









2nd Stakeholder Consultation on a Restriction for PFAS

I. Reasons and aims of this analysis

Update: The submission period was extended from the 19th of September to the 17th of October 2021!

The competent authorities for REACH of the Netherlands, Germany, Denmark, Sweden and Norway are currently preparing a REACH Annex XV Restriction Dossier for the group of PFAS (per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances) described below (as defined under Section II. Substances) since all these substances are considered to be persistent.

The consequences of this persistence include that the presence of these substances in the environment is practically irreversible, and pose an unacceptable risk to the environment and humans. All uses of PFAS (professional and industrial uses, consumer uses of mixtures and articles) result in emissions into the environment and contribute to the overall concentrations of PFAS in the environment. Many members of this group already occur ubiquitously in the environment and contaminate the ground- and untreated water due to their high mobility. In addition, some of these substances accumulate in biota and/or are suspected to be toxic.

In view of these properties, the above mentioned competent authorities for REACH are considering proposing EU-wide measures covering all PFAS (as defined under Section II. Substances) to reduce those risks.

This questionnaire is intended to provide you/the respondents with the current overview the five authorities have on the different uses of PFAS. By checking the presented data and providing feedback you/the respondents can ensure that the correct information is used for the assessment and preparation of a REACH Annex XV Restriction Dossier. Furthermore, you/the respondents can provide the authorities with currently still lacking information. EEA tonnages & emissions presented depict the European perspective, which the authorities created from the gathered information. If tonnages or emissions are challenged, please do so at European level, not at individual company level. For alternatives (and transition costs) this is slightly different and individual companies likely have valuable information.

I. Reasons and aims of this analysis

General:

The purpose of the 'investigation report summaries' (download is possible on the next page) is to present our current knowledge and understanding regarding uses of PFAS with a focus on use tonnages, emissions, alternatives and substitution costs, etc. The data are important for both risk assessment and the socio economic analysis (SEA).

The investigation report summaries have been prepared based on more detailed PFAS use investigations. It should be noted that these investigation report summaries should not be considered to be equivalent to the Annex XV restriction report, which is in a preparation phase.

Presented data reflect the current knowledge and during the project new data might become available. It is not guaranteed that the information presented here will be used in the Annex XV restriction report or in the presented way. For instance: Presented quantities or costs could be higher or lower.

The information provided is largely of a general nature and is not intended to address the specific circumstances of any particular individual or entity. Further, the information is not professional or legal advice. In case respondents fill out the survey several times, only the latest entry will be considered.

Scope:

This survey is intended to provide an opportunity for stakeholders to confirm the understanding of the five countries prepararing the restriction proposal, or provide updated information, on PFAS uses, including tonnages, emissions, alternatives and transition costs. Information can also be valuable, if it confirms estimates that are currently marked as uncertain by the five countries.

This survey is <u>not</u> intended as an opportunity to provide feedback on the essential use concept. This survey is <u>not</u> intended as an opportunity to provide feedback on the (chemical) scope of the proposed restriction.

The use of PFAS in fire fighting foams is not part of this call for evidence. ECHA is preparing a separate Annex XV restriction dossier on this use.

Stakeholders are invited to add information on uses not mentioned in the report summaries under section A (general questions).

Public sources / literature references:

Presented numbers (i.a. tonnages & emissions) represent the situation in the European Economic Area (EEA). If you have a different view, please provide this information on EEA level with reference to public sources.

In case transition times are applicable due to substitution, please refer to the respective legal text where possible. In instances where the information presented in the investigation report summaries is challenged, but no reference to literature or public sources are made to justify such challenges, we are unlikely to be able to take the comments into account.

Others:

PFAS tonnages for the described uses cannot be added up for a full tonnage overview as this might lead to double counting. In case no information is available, the authorities will follow a reasonable worst-case approach when estimating emissions to the environment. Concerning the presented summaries, the authorities from the five countries do not accept any liability with regard to the use that may be made of the information contained. Use of the information in these summaries remains the sole responsibility of the reader. Although, the information provided in the summaries has been prepared with the utmost care, possible errors or omissions cannot be excluded. The authorities from the five countries do not accept any liability with regards to any such errors or omissions.

II. PFAS in scope

As indicated by the name, per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFASs) comprise a group of organic substances containing alkyl groups on which all or many of the hydrogen atoms have been replaced with fluorine as structural fragments.

