

14 November 2023

SEAC/M/60/2023 FINAL

Final

Minutes of the 60th meeting of the Committee for Socio-economic Analysis

**5-8 September
and
12 September 2023**

I. Summary Record of the Proceeding

1) Welcome

María Ottati, Chair of the Committee for Socio-economic Analysis (SEAC), ECHA, welcomed the participants to the 60th meeting of SEAC.

The Chair reminded remote participants of their obligations regarding maintaining security during the meeting, and informed the participants that the meeting would not and should not be recorded. The list of attendees is given in Part III of the minutes.

2) Adoption of the Agenda

The Chair introduced the final draft agenda of SEAC-60. The agenda was adopted with minor modifications (in line with SEAC/A/60/2023rev1). The Chair mentioned that the meeting would be partly chaired by the Deputy Chair Kalle Kivelä.

The final agenda is attached to these minutes as Annex III. The list of all meeting documents is attached to these minutes as Annex I.

3) Declarations of conflicts of interest to the Agenda

The Chair requested members and their advisors participating in the meeting to declare any conflicts of interest with any of the specific agenda items. Six members, three advisors and one regular stakeholder declared potential conflicts of interest regarding the substance-related discussions under Agenda Item 5.2a-1. Two members declared potential conflicts of interest regarding the substance-related discussions under Agenda Item 5.2a-3. These members did not participate in voting under those Agenda Items, as stated in Article 9(2) of the SEAC Rules of Procedure.

The Chair and the Deputy Chair declared their absence of conflict of interest for all items of SEAC-60 plenary meeting.

The list with declared conflicts of interest is given in Annex II of these minutes.

4) General SEAC procedures

a) Report on SEAC-59 action points and written procedures

The Chair informed the participants that all action points of SEAC-59 had been completed or would be followed up during the ongoing SEAC-60 meeting.

The Chair also informed the Committee that the final minutes of SEAC-59 had been adopted by written procedure and had been uploaded to S-CIRCABC as well as on the ECHA website.

Representatives of the Commission updated the Committee on SEAC-related developments in the REACH Committee and in CARACAL, and on the Commission's analysis of the judgement on Case C-144/21P European Parliament v Commission.

5) Restrictions

5.1 General restriction issues

1) Update of SEAC's paper on reference willingness-to-pay values for monetising chemicals health impacts

The Secretariat provided a presentation a proposed update of the SEAC paper on reference willingness to pay values for monetising chemicals health impact. SEAC discussed and agreed on the addition of reference new values to the paper, and the paper itself was agreed with modifications to the text. The Secretariat will take the discussions into account and make the editorials proposed in the document after the SEAC-60 plenary. The updated document will also be published on the ECHA website.

5.2 Opinion development

1) Universal per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (UPFAS) – Draft opinion with focus on food contact materials and packaging

The Chair welcomed the Dossier Submitter's representatives from Germany, the Netherlands, Denmark, Norway and Sweden, as well as the occasional stakeholder observers from EuChemS, EuPC, PRE, CropLife Europe, EurEau and FEC, together with their accompanying experts, and the regular stakeholder observers (Cefic, EEB, PlasticsEurope, Eurometaux, MedTech Europe, ChemSec and ClientEarth) together with their accompanying experts. She informed the participants that the dossier was submitted in January 2023 and proposes to restrict the manufacture, placing on the market and use of PFAS, i.e. universal PFAS (UPFAS). All uses of PFAS are covered by this restriction proposal except for the use of PFAS in firefighting foams, which is addressed in a separate restriction proposal (prepared by ECHA). Over 3000 comments had been received to date in the consultation on the Annex XV report. The rapporteurs prepared the draft opinion with focus on food contact materials and packaging, which was made available to the SEAC consultation on 5 July. The SEAC consultation finished on 8 August.

The RAC rapporteur summarised the discussions at RAC-66 working group on restrictions on this dossier. The SEAC rapporteurs then presented the draft opinion with focus on food contact materials and packaging. SEAC supported the rapporteurs' evaluation approach, including the sector-by-sector approach to organise the work on the opinion. SEAC preliminarily agreed that: action is required on a Union-wide basis; a restriction is generally an appropriate risk management option; the Dossier Submitter's sector-by-sector/application-by-application and "strength of evidence" approach to the analysis of alternatives and the socio-economic analysis is appropriate; the Dossier Submitter's approach to proposing derogations based on the analysis of alternatives alone is not appropriate; and several aspects in the socio-economic analysis need some additional clarifications. Furthermore, SEAC initially discussed and supported the rapporteurs' evaluation thus far on: the analysis of alternatives and the socio-economic analysis for food contact materials and packaging; and scope-related issues of the proposed restriction. The regular and occasional stakeholder observer representatives and their accompanying experts from Cefic, EAERE, EEB, EurEau, Eurometaux, EuPC, EUChemS, PRE, and Plastics Europe, commented on alternatives, costs, and benefits.

The Chair informed the Committee about the next steps in the opinion development. At SEAC-61 in November/December, SEAC will be provided with an overview of the consultation input and the work plan for 2024. At SEAC-62, the discussions of the next draft opinion will take place, with focus on consumer mixtures, cosmetics, and ski wax.

