

Helsinki, 4 March 2024 RAC working group/R/10/2024

# Report of the Meeting of the Committee for Risk Assessment Restrictions Working Group (RAC REST WG) reporting to RAC-68

ECHA Conference Centre (Telakkakatu 6, Helsinki) via Webex

Monday 4 March 2024

### Summary Record of the Proceedings

#### 1. Welcome and apologies

The Chair of RAC, Roberto Scazzola, welcomed the participants of the 10<sup>th</sup> meeting of the RAC Working Group on restrictions. He noted that Christiaan Logtmeijer would chair sections of the meeting and informed the group that consultations had been organised on the restriction opinions prior to the meeting.

The Chair explained that the timing of the Working Group has changed and welcomed participants to provide feedback on the new set up. There will be a short oral update from the WG to the plenary, and the written report will be published on ECHA website.

#### 2. Adoption of the Agenda

The Chair reviewed the agenda for the meeting (RAC WG/A/REST68/2024), which was adopted without amendments and is attached to this Report as Annex I.



#### 3. Declarations of conflicts of interests to the Agenda

The Chair requested all participants to declare any potential conflicts of interest to any of the agenda items. Nine participants of the meeting declared a potential conflict of interest on the case scheduled for the discussion as presented in Annex III to this Report. The WG Chairs declared that they had no potential interests related to any of the agenda points for the meeting.

#### 4. Restriction proposals

# 1. Universal per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (UPFAS) – Draft opinion with focus on hazard, consumer mixtures, cosmetics, ski wax

The WG Chairs Roberto Scazzola and Christiaan Logtmeijer introduced themselves and welcomed the Dossier Submitter representatives from Denmark, Germany, the Netherlands, Norway and Sweden, as well as the occasional stakeholder observers from Concawe, EPEE, EuChemS, EuPC, FEC, and PRE and the regular stakeholder observers together with their accompanying experts to Cefic, ClientEarth, EEB, PlasticsEurope, Eurometaux, CropLife Europe and MedTech Europe. The dossier was submitted in January 2023 and proposes to restrict the manufacture, placing on the market and use of PFAS, i.e. universal PFAS (UPFAS). All uses of PFASs are covered by this restriction proposal except for the use of PFASs in fire-fighting foams, which is assessed in a separate restriction proposal.

The following observers intervened as follows: CropLife commented on the group exemptions from the substance scope.

The experts from EPEE, CEFIC and PlasticsEurope, as well as the observers from Concawe, FEC, and EuCHEMS commented on hazards and on persistency. The EEB and EuCHEMS commented on the scope of the cosmetics. The EuCHEMS, PRE experts to ClientEart and Cefic, provided clarification on uses for consumer mixtures. The expert to Eurometaux asked clarifications on derogations in consumer mixtures. The expert to EEB and EuCHEMS observer commented on ski wax sector.

The Dossier Submitter (DS) representatives provided clarifications on persistency, the life-cycle of fluoropolymers and emissions.

The Working Group discussed the draft opinion and agreed on recommending to the RAC plenary provisional agreement on hazards, scope and on consumer mixtures, cosmetics and ski wax.



·	
Further work required	
The WG reached an agreement to	
recommending to the RAC plenary the	Rapporteurs to prepare a short
following provisional conclusions.	presentation to RAC-68 on the
	outcome of the WG and on
Rapporteurs were invited to continue their	remaining hazardous properties
work and present the next version of the	not covered at the WG.
opinion for discussion at a working group	
meeting pending input from the Dossier	
	Rapporteurs to take into account
Submitter as a result of the outcome of the	the WG discussions, the outcome of
third party consultation.	the third-party consultation, and the
	additional information from the
Hazard and risk assessment	Dossier Submitter in the revised
Substance scope	draft opinion, to be tabled for
	discussion at a future meeting.
Based on the DS proposal following the	
Persistency Criteria under REACH and	
considering the new data submitted in Annex	
XV consultation, RAC WG recommended to	
RAC that the proposed grouping approach can	
be supported but that the group exemption	
proposed by the DS is not sufficiently justified.	
<ul> <li>Hazard assessment</li> </ul>	
RAC WG reconfirmed their earlier evaluation	
that the main concern in the case of PFASs	
and/or their degradation products, is their	
very high persistence, generally exceeding by	
far the P and vP criteria according to Annex	
XIII criteria of REACH, as supported by the	
other hazardous properties.	
······································	
RAC WG recommended RAC to support	
rapporteurs' conclusions on mobility, long-	
range transport potential, bioaccumulation	
and ecotoxicity.	
Discussion will continue at the RAC 68 plenary	
on the remaining aspects on other hazardous	
properties (i.e. human health, global	
warming, and specific considerations	
regarding fluorinated gases and	
fluoropolymers).	
<u>Cosmetics</u> :	



RAC WG recommended to RAC supporting the rapporteurs' evaluation on the sector-specific elements i.e. volumes, emissions, risk characterization, effectiveness in reducing the identified risk, conclusion on specific sector/use specific derogations, summary of uncertainties and practicality, including enforceability.

