

Boric acid emissions to the environment:

What we know & What we don't know !

Hiram Moerman 10 October <u>2022</u>



DISCLAIMER

- These materials are provided by Apeiron-Team reflect information as of the date of presentation.
- The contents are intended to provide a general guide to the subject matter only and should not be treated as a substitute for specific advice concerning individual situations.
- You may not copy or modify the materials or use them for any purpose without our express prior written permission.



DRIVING THE TRANSITON

Starting from safe use of chemicals, Apeiron guides its clients to <u>sustainable</u>, <u>future proof</u> business operations.



Your expectation is a <u>clear conclusion</u> on the <u>environmental impact</u> of boric acid?

What if



I will tell you ... What <u>know</u> What we don't know



Cr(III) electroplating bath functional-decorative



SVCH, Reason enough for Concern?

- Investment to exchange one SVHC by another SVHC
 - = impossible to become "sustainable taxonomy aligned" (Regulation (EU)2020/852), because
 - 1. Requirement to <u>Do No Significant Harm</u> (DNSH) cannot be met: "*This activity does not lead to the manufacture, placing on the market or <u>use of [...] a substance [...] that meets the criteria laid down in <u>Art 57 of REACH</u>, except where their use has been proven to be <u>essential</u> for society"*</u>

Remark: The requirement is also not met as long as Cr(VI) is used. But, ...

Investment (Capex) into a Green process (without SVHCs) improves the % taxonomy alignment
→ Investment into Cr(III) with boric acid is investment in the wrong direction
→ Sust. Tax. Regulation as driver to invest in research towards greener/safer alternatives



SVCH, Reason enough for Concern?

- Not just an SVHC, but more
 - recommended by ECHA for inclusion in authorisation list
 - cut-off concentration for classification of mixtures recently reduced from 5,5% to 0,3%
 - Why would the regulator do this if there would be no concern?



SVCH, Reason enough for Concern?

• Can the risk be reduced?

- Actions taken to minimize exposure & emissions to <u>non-detectable</u> levels (more than 100x < BOEL)
- Is it OK to exchange one very well controlled risk with an uncontrolled to risk?
- When the remaining risk is demonstrated to be so very low, is the introduction of another SVHC <u>acceptable</u>?
- Let's try to <u>calculate</u> the potential for improvement from a shift to Cr(III) technology



Cr(III) electroplating bath functional-decorative

Cr(III)	?
Additives	of no concern?
+ Boric Acid 60-100 g/L	SVHC







Empirical value of 6-7 kg BA per 10.000 Ah applied current 2 kg BA / kg Cr(III) used



Empirical value of 6-7 kg BA per 10.000 Ah applied current **2 kg BA / kg Cr(III) used**





There is evidence to suggest that release to the environment could cause risk

= RISK to CAUSE HARM FOR SOCIETY ?

Thus ... the alternative

is not (yet) suitable?

cfr. ECHA guidance on authorisation

