates.

e) Technical guidance for SEAC rapporteurs
The Secretariat informed the Committee of the update and generalisation of the document originally produced in 2019 to harmonise approaches and outcomes in the 4-tert-OPnEO and 4-NPnEO opinions. This technical guidance now applies to all opinions. During the brief discussion Committee members proposed a few further amendments to the technical guidance. The Secretariat will consider the discussion and update the document, and make it available to members on S-CIRCABC.

f) Assessing representativeness of DU information – a joint RAC and SEAC session
This agenda item was handled during a joint session of the SEAC and RAC plenary meetings.
A consultant presented and RAC and SEAC discussed how to evaluate the representativeness of data from surveys of Downstream Users (DU) provided by applicants for authorisation. Members of the two Committees discussed practical challenges in interpreting results of DU surveys submitted in upstream applications for authorisation.

g) Customers’ performance requirements – level of evidence needed
The Secretariat presented and SEAC discussed the evidence needed regarding customers’ requirements in the applications for authorisation process. Representatives of Cefic, European Environmental Bureau and Eurometaux contributed to the discussion. The Committee agreed that the Secretariat will prepare a brief document on the issue based on the discussion. The Committee will be invited to provide written comments on the document prior to the SEAC-53 November/December 2021 plenary meeting.

h) SEAC’s approach to assessing changes in producer surplus
Following the SEAC-51 plenary, a working group was established to draft practical instructions and to amend the theoretical approach. Seven SEAC members volunteered to contribute to the work of the working group. The Working Group met twice over the summer and has produced a document with practical instructions on the topic.
A representative of the SEAC working group presented and SEAC discussed the draft document on SEAC’s Approach to Assessing Changes in Producer Surplus. During the discussion the Committee agreed to introduce following changes in the draft document:

- To clarify that applicants can deviate from the default ratio of 1:1 for tangible and intangible assets,
- To clarify that there is a link between the justification for the non-use scenario and the arguments for the length of the profit losses to be considered, and
- To emphasise the possibility for SEAC rapporteurs to use one-year profit losses under chapter 6 (The role of SEAC in reviewing assessments of producer surplus losses).

A representative of the European Commission contributed to the discussion. SEAC agreed on the document with some modifications, as mentioned above. The Secretariat together with the working group will consider the discussion and update the document and will publish it on the ECHA website.

6.2 Authorisation applications

a) Discussion on key issues

1. 6 applications for authorisation (chromium trioxide, sodium dichromate, 4-tert-OPnEO, 4-NPnEO) from May 2021 submission window

The Secretariat, in cooperation with the SEAC rapporteurs, provided general information regarding the new applications for authorisation and specified the identified key issues in the applications listed below:

- 236_SD_Robur (single use),
- 237_CT_Nobili (single use),
- 238_CT_Hueck (single use),
- 239_OPE_NPE_Prionics (single use),
- 240_OPE_Alexion (single use),
- 241_CT_Gessi (single use).

b) Agreement on draft opinion

1. 224_RR1_EDC_Eurenco (1 use)

This is a review report on a single use of 1,2-dichloroethane (EDC):

Use 1: Industrial use of 1,2-Dichloroethane as a solvent for the synthesis of Polyepichlorohydrin used as a precursor in the production of Glycidyl Azide Polymer, an oligomer with hydroxy terminations used to increase the energetic performance of propellants and explosives

This SEAC draft opinion was discussed at the previous SEAC-51 plenary meeting in June 2021. The rapporteurs made changes in the review period recommended and in the conditions proposed in the new version of the draft opinion.

During the discussion two representatives of the stakeholder organisations (ClientEarth and ChemSec) asked for clarifications regarding SEAC’s conclusions on credibility of the substitution plan submitted by the authorisation holder, as well as about non-availability
of alternative substances in general. A representative of the European Commission contributed to the discussion by clarifying some aspects of and the information needs for the decision-making process, in particular as regards risk of alternatives and a length of the review period. In addition, one SEAC member made an intervention in support of the proposed review period and suggested to clarify that the conclusion on the credibility of the Substitution Plan refers to the requested review period.

The Committee agreed on the draft opinion by consensus. The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion. The Secretariat will send the draft opinion to the authorisation holder for commenting.

2. **227_RR1_TCE_ROQUETTE (1 use)**

This is a review report on a single use of trichloroethylene (TCE):

Use 1: Use as a processing aid in the biotransformation of starch to obtain betacyclodextrin

SEAC members discussed availability of alternatives and a length of the review period and its justification.

The Committee agreed on the draft opinion by consensus. The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion. The Secretariat will send the draft opinion to the authorisation holder for commenting.

3. **228_CT_Eaton (1 use)**

This is an application for authorisation on one a single use of chromium trioxide:

Use 1: The use of Chromium Trioxide (EC 215-607-8) by Eaton Vehicle Group (Eaton) across two legal entities in the functional chrome plating of engine valves and valve actuation (“lash adjusters”)

SEAC members discussed types of articles produced by the applicants, economic impact calculations in the SEAC draft opinion, consideration of the lifespan of technology used by the applicants in setting a length of the review period. A representative of the European Commission contributed to the discussion by requesting to clarify the text in the opinion, which specifies a length of the review period, as well as the content of the submission in the consultation concerning an alternative technology.