PFAS in the scope of this call for evidence have the following structural formula:

 $X-(-CF_2-)n-X'$ with $n \ge 1$ and X, X' not being H (thus including $X-CF_3$) meaning fluorinated substances that contain at least one aliphatic carbon atom that is both, saturated and fully fluorinated, i.e. any chemical with at least one perfluorinated methyl group (-CF₃) or at least one perfluorinated methylene group (-CF₂-), including branched fluoroalkyl groups and substances containing ether linkages, fluoropolymers and side chain fluorinated polymers.

Although all PFAS will be considered for regulation, a non-exhaustive list of the most frequently used substances and substance groups may be found in the supplementary document accompanying this questionnaire and consultation which can be downloaded under the following link: Supplementary document.pdf

III. Target group of this questionnaire

Questions are addressed to the whole supply chain including **industry associations**, **manufacturers**, **importers**, **distributors and downstream users**.

Of interest is information on **PFAS** and **alternatives to PFAS**. Both, <u>PFAS</u> as such and <u>PFAS contained in mixtures</u> and <u>articles</u> are of relevance. <u>Alternatives</u> include <u>chemical (non-fluorinated)</u> as well as <u>technical replacements</u> for PFAS.

Please note that this questionnaire consists of 66 pages in total. It will, however, allow you to navigate through blocks of questions depending on your type of information or data. Hence, you will be able to specifically respond to the questions relevant to you. There will be max. 4 pages of questions per use ticked in Section A (general questions).

In the table below, the hyperlinks on the right side will allow you to download summary reports for the different uses for which further information is requested. In some cases a second hyperlink is available. In these cases the lead authority assessing the use already published a summary report on their website.

| Use | Hyperlinks to report summaries |
|--|---|
| (Cleaning agents, polishes and waxes (non-industrial uses) | Report summary cleaning agents polishes waxes july 2021.pdf |
| Cosmetics | Report summary cosmetics july 2021.pdf |

| Food contact materials & packaging | Report summary food contact materials | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Pood Contact materials & packaging | and packaging july 2021.pdf | | | |
| Lubricants | Report summary lubricants july 2021.pdf | | | |
| Construction products | Report summary construction july 2021.pc | | | |
| Medical devices | Report summary medical devices july | | | |
| iviedical devices | <u>2021.pdf</u> | | | |
| Medicinal products | Report summary medicinal products july | | | |
| | 2021.pdf | | | |
| | Report summary metal plating and | | | |
| Metal plating & manufacturing of metal products | manufacturing of metal products july | | | |
| | <u>2021.pdf</u> | | | |
| PFAS production (manufacturing) | Report summary PFAS and PFAS polymer | | | |
| FFA3 production (mandiacturing) | production july 2021.pdf | | | |
| | Report summary ski treatment july | | | |
| Ski treatment | <u>2021.pdf</u> | | | |
| Ski treatment | PFAS in the treatment of skis - use, | | | |
| | emissions and alternatives | | | |
| TULAC (textiles, upholstery, leather, apparel and carpets) | Report summary TULAC july 2021.pdf | | | |
| | Report summary petroleum and mining july | | | |
| Petroleum & mining | <u>2021.pdf</u> | | | |
| r enoieum & mining | PFAS in mining and petroleum industry - | | | |
| | use, emissions and alternatives | | | |
| | Report summary F gas uses july 2021.pdf | | | |
| F-gas uses | Application of Fluorinated Gases (F-Gases) | | | |
| | in the European Economic Area | | | |
| Electronics & energy | Report summary electronics and energy | | | |
| Liconomics & chergy | july 2021.pdf | | | |
| Transportation | Report summary transportation july | | | |
| Transportation | <u>2021.pdf</u> | | | |
| Waste | Report summary waste july 2021.pdf | | | |

IV. Information on institute/organisation/person & data protection rights

Information on institute/organisation/person & data protection rights can be downloaded via the following link: <u>GDPR.pdf</u>

Fields marked with * on this page are mandatory fields.