Furthermore, the Chair requested the stakeholder observers to provide additional information via the six-month consultation of interested parties on the restriction proposal by 25 September 2023.

For discussion

2) BPA+ - Status update

The dossier was withdrawn from the opinion-making process; hence, the item was removed from the SEAC-60 agenda.

Withdrawn from the agenda

3) Creosote, and creosote related substances – agreement on the SEAC draft opinion

The Chair welcomed the Dossier Submitter's representatives from France. She informed the participants that the restriction dossier was submitted in October 2022 and concerns the restriction of creosote and creosote-related substances. The rapporteurs prepared the revised third draft opinion, following the SEAC written commenting round which was carried out between 10-18 August.

The RAC co-rapporteur summarised the discussions at RAC-66 working group on restrictions, and informed that RAC is expected to adopt its opinion at the RAC-66 plenary. The SEAC rapporteurs then presented the revised third draft opinion. SEAC members supported the benefits assessment. The SEAC rapporteurs informed the Committee about the additional questions to Forum regarding the enforceability of restriction option 3 (derogation for re-use by all professional workers) supported by SEAC.

The Committee agreed on its draft opinion (with editorials agreed at SEAC-60) by consensus. The rapporteurs were requested, together with the Secretariat, to do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion and to ensure that the supporting documentation (BD and RCOM) is in line with the agreed SEAC draft opinion. The Secretariat intends to launch the consultation on the SEAC draft opinion on 8 September 2023. SEAC is expected to adopt its opinion at SEAC-61.

For agreement

4) Medium-chain chlorinated paraffins (MCCP) and other substances that contain chloroalkanes with carbon chain lengths within the range from C14 to C17 – Draft of the SEAC final opinion

The Chair welcomed the Dossier Submitter's representatives from ECHA and the RAC rapporteurs. She informed the participants that the restriction dossier was submitted in July 2022 and concerns the manufacture, use and placing on the market of substances, mixtures and articles containing C14-17 chloroalkanes with PBT- and/or vPvB-properties. She reminded the Committee that the third-party consultation on the agreed SEAC draft opinion ended on 14 August 2023 with 16 comments received.

The SEAC rapporteurs presented the draft of the final opinion. Members supported the rapporteurs' conclusions. The Committee adopted its final opinion by consensus. The rapporteurs were requested, together with the Secretariat, to do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion and to ensure that the supporting documentation (BD and RCOM) is in line with the adopted SEAC final opinion.

For adoption

5.3 Appointment of (co-)rapporteurs for restriction dossiers (closed session)

SEAC was provided with an update on upcoming restriction proposals.

6) Authorisation

6.1 General authorisation issues

a) Update on incoming/future applications

The Secretariat presented information on incoming/future applications for authorisation and review reports, expected workload in 2023 and beyond, as well as opinion development timelines. In addition, the Secretariat reminded the Committee of the guidance on potentially regrettable substitution applicable for functional/decorative plating, and options for action when applicants reject a CMR alternative on risks grounds. The Committee discussed choices of alternatives used by the applicants and evaluation of applications for authorisation on a case-by-case basis. A representative of the stakeholder organisation (Eurometaux) contributed to the discussion by acknowledging the issue of replacing CMR-related risks with the other risks of similar or equal concern; in addition some of the chemical elements, such as boron and nickel will increase in price due to high demand by other market sectors. Another representative of the stakeholder organisation (ClientEarth) reminded SEAC about holistic approach towards alternatives in general – alternatives should be safer.

SEAC took note of the update on the new applications for authorisation received during the August 2023 submission window and other AfA-related issues discussed during this plenary meeting.

For information

b) Mandate for a working group on SEAC's approach for recommending review periods in authorisation opinions

The Secretariat presented a draft mandate for a Working Group SEAC's approach for recommending review periods in authorisation opinions. The objective of the Working Group is to update the existing paper on the topic to reflect the current needs and practices in recommending review periods. The Committee discussed and agreed on the Mandate for the Working Group. However, the Committee's members requested to extend the Working Group's tasks timeline until the SEAC-62 plenary meeting in March 2024. SEAC members were asked to volunteer for participation in the Working Group by 12 September 2023.

6.2 Authorisation applications

a) Discussion on key issues

The SEAC rapporteurs, provided general information regarding the applications for authorisation 311_SD_Liebherr-Aerospace (1 use), 312_CT_Metalplast (2 uses), 313_CT_BWI-Poland (1 use), 314_CT_Benoni (1 use), 315_CT_Egal (1 use), 316_CT_ASO-Cromsteel (1 use), 317_CA_Micron (1 use), 318_CT_Sirio_Galv (1 use), 319_CT_SK-Nexillis (1 use), 320_CT_Fratelli-Creola (1 use), 321_CT_LMC (1 use), 322_CT_ArcelorMittal_plating (1 use), 323_CT_HDO-Druckguss (1 use) and 324_CT_Tecnofiniture (1 use), and specified the identified key issues in them. SEAC members asked questions of clarifying nature on the identified key issues.

b) Agreement on draft opinion

1. 297_CT_Acciaierie_Italia (1 use)

This is an application for authorisation on a single use of chromium trioxide:

Use 1: Use of chromium (VI) trioxide for Electrolytic Chromium Coating of Steel (ECCS).