The Committee agreed on the draft opinion by consensus. The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion. The Secretariat will send the draft opinion to the applicants for commenting. The rapporteurs and the Secretariat will consider the need to come back to discussions in SEAC after the opinion has been agreed by RAC.

4. **229_RR1_CT_Volta (1 use)**

This is a review report on a single use of chromium trioxide:

Use 1: Industrial formulation of a chromium trioxide solution below 0.1 % w/w concentration for the passivation of copper foil used in the manufacture of Lithium-Ion Batteries (LiB) for motorised vehicles

SEAC members discussed impact on GDP of the country of residence of the authorisation holder referred to in the SEAC draft opinion, and availability of alternatives.

The Committee agreed on the draft opinion by consensus. The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion. The Secretariat will send the draft opinion to the authorisation holder for commenting. The rapporteurs and the
Secretariat will consider the need to come back to discussions in SEAC after the opinion has been agreed by RAC.

6.3 Appointment of (co-)rapporteurs for authorisation applications (closed session)

The pool of (co-)rapporteurs, as outlined in the restricted room document SEAC/52/2021/04 was agreed by SEAC.

7) Requests under Article 77(3)(c)
   a) Substitution Plans – adoption of addendum

1. DEHP_DEZA

The rapporteurs presented comments on the draft addendum agreed by SEAC at the previous SEAC-51 plenary meeting. The applicant submitted comments on 23 July 2021. The Committee adopted the addendum on this application for authorisation by consensus. The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the addendum. The Secretariat will send the addendum to the Commission, the Member States, and the applicant, and will publish it on the ECHA website.

8) AOB
   a) Update of the work plan

The Secretariat provided an update of the work plan for the future months.

9) Action points and main conclusions of SEAC-52

A table with the action points and main conclusions is given in Part II below.
## Agenda point
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conclusions / decisions / minority opinions</th>
<th>Action requested after the meeting (by whom/by when)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### 2. Adoption of the agenda

The agenda was adopted with minor modifications (SEAC/A/52/2021rev1).

### 3. Declarations of conflicts of interest to the Agenda

Conflicts of interest have been declared and will be included in the minutes.

### 4. General SEAC procedures

#### a) Report on SEAC-51 action points and written procedures

SEAC was informed of the status of the action points of SEAC-51.

Furthermore, SEAC took note of the oral report from the Commission on SEAC-related developments in the REACH Committee meeting on 24-25 June 2021 and the CARACAL meeting on 29 June 2021.

#### b) Appointment of SEAC co-opted members (closed session)

SEAC agreed on the appointment of four co-opted members proposed by the Selection Panel (restricted meeting document SEAC/52/2021/01).

SECR to proceed with the contractual appointment of the new co-opted members.

### 5. Restrictions

#### 5.2 Restriction Annex XV dossiers

##### a) Conformity check and key issues discussion

1. **2,4-dinitrotoluene**

SEAC agreed that the dossier conforms to the Annex XV requirements.

SEAC took note of the recommendations to the dossier submitter.

SECR to compile the RAC and SEAC final outcomes of the conformity check and upload this to S-CIRCABC IG.

SECR to launch a six-month external consultation on the restriction proposal on 22 September 2021.

##### b) Opinion development

1. **Substances in single-use diapers – third draft opinion**
SEAC rapporteurs presented and SEAC discussed the third draft opinion.

SEAC agreed on the draft opinion by consensus (with modifications agreed at SEAC-52).

| Rapporteurs together with SECR to do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion and to ensure that the supporting documentation (BD and RCOM) is in line with the agreed SEAC draft opinion. | **SECR** to launch a sixty-day consultation on the SEAC draft opinion on 15 September 2021. |

### 2. Lead and its compounds in ammunition and fishing tackle – Second draft opinion

SEAC rapporteurs presented and SEAC discussed the second draft opinion.

| Rapporteurs to prepare the third draft opinion, considering SEAC-52 discussions and the outcome of the third-party consultation, by late October 2021. |

### 3. 1,6,7,8,9,14,15,16,17,18,18-Dodecachloropentacyclo- [12.2.1.16,9.02,13.05,10]octadeca-7,15-diene (“Dechlorane Plus”™) – First draft opinion

SEAC rapporteurs presented and SEAC discussed the first draft opinion.

| Rapporteurs to prepare the second draft opinion, considering SEAC-52 discussions and the SEAC written consultation, by late October 2021. |

| **SEAC members** to provide any remaining comments on the first draft opinion by 22 September 2021. |

### 4. PFHxA – status update

SEAC rapporteurs presented a status update on the outcome of the sixty days consultation on the SEAC draft opinion.

| Rapporteurs to prepare the draft of the final opinion by late October 2021. |

| **SECR** to table the draft of the final opinion for adoption at SEAC-53. |

### 5.3 Appointment of (co-)rapporteurs for restriction dossiers (closed session)

SEAC agreed on the pools of (co-) rapporteurs for the upcoming restriction proposals (considered as agreement on appointment in line with the restricted room document SEAC/52/2021/02).