RAC WG took note of the discussion regarding the risk of alternatives and the rapporteurs will reflect this in the next version of the opinion.

Additional information provided by the DS regarding the manufacturing of cosmetics ingredients and waste life-cycle stages in the cosmetics sector will also be addressed in the next version of the opinion.

#### Consumer mixtures:

RAC WG recommended to RAC supporting the rapporteurs' evaluation on the sector-specific elements for consumer mixtures i.e. volumes, emissions, risk characterization, risk of alternatives, effectiveness in reducing the identified risk, conclusion on specific sector/use specific derogations, summary of uncertainties.

Additional information provided by the DS regarding the waste life-cycle stage in the sector will also be addressed in the next version of the opinion.

<u>Ski wax</u>:

RAC WG recommended to RAC supporting the rapporteurs' evaluation on the sector-specific elements for ski wax i.e. volumes, emissions, risk characterization, monitorability, risk of alternatives, effectiveness in reducing the identified risk, summary of uncertainties.

Additional information provided by the DS regarding the waste life-cycle stage in the sector will also be addressed in the next version of the opinion.



#### 5. Adoption of the report from the RAC REST working group

Before the Chair thanked the participants and closed the meeting, the WG adopted its report of the  $10^{th}$  Meeting.

#### LIST OF ANNEXES

- Annex I Final Agenda of the of the 10<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the Committee for Risk Assessment Working Group on Restrictions
- Annex II List of participants
- Annex III Declarations of potential conflicts of interest



#### Annex I

3 March 2024 RAC WG/A/REST68/2024 FINAL

# **Registration deadline 15 February 2024**

## **Final Agenda**

Meeting of the Committee for Risk Assessment Restrictions Working Group (RAC REST WG) reporting to RAC-68

4 March 2024

WebEx meeting

## 4 March starts at 10.00 4 March ends at 18.15

## Times are Helsinki times

Item 1 – Welcome and Apologies

Item 2 – Adoption of the Agenda

#### RAC WG/A/REST68/2024

For adoption

Item 3 – Declarations of conflicts of interest to the Agenda

Item 4 – Restriction proposals

1. Universal per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (UPFAS) – Draft opinion with focus on hazard, consumer mixtures, cosmetics, ski wax

For discussion

Item 5 – Adoption of the Report from the WG

For discussion and agreement



#### Annex II

# List of participants

RAC Members			
Surname Name			
Angeli	Karine		
Deviller	Genevieve		
Esposito	Dania		
Facchin	Manuel		
Geoffroy	Laure		
Hakkert	Betty		
Hammer Sorensen	Peter		
Kadikis	Normunds		
Kloslova	Zuzana		
Leinonen	Riitta		
Lund	Bert-Ove		
Martinek	Michal		
Menard	Srpčič Anja		
Mendas	Gordana		
Moeller	Ruth		
Mohammed	Ifthekhar Ali		
Neumann	Michael		
Piña	Benjamin		
Rakkestad	Kirsten Eline		
Rodriguez	Wendy		
Santonen	Tiina		
Schlüter	Urs		
Schuur	Gerlienke		
Stalter	Daniel		
Tekpli	Nina Landvik		
Viegas	Susana		
Wildemann	Tanja		



RAC Members' advisers			
Surname	Name	Nominated by	
Catone	Tiziana	Gabriele Aquilina	
Granato	Giuseppe	Dania Esposito	
Hoffmann	Frauke	Agnes Schulte	
Marinkovic	Marino	Gerlienke Schuur	
Moilanen	Marianne	Riitta Leinonen	
Rehrl	Anna-Lena Manuel Facchin		
Russo	Maria Teresa Gabriele Aquilina		
Stalter	Daniel	Agnes Schulte	
Smith	Jenny Brendan Murray		

Invited experts				
Surname Name Substance				

SEAC Rapporteurs			
Surname Name Substance			
Castan Moser Stephanie (advisor)		UPFAS	
Cogen Simon		UPFAS	
Fankhauser	Simone	UPFAS	

Dossier Submitters			
Surname Name Authority Substance		Substance	
Averbeck	Frauke	BauA (DE)	UPFAS
Baumbusch	Angelika	Norwegian Environmental Agency (NO)	UPFAS
Borg	Daniel	Kemi (SE)	UPFAS
Carlsson Feng	Mattias	Kemi (SE)	UPFAS
Dannenberg	Carl	BauA (DE)	UPFAS