SEAC members briefly discussed the shortlisted alternatives by the applicant.

The Committee agreed on the draft opinion by consensus. The rapporteur, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion. The Secretariat will send the draft opinion to the applicant for commenting.

2. 298_CT_Bjerringbro_Fornikling (1 use)

This is an application for authorisation on a single use of chromium trioxide:

Use 1: Electroplating (by a job plater) of metal substrates using chromium trioxide to achieve functional surfaces.

SEAC members discussed availability, technical and economic feasibility of alternatives, comments received during the third-party consultation, and the length of the review period. With regard to the issue whether a longer review period than seven years is justified by the applicant's intention to move to boric acid-free Cr(III), some members raised concerns that this would give the applicant an unjust advantage vis-à-vis other applicants in case the applicant would still move to Cr(III) with boric acid as an alternative. A representative of the European Commission contributed to the discussion on the length of the review period, considering the shortlisted alternative by the applicant. A representative of a stakeholder organisation (Eurometaux) contributed to the discussion by reminding SEAC about the additional business safety resulting from the implementation of alternatives free of substances of very high concern.

The Committee agreed on the draft opinion by simple majority. In a minority position, a SEAC member expressed the view that the alternative targeted by the applicant is not necessarily lower risk than Cr(III) with boric acid, and the length of the review period recommended is not clearly substantiated and should therefore not be longer based on a preference for a boric acid-free alternative. The minority position will be published with the opinion.

The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion. The Secretariat will send the draft opinion to the applicant for commenting.

3. 299_OPE_MeiraGTX (1 use)

This is an application for authorisation on a single use of 4-(1,1,3,3-tetramethylbutyl)phenol, ethoxylated (4-*tert*-OPnEO):

Use 1: Use of 4-*tert*-OPnEO as a manufacturing aid in the production of gene therapies.

SEAC members discussed the substitution plan submitted by the applicant, specifically focusing on confidentiality of milestones in the substitution process timeline, as well as environmental impact of continued use. A SEAC member raised concerns on the practice focusing on environmental releases from the substance, while there might be other environmental impacts due to risk management measures associated with wastewater treatment, namely water collection and its disposal by incineration. Thus, associated environmental costs of continued use of 4-*tert*-OPnEO might be underestimated.

The Committee agreed on the draft opinion by consensus. The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion. The Secretariat will send the draft opinion to the applicant for commenting.

4. 301_CT_SD_Liberty_Liege (1 use)

This is an application for authorisation on a single use of chromium trioxide and sodium dichromate:

Use 1: Use of chromium (VI) trioxide and sodium dichromate for Passivation of Electrolytic Tinplate (ETP).

SEAC members discussed implementation of alternatives by the applicant, which would require shutdown of the plating line for a certain period, thus potentially affecting the whole of the applicant's business. SEAC members also briefly discussed the shortlisted alternatives by the applicant.

The Committee agreed on the draft opinion by consensus. The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion. The Secretariat will send the draft opinion to the applicant for commenting.

5. 302_CT_Thoma_Metallveredelung (1 use)

This is an application for authorisation on a single use of chromium trioxide:

Use 1: Functional chrome plating for hydraulic applications, other cylindrical components and further industrial applications.

SEAC members discussed the credibility of the substitution plan submitted by the applicant, available and implemented alternatives by other actors on the market within the same industrial sector, and the length of the review period. A representative of the European Commission contributed to the discussion by noting that the application for authorisation and the analysis of alternatives do not cover the full scope of the applicant's portfolio; certain groups of products remain out of the scope covered in the application.

The Committee requested the rapporteurs to revise the draft opinion by taking into account the plenary discussion. The Secretariat will table the revised draft opinion for discussion and agreement at SEAC-61 plenary meeting.

6. 303_CT_Rubinetterie_Stella (1 use)

This is an application for authorisation on a single use of chromium trioxide:
Use 1: Electroplating of metal substrates using chromium trioxide to achieve functional surfaces for the sanitary sector.

SEAC members discussed availability, technical and economic feasibility of alternatives, comments received during the third-party consultation, and the length of the review period. With regard to the issue whether a longer review period than seven years is justified by the applicant's intention to move to boric acid-free Cr(III), some members raised concerns that this would give the applicant an unjust advantage vis-à-vis other applicants in case the applicant would still move to Cr(III) with boric acid as an alternative.. A representative of the European Commission contributed to the discussion on the length of the review period, considering the shortlisted alternative by the applicant. A representative of a stakeholder organisation (Eurometaux) contributed to the discussion by reflecting on legal status of nickel (II) chloride, and reminding SEAC about the additional business safety resulting from the implementation of alternatives free of substances of very high concern.

The Committee agreed on the draft opinion by simple majority. In a minority position a SEAC member expressed the view that the alternative targeted by the applicant is not necessarily lower risk than Cr(III) with boric acid, and the length of the review period recommended is not clearly substantiated and should therefore not be longer based on a preference for a boric acid-free alternative. The minority position will be published with the opinion.