PERMISSION FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES: I agree to the personal data I provide in the present survey, including my name and my e-mail address, to be collected, processed and stored for potential follow-up questions regarding this survey by the service provider of the Federal Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (BAuA), namely Webropol Deutschland GmbH, and to these being subsequently stored in the database of the Federal Office for

| Chemicals. * |
|---|
| Yes |
| |
| Information on institute/organisation/person |
| Name * |
| Surname * |
| Name of institute/organisation * |
| E-Mail * |
| |
| Can we contact you with follow-up questions? * |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| Note on Confidentiality of information and data |
| I understand that it is my responsibility not to include confidential information in responses to general comments and in any responses to requests for specific information (e.g. company name, properties, assets, costs etc.). The competent authorities for REACH will not be held liable for any damages caused. |
| Yes |
| |
| I understand that it is my responsibility to mark confidential data and attachments as confidential. * |
| Yes |

V. Questions - Section A - General questions

| For which use would you like to submit information? Please select all uses on which you would like to provide information. |
|--|
| Cleaning agents, polishes, waxes (non-industrial uses) |
| Cosmetics |
| Food contact materials & packaging |
| Lubricants |
| Construction products |
| Medical devices |
| Medicinal products |
| Metal plating & manufacturing of metal products |
| PFAS production (manufacturing) |
| Ski treatment |
| TULAC (textiles, upholstery, leather, apparel and carpets) |
| Petroleum & mining |
| F-gases |
| Electronics & energy |
| Transportation |
| Waste |
| |
| If relevant, please further specify your use (e.g. textiles used in personal protective equipment). |
| |
| |
| |
| |

| Are certain uses of PFAS missing in | the categories abo | ove? | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|------|--|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

V. Questions - Section B - Cleaning agents, polishes & waxes (non-industrial uses)

Questions in relation to the use (mainly for industry associations)

The following linked information presents the current picture: Report summary cleaning agents polishes waxes july 2021.pdf

In the tables presented on this page and the following, '?' in the cells show that the authorities do not have any information available. Input to fill these gaps is highly appreciated.

| Sub-Use | Tonnage (tonnes/PFAS) per year in the EEA | Expected trend (/-/0/+/++) ¹ | Emissions/year in EEA ² (tonnes/PFAS) |
|---|---|---|--|
| Cleaners (for glass, metal, ceramic, carpet and upholstery) | ? | ? | ? |
| Aftermarket carpet care | ? | ? | ? |
| Dishwashing products (rinse aids) | ? | ? | ? |
| Dry cleaning products | ? | ? | ? |
| Waxes and polishes (for i.e. furniture, floors and cars) | ? | ? | ? |
| Windshield wiper fluids | ? | ? | ? |
| Windshield treatments (for automobiles) | ? | ? | ? |
| Rain-repellent fluids | ? | ? | ? |

¹ -- = strong decrease, - = decrease, + = increase, ++ = strong increase, 0 = neutral

waste stage of the articles. These emissions are covered in a separate section.

 $^{^2}$ Emissions relate to mixture/article production and mixture/article use. They do not include PFAS production and the $\,$

| How much PFAS do you use anually? If the exact amount is not known, estimates can be provided. |
|---|
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| Do you have information on the percentage of PFAS outlined in the question above that is released into the environment? |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| The environmental release category (ERC) is a key REACH use descriptor to define the release factors of a chemical substance in a specific use exposure scenario. It is used in various modelling tools to derive environmental exposure estimates. ERC default factors are used to estimate emissions of PFAS in three major life-cycle stages, namely the production stage including manufacture of substances, formulation of mixtures and production of articles, the in-use' stage, and the waste stage. |
| Please indicate if you have information on <u>specific</u> emission values (SPERCs) for (groups of) PFAS, based on measurements and / or model calculations. |
| |
| |
| |

Please indicate qualitatively or quantitatively, whether the use of PFAS in cleaning agents, polishes and waxes will increase, decrease or remain constant.