De Blaiej	Arianne	RIVM (NL)	UPFAS
De Kort	Thijs	RIVM (NL)	UPFAS
Drost	Wiebke	Uba (DE)	UPFAS
Heggelund	Audun	Norwegian Environmental Agency (NO)	UPFAS
Helmedach	Achim	Uba (DE)	UPFAS
Ivarsson	Jenny	Kemi (SE)	UPFAS
Johansson	Tommy	Kemi (SE)	UPFAS
Larsson	Kristin	Kemi (SE)	UPFAS
Nielssen	Peter Juhl	MST (DK)	UPFAS
Posner	Stefan	Consultant to Kemi	UPFAS
Sanders	Marion	RIVM (NL)	UPFAS
Simpson	Peter	Consultant to RIVM	UPFAS
Skytte af Sätra	Jenny	Norwegian Environmental Agency (NO)	UPFAS
Svostrup	Ida	MST (DK)	UPFAS

Regular Stakeholder Observers			
Surname	ame Name Organisation		
De Backer	Liisi	Cefic	
Duguy	Helene	ClientEarth	
Hermann	Christine	EEB	
Robin	Nicolas	PlasticsEurope	
Robinson	Jan	A.I.S.E	
Ruelens	Paul	CropLife Europe	



Santos	Roumiana	MedTech Europe
Verougstraete	Violaine	Eurometaux

Occasional Stakeholder Observers				
Surname Name Organisation Substance				
Dainelli	Dario	FEC	UPFAS	
De Badereau	Vincent	EPEE		
De Kort	Patrick	Plastic Recyclers Europe	UPFAS	
Di Caprio	Elisabetta	CONCAWE	UPFAS	
Gluge	Juliane	EUChemS	UPFAS	
Heusch	Alexander	EDANA	UPFAS	
Schneider	Julie	CHEMTrust	UPFAS	
Tillieux	Geoffroy	EuPC	UPFAS	

Stakeholder Experts			
Surname Name Nominated by		Substance	
Barber	David	CropLife	UPFAS
Bock	Ronald	PlasticsEurope	UPFAS
Consoli	Elisa	Eurometaux	UPFAS
Hedfors	Cecilia	EEB	UPFAS, slot I, II, III
Henry	Barbara	Cefic	UPFAS Slot I
Herzke	Dorte	ClientEarth	UPFAS
Mueller	Viktoria	EEB	UPFAS Slot IV
Shimaj	Elmedina	MedTechEurope	UPFAS
Stings	Anette	EPEE	UPFAS
van Wely	Eric	Cefic	UPFAS Slot II, III, IV



European Commission			
Surname Name DG			
Andre	Viviane	ENV	
Bertato	ENV		
Beekman	GROW		
Dunauskiene Lina GROW			

ECHA Staff		
Surname	Name	
Atanasova	Marina	
Barnewitz	Greta	
Bin	Essi	
De La Flor Tejero	Ignacio	
Galetsa Feindt	Athina	
Gmeinder	Michael	
Hammer	Jort	
Henrichson	Sanna	
Lehto Hurlimann	Mikko	
Lisboa	Patricia	
Logtmeijer	Christiaan, co-chair	
Marquez-Camacho	Mercedes	
Mesquita	Rochelly	
Mushtaq	Fesil	
Nogueroles	Marta	
Nygård	Daniel	
Orispää	Katja	
Portugal	Laura	
Salo	Marta	
Scazzola	Roberto, Chair	
Sosnowski	Piotr, co- chair	
Zeiger	Bastian	



#### ANNEX III

#### **Declarations of potential conflicts of interest**

The following participants, including those for whom the Chairman declared the interest on their behalf, declared potential conflicts of interest with the Agenda items (according to Art 9 (2) of RAC RoPs)

AP/Dossier / DS	RAC Member	Reason for potential CoI / Working for	
ALREADY DECLARED AT PREVIOUS RAC PLENARY MEETING(S)			
Restrictions			
Universal PFAS	Michael NEUMANN	Working for the CA submitting the dossier; asked to refrain from voting	
DE	Urs SCHLUETER	in the event of a vote on this substance - no other mitigation	
	Frauke HOFFMANN	measures applied. No personal involvement.	
DE	Daniel STALTER	Working for the CA submitting the dossier; asked to refrain from voting in the event of a vote on this substance - no other mitigation measures applied.	
DK	Peter Hammer SOERENSEN	Working for the CA submitting the dossier; asked to refrain from voting in the event of a vote on this substance - no other mitigation measures applied.	
NL	Betty HAKKERT	Working for the CA submitting the dossier; asked to refrain from voting in the event of a vote on this substance - no other mitigation	
Ge	Gerlienke SCHUUR	measures applied. No personal involvement.	
ΝΟ	Kirsten Eline RAKKESTAD Nina TEKPLI	Working for the CA submitting the dossier; asked to refrain from voting in the event of a vote on this substance - no other mitigation measures applied. No personal	
		involvement	



AP/Dossier / DS	RAC Member	Reason for potential CoI / Working for
SE	Bert-Ove LUND	Working for the CA submitting the dossier; asked to refrain from voting in the event of a vote on this substance - no other mitigation measures applied. No personal involvement.