The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion. The Secretariat will send the draft opinion to the applicant for commenting.

7. 304_SD_Acciaierie (1 use)

This is an application for authorisation on a single use of sodium dichromate:
Use 1: Use of sodium dichromate for Passivation of Electrolytic Tinplate (ETP).

SEAC members briefly discussed the shortlisted alternatives by the applicant.

The Committee agreed on the draft opinion by consensus. The rapporteur, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion. The Secretariat will send the draft opinion to the applicant for commenting.

8. 305_CT_Meoni_e_Bartoletti (1 use)

This is an application for authorisation on a single use of chromium trioxide:
Use 1: Use of chromium trioxide for the hard-chrome plating of hydraulic and pneumatic cylinders for various applications, and inner tubes of motorbike front suspension for the automotive industry.

SEAC members discussed the high costs of the alternatives and their reflection in the study of feasibility of the alternatives, as well as the potential overestimation of profit losses on the use of chromium trioxide. A representative of the European Commission contributed to the discussion by commenting on the robustness of the analysis of alternatives to conclude on alternatives.

The Committee agreed on the draft opinion by consensus. The rapporteur, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion. The Secretariat will send the draft opinion to the applicant for commenting.

9. 306_CT_Galvanica_Pasotti (1 use)

This is an application for authorisation on a single use of chromium trioxide:

Use 1: Industrial use of chromium trioxide for functional chrome plating with decorative character of items for the sanitary, hydro-sanitary, taps, household industries, and various other applications (such as handles/locks, pneumatic elements and electrical connection).

SEAC members discussed the availability, technical and economic feasibility of alternatives, comments received during the third-party consultation, and the length of the review period.

The Committee agreed on the draft opinion by consensus. The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion. The Secretariat will send the draft opinion to the applicants for commenting.

10. 307_CT_Vinzia (1 use)

This is an application for authorisation on a single use of chromium trioxide:

Use 1: Industrial use of chromium trioxide for functional chrome plating with the decorative character of brass or stainless-steel drain components for the tap industry to provide thickness, corrosion resistance.

SEAC members discussed availability technical and economic feasibility of alternatives, comments received during the third-party consultation, and the length of the review period.

The Committee agreed on the draft opinion by consensus. The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion. The Secretariat will send the draft opinion to the applicant for commenting.

11. 309_CT_Cromatura-Staff (1 use)

This is an application for authorisation on a single use of chromium trioxide:

Use 1: Industrial use of chromium trioxide for the plating of brass valves destined for applications involving industrial and technical fluids and brass fittings for oxygen cylinders.

SEAC members discussed the availability and technical feasibility of alternatives, the length of certain steps in the substitution plan, and (non-)acceptance of the articles produced by an alternative method by clients of the applicant, as well as the non-use scenario chosen by the applicant. A representative of the European Commission contributed to the discussion on the (non-)acceptance of the alternatively manufactured articles by applicant's customers, and the plausibility but not full credibility of the applicant's justification to exclude some of the alternatives. A representative of a stakeholder organisation (Eurometaux) contributed to the discussion by noting the potential risks regarding the availability of nickel for the production of a nickel underlayer in an alternative method.

The Committee agreed on the draft opinion by consensus. The rapporteur, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion. The Secretariat will send the draft opinion to the applicant for commenting.

12. 310_CT_Cromotecnica_Fida (1 use)

This is an application for authorisation on a single use of chromium trioxide:

Use 1: Functional chrome plating of hydraulic cylinders, stems, pistons and rollers using chromium trioxide.

SEAC members discussed economic feasibility of alternatives.

The Committee agreed on the draft opinion by consensus. The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion. The Secretariat will send the draft opinion to the applicant for commenting.

c) Adoption of opinion

13. 283_CT_KYB (1 use)

This is an application for authorisation on a single use of chromium trioxide:

Use 1: Functional chrome plating of piston rods for shock absorbers for automotive applications

It was received by the Committee in August 2022. SEAC agreed on the draft opinion during SEAC-58 plenary meeting. On 4 July 2023 the applicants submitted comments on the draft opinion.

A representative of a stakeholder organisation (Eurometaux) noted that they consider that any new information should be able to be submitted by applicants also while commenting on draft opinion agreed by RAC and SEAC.

The Committee adopted the opinion by consensus. The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat will do the final editing of the SEAC opinion. The Secretariat will send the opinion to the Commission, the Member States and the applicants, and publish it on the ECHA website.

6.3 Appointment of (co-)rapporteurs for authorisation applications (closed session)

SEAC agreed on the updated pool of (co-) rapporteurs for applications for authorisation (considered as agreement on appointment in line with SEAC/60/2023/03 rev.1 restricted room document).

7) Requests under Article 77(3)(c)

None.

8) AOB

a) Update of the work plan

The Secretariat provided an update of the work plan for the future months.

9) Action points and main conclusions of SEAC-60

A table with the action points and main conclusions is given in Part II below.