### 6. Authorisation

#### 6.1 General authorisation issues

a) Update on incoming/future applications

| SEAC took note of the update on the new AfAs received during the August 2021 submission window. |
b) Use and treatment of confidential business information in applications for authorisation

The Secretariat presented and SEAC discussed about the use and treatment of confidential business information in applications for authorisation.

| SECR to publish the updated template on the ECHA website. |

c) Updated format for applications for authorisation

SEAC was informed about the ongoing work on updating the opinion format.

| SECR to publish the updated template on the ECHA website. |

d) Approach to assessing applications for uses of ED substances – update

The Secretariat updated the Committee on the potential implications of an amendment to Annex XIV to include endocrine-disrupting properties for four phthalates.

| |

e) Technical guidance for SEAC rapporteurs

The Secretariat presented and SEAC discussed the updated and generalised technical guidance for SEAC rapporteurs originally prepared for 4-tert-OPnEO and 4-NPnEO applications for authorisation.

| SECR to consider the discussion and update the document, and to publish it on S-CIRCABC. |

f) Assessing representativeness of DU information – a joint RAC and SEAC session

A consultant presented and RAC and SEAC discussed how to evaluate the representativeness of data from surveys of Downstream Users provided by applicants for authorisation.

| |

g) Customers’ performance requirements – level of evidence needed

The Secretariat presented and SEAC discussed the customers’ requirements in the applications for authorisation process.

| SECR to prepare a brief document on the issue based on the discussion.  
SEAC to provide written comments on the document prior to SEAC-53. |

h) SEAC’S approach to assessing changes in producer surplus

A representative of the SEAC working group presented and SEAC discussed the draft document on SEAC’s Approach to Assessing Changes in Producer Surplus.

| SECR together with the working group to consider the discussion and update the document and to publish it on the ECHA website. |
6.2 Authorisation applications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a) Discussion on key issues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) 6 applications for authorisation (chromium trioxide, sodium dichromate, 4-tert-OPnEO, 4-NPnEO) from May 2021 submission window</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Rapporteurs are requested to prepare the first versions of the draft opinions, considering the SEAC-52 discussions. |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>b) Agreement on draft opinions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) 224_RR1_EDC_Eurenco (1 use)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Rapporteurs together with SECR to do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion. |

| SEAC rapporteurs presented and SEAC discussed the SEAC draft opinion. |
| SEAC agreed on its draft opinion (with modifications agreed at SEAC-52) on this review report by consensus. |

| SECR to send the draft opinion to the authorisation holder for commenting. |

| 2) 227_RR1_TCE_ROQUETTE (1 use) |

| Rapporteurs together with SECR to do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion. |

| SEAC rapporteurs presented and SEAC discussed the SEAC draft opinion. |
| SEAC agreed on its draft opinion (with modifications agreed at SEAC-52) on this review report by a consensus. |

| SECR to send the draft opinion to the applicants for commenting. |

| 3) 228_CT_Eaton (1 use) |

| Rapporteurs and SECR to consider the need to come back to discussions in SEAC after the opinion has been agreed by RAC. |

| SEAC rapporteurs presented and SEAC discussed the SEAC draft opinion. |
| SEAC agreed on its draft opinion (with editorials agreed at SEAC-52) on this application for authorisation by consensus. |

| Rapporteurs together with SECR to do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion. |

| 4) 229_RR1_CT_Volta (1 use) |

| Rapporteurs together with SECR to do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion. |

| SEAC agreed on the document with some modifications discussed at SEAC-52. |
SEAC agreed on its draft opinion (with editorials agreed at SEAC-52) on this review report by consensus.

**SECR** to send the draft opinion to the authorisation holder for commenting.

**Rapporteurs** and **SECR** to consider the need to come back to discussions in SEAC after the opinion has been agreed by RAC.

### 6.3 Appointment of (co-)rapporteurs for authorisation applications (closed session)

SEAC agreed on the updated pool of (co-)rapporteurs for applications for authorisation (considered as agreement on appointment in line with the restricted room document SEAC/52/2021/04_rev1).

**SEAC members** to volunteer to the pool of (co-)rapporteurs for applications for authorisation.

**SECR** to upload the updated document to confidential folder on S-CIRCABC IG.

### 7. Requests under Article 77(3)(c)

a) Substitution Plans – adoption of addendum

1. DEHP_DEZA

The SEAC rapporteurs presented and SEAC discussed the draft final addendum.

SEAC agreed on the draft addendum on this application for authorisation by consensus.

**Rapporteurs** together with **SECR** to do the final editing of the final addendum.

**SECR** to send the addendum to the Commission, the Member States, and the applicant, and to publish it on the ECHA website.

### 9. Action points and main conclusions of SEAC-51

SEAC adopted the action points and main conclusions of SEAC-52.
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