**Main conclusions and action points
SEAC-60, 2023**

(Adopted at SEAC-60 meeting)

Agenda point	Action requested after the meeting (by whom/by when)
Conclusions / decisions / minority opinions	
2. Adoption of the agenda	
The agenda was adopted with modifications (SEAC/A/60/2023rev1).	
3. Declarations of conflicts of interest to the Agenda	
Conflicts of interest have been declared and will be included in the minutes.	
4. General SEAC procedures	
a) Report on SEAC-59 action points and written procedures	
SEAC was informed of the status of the action points of SEAC-59. Furthermore, SEAC took note of the oral report from the Commission on SEAC-related developments in the REACH Committee and the CARACAL meetings, as well as the Commission analysis of the Case C-144/21P European Parliament v Commission.	
5. Restrictions	
5.1 General restriction issues	
1. Update of SEAC's paper on reference willingness-to-pay values for monetising chemicals health impacts	
SEAC discussed and agreed on a paper on reference willingness-to-pay values for monetising chemicals health impacts (with modifications agreed at SEAC-60).	SECR to take the discussions into account and make the editorials proposed in the document after the SEAC-60 plenary. SECR to publish the updated document on the ECHA website.
5.2 Restriction Annex XV dossiers	
Opinion development	
1. Universal Per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (UPFAS) - Draft opinion with focus on food contact materials and packaging	

<p>SEAC took note of and SEAC discussed the draft opinion with focus on food contact materials and packaging.</p>	<p>Rapporteurs to take into account the discussions, and the outcome of the third-party consultation, in the revised draft opinion to be tabled for discussion at a future plenary meeting.</p> <p>Stakeholder observers to submit information via the ongoing third-party consultation which will end on 25 September 2023.</p> <p>SECR to table further discussions as follows: at SEAC-61</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Overview of consultation input • Work plan for 2024 <p>at SEAC-62</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Discussion of next draft opinion • Focus on consumer mixtures, cosmetics, ski wax
<p>2. BPA+ - withdrawal by the Dossier Submitter</p>	
<p>SEAC was informed about the withdrawal of the Annex XV proposal by the German Dossier Submitter.</p>	
<p>3. Creosote, and creosote related substances – agreement on the SEAC draft opinion</p>	
<p>SEAC rapporteurs presented and SEAC discussed the SEAC draft opinion.</p> <p>SEAC agreed on its draft opinion (with editorials agreed at SEAC-60) by consensus.</p>	<p>Rapporteurs, together with SECR, to do the final editing of the agreed opinion and to ensure that the supporting documentation (BD and RCOM) is in line with the agreed SEAC draft opinion.</p> <p>SECR to launch the 60 day third-party consultation on the agreed SEAC draft opinion on 8 September 2023.</p>
<p>4. Medium-chain chlorinated paraffins (MCCP) and other substances that contain chloroalkanes with carbon chain lengths within the range from C14 to C17 – adoption of the SEAC final opinion</p>	
<p>SEAC rapporteurs presented and SEAC discussed the SEAC final opinion.</p> <p>SEAC adopted its final opinion (with editorials agreed at SEAC-60) by consensus.</p>	<p>Rapporteurs, together with SECR, to do the final editing of the final opinion and to ensure that the supporting documentation (BD and RCOM) is in line with the adopted SEAC final opinion.</p> <p>SECR to send the compiled package to the Commission.</p>
<p>6. Authorisation</p>	
<p>6.1 General authorisation issues</p>	
<p>a) Update on incoming/future applications</p>	

<p>SEAC took note of the update on the new AfAs received during the August 2023 submission window.</p>	
<p>b) Mandate for a working group on SEAC’s approach for recommending review periods in authorisation opinions</p>	
<p>SEAC took note of and SEAC discussed the mandate for a working group on SEAC’s approach for recommending review periods in authorisation opinions.</p> <p>SEAC agreed on the mandate (in line with the meeting document SEAC/60/2023/02).</p>	<p>SEAC members to volunteer for the working group by 12 September.</p> <p>SECR to amend the timelines as discussed in the plenary with the aim of agreeing the updated approach in March 2024.</p>
<p>6.2 Authorisation applications</p>	
<p>a) Discussion on key issues</p>	
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 311_SD_Liebherr-Aerospace (1 use) 2. 312_CT_Metalplast (2 uses) 3. 313_CT_BWI-Poland (1 use) 4. 314_CT_Benoni (1 use) 5. 315_CT_Egal (1 use) 6. 316_CT_ASO-Cromsteel (1 use) 7. 317_CA_Micron (1 use) 8. 318_CT_Sirio_Galv (1 use) 9. 319_CT_SK-Nexillis (1 use) 10. 320_CT_Fratelli-Creola (1 use) 11. 321_CT_LMC (1 use) 12. 322_CT_ArcelorMittal_plating (1 use) 13. 323_CT_HDO-Druckguss (1 use) 14. 324_CT_Tecnofiniture (1 use) 	
<p>SEAC discussed the key issues identified in the 14 applications for authorisation.</p>	<p>Rapporteurs to take the discussions into account for the development of the draft opinions.</p>
<p>b) Agreement on draft opinions</p>	
<p>Opinions agreed by consensus without editorials</p>	
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 297_CT_Acciaierie_Italia (1 use) 4. 301_CT_SD_Liberty_Liege (1 use) 7. 304_SD_Acciaierie (1 use) 9. 306_CT_Galvanica_Pasotti (1 use) 10. 307_CT_Vinzia (1 use) 12. 310_CT_Cromotecnica_Fida (1 use) 	
<p>SEAC rapporteurs presented and SEAC discussed the SEAC draft opinions.</p> <p>SEAC agreed on its draft opinions for these applications for authorisation by consensus.</p>	<p>Rapporteurs together with SECR to do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinions.</p> <p>SECR to send the draft opinions to the applicants for commenting.</p>

Opinions agreed by consensus with editorials	
<p>3. 299_OPE_MeiraGTX (1 use)</p> <p>8. 305_CT_Meoni_e_Bartoletti (1 use)</p> <p>11. 309_CT_Cromatura-Staff (1 use)</p>	
<p>SEAC rapporteurs presented and SEAC discussed the SEAC draft opinions.</p> <p>SEAC agreed on its draft opinions (with editorials agreed at SEAC-60) on these applications for authorisation by consensus.</p>	<p>Rapporteurs together with SECR to do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinions.</p> <p>SECR to send the draft opinions to the applicants for commenting.</p>
Opinions agreed by simple majority with editorials	
<p>2. 298_CT_Bjerringbro_Fornikling (1 use)</p> <p>6. 303_CT_Rubinetterie_Stella (1 use)</p>	
<p>SEAC rapporteurs presented and SEAC discussed the SEAC draft opinions.</p> <p>SEAC agreed on its draft opinions (with editorials agreed at SEAC-60) on these applications for authorisation by simple majority.</p>	<p>Rapporteurs together with SECR to do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinions.</p> <p>SEAC member who took minority positions to submit them within two weeks following the last day of the SEAC-60 plenary meeting.</p> <p>SECR to send the draft opinions to the applicants for commenting.</p>
Opinions discussed and tabled for agreement on a later stage	
<p>5. 302_CT_Thoma_Metallveredelung (1 use) – moved to SEAC-61 in Nov/Dec</p>	
<p>SEAC rapporteurs presented and SEAC discussed the SEAC draft opinion.</p>	<p>Rapporteurs to revise the draft opinion by taking into account the plenary discussion.</p> <p>SECR to table the revised draft opinion for discussion and agreement at SEAC-61 plenary meeting.</p>
c) Adoption of opinion	
Opinions adopted by consensus	
<p>1. 283_CT_KYB (1 use)</p>	
<p>The SEAC rapporteurs presented and SEAC discussed the SEAC draft final opinion.</p>	<p>Rapporteurs, together with SECR, to do the final editing of the SEAC opinion.</p>

	SECR to send the opinion to the Commission, the Member States, and the applicants, and to publish it on the ECHA website.
6.3 Appointment of (co-)rapporteurs for authorisation applications (closed session)	
SEAC agreed on the updated pool of (co-) rapporteurs for applications for authorisation (considered as agreement on appointment in line with SEAC/60/2023/03rev.1 restricted room document).	SEAC members to volunteer to the pool of (co-) rapporteurs for applications for authorisation. SECR to upload the updated document to confidential folder on S-CIRCABC IG.
9. Action points and main conclusions of SEAC-60	
SEAC adopted the action points and main conclusions of SEAC-60.	

III. List of Attendees SEAC-60

SEAC members
ANASTASIOU Christos
ARGYROPOULOS Christos
BRIGNON Jean-Marc
BÜCKER Michael
CASTELLI Stefano
CAVALIERI Luisa (co-opted)
COGEN Simon
DOMINIAK Dorota
DOLENC Darko
FANKHAUSER Simone
FREUDENTHAL Oona
GABBERT Silke
GAIDUKOVS Sergejs
GRACIA Ignacio
ISKRA Jernej
JANSSEN Martien
JOMINI Stéphane
JOYCE John
KIISKI Johanna
LEAHY Eimear
LÜDEKE Andreas
MÅGE Marit
NIKOVA Julieta
PIÑEROS Juan
PETERSEN Ida Svostrup
REALE Priscilla
RODRIGUEZ Manuel
ROUW Aart (co-opted)
RUZGYS Karolis
SERRA Alexandra
SOFIKITI Nikoletta
SPITERI Jonathan (co-opted)
THIELE Karen
TÓKÉS Gábor
TURVEY Alex
ŽELJEŽIĆ Davor

ECHA STAFF
AHTIAINEN Heini
ANAGNOSTAKIS Konstantinos
ATANASOVA Marina
BARNEWITZ Greta
BIN Essi
BUCHANAN Camilla

DI BASTIANO Augusto
DOYLE Simone
ETHOLEN Anita
GALETTA FEINDT Athina
GMEINDER Michael
HAMMER Jort
HENRICHSON Sanna
KIVELÄ Kalle
LAZIC Nina
LEFEVRE Remi
LEFEVRE Sandrine
LEHTO HÜRLIMANN Mikko
LISBOA Patricia
LOGTMEIJER Christiaan
LOUKOU Christina
LUDBORZS Arnis
MANNERVESI Maija
MARQUEZ-CAMACHO Mercedes
MAZZOLINI Anna
MOTTET Denis
MUSTAQH Fesil
NIEMELÄ Helena
NJOROGE Wanjiru
NOGUERLES MOYA Marta
NURMI Väinö
ORISPÄÄ Katja
OTTATI Maria
PELTOLA Jukka
PILLET Monique
POPOVIC Marko
PORTUGAL Laura
RHEINBERGER Christoph
RUOSS Jurgen
SALO Marta
SOSNOWSKI Piotr
TUNNELA Outi
VÄÄNÄNEN Virpi
VIRTANEN Ville-Waltteri
WILK Mateusz
ZEIGER Bastian

Commission observers	
BEEKMAN Martijn	DG GROW
BERNSEL Johanna	DG GROW
BERTATO Valentina	DG ENV
DUNAUSKIENE Lina	DG GROW
GALLEGO Mateo	DG ENV
PEDERSEN Finn	DG ENV
ROSSI Ludovica	DG GROW
SVÄRD Amie	DG GROW

RAC rapporteurs
GEOFFROY Laure
MOLDOV Raili
PINA Benjamin
SANTONEN Tiina

Name	Representing	Agenda point
BOCK Ronald	AGC, expert to PlasticsEurope	UPFAS
BRAULT Olivier	FEC: Federation of the European Cookware, Cutlery and Housewares Association	UPFAS
CONSOLI Elisa	Cefic, expert to Eurometaux	UPFAS
DE KORT Patrick	PRE: Plastics Recyclers Europe	UPFAS
DUGUY H�el�ene	ClientEarth	
DYEKJAER Sidsel	ChemSec	
GERDING Anna	expert to CropLife Europe	UPFAS
GL�UGE Juliane	EuChemS: European Chemical Society	UPFAS
GUITTON Ludovic	FEC: Federation of the European Cookware, Cutlery and Housewares Association	UPFAS
HEDFORS Cecilia	SSNC: Swedish Society for Nature Conservation, expert to ClientEarth	UPFAS
HERMANN Christine	EEB: European Environmental Bureau	
HOLLAND Mike	EAERE	
JANOSI Amaya	Cefic: European Chemical Industry Council	
JAQUES Andrew	RegNet, expert to Cefic	MCCP
KLEIMARK Jonatan	Expert to ChemSec	UPFAS
K�ORNER Mads Boye	Koppers, expert to Cefic	Creosote
LE LOUARN Candice	Groupe SEB: Burgundy's embossing company, expert to FEC	UPFAS
LEMETAYER Lorelei	MedTech Europe	
LOEBEL Oliver	EUREAU (IWW Water Center)	UPFAS
REILLY Veronica	Abbott, expert to Medtech Europe	UPFAS
ROBIN Nicolas	PlasticsEurope	UPFAS
ROMANO MOZO Dolores	EEB	
RUELENS Paul	CropLife Europe	UPFAS
TILLIEUX Geoffroy	EuPC: European Plastics Converters	MCCP, UPFAS
TRIER Xenia	EEA: European Environment Agency, expert to EEB	UPFAS
VEITH Susanne	Dupont, expert to Cefic	UPFAS
WAETERSCHOOT Hugo	Eurometaux	

Invited expert	
Eeva LEINALA	OECD: Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development

Dossier Submitters	
Name	Restriction
Achim HELMEDACH	UPFAS
Angelika BAUMBUSCH	UPFAS
Arianne DE BLAEIJ	UPFAS
Audun HEGGELUND	UPFAS
Carl DANNENBERG	UPFAS
Elodie PASQUIER	Creosote
Emeline HILY	Creosote
Frauke AVERBECK	UPFAS
Jenny IVARSSON	UPFAS
Karine FIORE	Creosote
Marion SANDERS	UPFAS
Mattias CARLSSON FENG	UPFAS
Sammy DRISSI-AMRAOUI	Creosote
Sebastiana HARD	UPFAS
Sehbar KHALAF	UPFAS
Stephane JOMINI	Creosote
Thijs De KORT	UPFAS

Advisors		
Name	Country	Advisor to
Mervi ASSMANN	FI	Johanna KIISKI
Stephanie CASTAN	AT	Simone FANKHAUSER
Sabrina MORO IACOPINI	IT	Stefano CASTELLI
Sofia ANTONIADOU	GR	Nikoletta SOFIKITI
Achim HELMEDACH	DE	Karen THIELE
Oliver PETERS		
Arianne DE BLAEIJ	NL	Martien JANSSEN
Sebastiana HARD		Silke GABBERT

IV. List of Annexes

- ANNEX I. List of documents submitted to the members of the Committee for Socio-economic Analysis
- ANNEX II. Declared conflicts of interest
- ANNEX III. Final Agenda

ANNEX I**Documents submitted to the members of the Committee for Socio-economic Analysis**

Document	Number
Final Draft Agenda	SEAC/A/60/2023
Appointment of (co-)rapporteurs for authorisation applications	SEAC/60/2023/01 (Restricted room document)

DECLARATIONS OF CONFLICTS OF INTEREST TO THE RESPECTIVE AGENDA ITEMS

The following participants declared conflicts of interests with the agenda items below (according to Article 9(2) of the SEAC Rules of Procedure):

<u>Name of participant</u>	<u>Agenda item</u>	<u>Interest declared</u>
Marit MAGE	5.2a-1) Universal per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (UPFAS)	Dossier Submitter
Ida SVOSTRUP PETERSEN		
John JOYCE		
Karen THIELE		Working for the CA submitting the dossier; asked to refrain from voting in the event of a vote on this substance - no other mitigation measures applied. No personal involvement.
Martien JANSSEN		Dossier Submitter
Silke GABBERT		
Sebastiana HARD (Advisor to SEAC M Silke GABBERT)		
Arianne DE BLAEIJ (Advisor to SEAC M Martien JANSSEN)		
Achim HELMEDACH (advisor to SEAC M Karen THIELE)		
		5.2a-3) Creosote, and creosote related substances
Stephane JOMINI	Dossier Submitter	
Jean-March BRIGNON	Working for the MSCA submitting the dossier	
Mike HOLLAND (regular stakeholder observer representative for EAERE=European Association of Environmental and Resource Economists)	5.2a-1) Universal per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (UPFAS)	Worked on preparing the dossier

25 August 2023
SEAC/A/60/2023

Final Draft Agenda
60th meeting of the Committee for Socio-economic Analysis

5-8 September
and
12 September 2023

Hybrid meeting

Tuesday 5 September starts at 10.00
Friday 8 September breaks at 12.30
Tuesday 12 September resumes at 10.00
Tuesday 12 September ends at 17.30

Item 1 – Welcome and Apologies

Item 2 – Adoption of the Agenda

SEAC/A/60/2023
For adoption

Item 3 – Declarations of conflicts of interest to the Agenda

Item 4 – General SEAC procedures

a) Report on SEAC-59 action points and written procedures

For information

Item 5 – Restrictions

5.1 General restriction issues

1. Update of SEAC's paper on reference willingness-to-pay values for monetising chemicals health impacts

SEAC/60/2023/01
For discussion and agreement

5.2 Restriction Annex XV dossiers

a) Opinion development

- 1) Universal per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (U-PFAS) – Draft opinion with focus on food contact materials and packaging

For discussion

- 2) BPA+ - Status update

For information

- 3) Creosote, and creosote related substances – agreement on the SEAC draft opinion

For agreement

- 4) Medium-chain chlorinated paraffins (MCCP) and other substances that contain chloroalkanes with carbon chain lengths within the range from C14 to C17 – Draft of the SEAC final opinion

For adoption

5.3 Appointment of (co-)rapporteurs for restriction dossiers (**closed session**)

For information

Item 6 – Authorisation

6.1 General authorisation issues

- a) Update on incoming/future applications and horizontal AfA issues in SEAC

For information

- b) Mandate for a working group on SEAC's approach for recommending review periods in authorisation opinions

SEAC/60/2023/02
For discussion and agreement

6.4 Authorisation applications

a) Discussion on key issues

1. 311_SD_Liebherr-Aerospace (1 use)
2. 312_CT_Metalplast (2 uses)
3. 313_CT_BWI-Poland (1 use)
4. 314_CT_Benoni (1 use)
5. 315_CT_Egal (1 use)
6. 316_CT_ASO-Cromsteel (1 use)
7. 317_CA_Micron (1 use)
8. 318_CT_Sirio_Galv (1 use)
9. 319_CT_SK-Nexillis (1 use)
10. 320_CT_Fratelli-Creola (1 use)
11. 321_CT_LMC (1 use)
12. 322_CT_ArcelorMittal_plating (1 use)
13. 323_CT_HDO-Druckguss (1 use)
14. 324_CT_Tecnofiniture (1 use)

For discussion

b) Agreement on draft opinion

1. 297_CT_Acciaierie_Italia (1 use)
2. 298_CT_Bjerringbro_Fornikling (1 use)
3. 299_OPE_MeiraTGX (1 use)
4. 301_CT_SD_Liberty_Liege (1 use)
5. 302_CT_Thoma_Metallveredelung (1 use)
6. 303_CT_RubINETTERIE_Stella (1 use)
7. 304_SD_Acciaierie (1 use)
8. 305_CT_Meoni_e_Bartoletti (1 use)
9. 306_CT_Galvanica_Pasotti (1 use)
10. 307_CT_Vinzia (1 use)
11. 309_CT_Cromatura-Staff (1 use)
12. 310_CT_Cromotecnica_Fida (1 use)

For discussion and agreement

c) Adoption of opinion

1. 283_CT_KYB (1 use)

For discussion and adoption

6.5 Appointment of (co-)rapporteurs for authorisation applications **(closed session)**

SEAC/60/2023/03
Restricted room document

For agreement

Item 7 – Article 77(3)(c) requests

None

Item 8 – AOB

- a) Update of the work plan

For information

Item 9 – Action points and main conclusions of SEAC-60

Table with Conclusions and Action points from SEAC-60

For adoption