| | strong increase | increase | constant | decrease | strong decrease | no information |
|---|--------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|--------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| Cleaning agents | | \bigcirc | | | | |
| Polishes | | \bigcirc | | | | |
| Waxes | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | \circ | \bigcirc | \bigcirc |
| V. Questions - Section C | - Cleanin | g agent | s, polis | shes & v | waxes | |
| (non-industrial uses) | | | | | | |
| Questions in relation to a | lternativ | es (maiı | nly for i | individu | ial com | panies) |
| | | | | | | |
| Sub-Use | | | Non- | PFAS alte | rnatives | |
| Cleaners (for glass, metal, ceramic, upholstery) | carpet and | | bon or silic | | l surfactant | S |
| Aftermarket carpet care | | - silicone d | lioxide | | | |
| Dishwashing products (rinse aids) | | | | ? | | |
| Dry cleaning products | | | | ? | | |
| Waxes and polishes (for i.e. furniture cars) | e, floors and | - carnauba - nonfluorii | | ionic or an | ionic surfac | ctants |
| Windshield wiper fluids | | - non flu sulfosuccir | | surfactants | (e.g. so | dium dioctyl |
| Windshield treatments (for automobi | les) | polydime | thylsiloxan | ie | | |
| Rain-repellent fluids | | | | ? | | |
| What is the specific application/fu | nctionality o | f PFAS in | your proc | luct(s)/pro | ocesses? | |
| 1000 characters left | | | | | | |
| Are in your view the listed non-PF product(s)/processes? Yes | AS alternati | ves <u>techn</u> | <u>ically</u> feas | sible in you | ur | |

| ○ No |
|--|
| |
| Please specify why. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| A |
| Are in your view the listed non-PFAS alternatives <u>economically</u> feasible in your product(s)/processes? |
| ○ Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| Diago angifu why |
| Please specify why. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| |
| Do you have information on the alternatives' risk profile? |
| ○ Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| |
| Please describe. |
| |
| |

| 1000 characters left |
|---|
| |
| Are there legal approval schemes for your product(s)/processes, which have to be taken into |
| account in case PFAS alternatives will be used? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| |
| Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| What is the average approval time? |
| viriat is the average approval time: |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| Do you actively work on finding alternatives? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| |
| Please specify. |
| |

| 1000 characters left |
|--|
| |
| |
| If alternatives have been identified as potentially suitable, which timescale do you foresee for a |
| complete transition to those? Please explain. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| |
| Do you have information on additional alternatives for any of the described applications that |
| have not been disclosed in the attached information? |
| Thave thet been disclosed in the ditaction information. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| |
| V. Questions - Section D - Cleaning agents, polishes & waxes |
| (non-industrial uses) |
| |
| Questions in relation to impact of legislative measures |
| (for companies and industry associations) |
| |
| |
| What is the economic impact (in euro) and social impact (e.g. jobs) on your business/company if |
| the use of PFAS is prohibited? |
| a) In 2 years |
| a) In 3 years. |
| |
| |

| 1000 characters left |
|---|
| b) In 10 years |
| b) In 10 years. |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| c) Please explain by providing your calculations. |
| C) Flease explain by providing your calculations. |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| What is the economic impact (euro) on your business/company, if the following measures will become mandatory? Please make your (indicative) calculations transparent. |
| a) A maximum concentration of e.g. 0.1% (or less) PFAS is set in mixtures and/or articles. |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| b) Obligation to label your products visibly with "Contains PFAS". |
| |
| |

| 1000 characters left |
|---|
| |
| c) Obligation to report amount of PFAS in use and respective emissions. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| d) Specific waste management requirements with the obligation to collect, treat or recycle PFAS |
| containing waste separately. |
| |
| |
| 4000 all area store left |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| e) In case you are using PFAS polymers: no PFAS processing aids are allowed during polymer production |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |

V. Questions - Section B - Cosmetics Questions in relation to the use (mainly for industry associations)

The following linked information presents the current picture: Report summary cosmetics july 2021.pdf

In the tables presented on this page and the following, '?' in the cells show that the authorities do not

have any information available. Input to fill these gaps is highly appreciated.

| Sub-Use | Tonnage (tonnes F) per year in the EEA ¹ | Expected trend (/-/0/+/++) ² | TF Emission ³ /year EEA (tonnes F) | EOF Emissions ⁴ /year in EEA ⁵ (tonnes F) |
|----------------------|---|---|---|---|
| Skin Care | 8.2 | 0 | 6.2 | 0.009 |
| Toiletries | 0.6 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| Hair Care | 1 | 0 | 0.9 | 0.5 |
| Perfumes and | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fragrances | U | U | U | U |
| Decorative Cosmetics | 1.2 | 0 | 0.7 | 0.2 |

¹ Based on the total fluorine (TF) measurements. Quantities PFAS/year are obtained by using a conversion factor of 1.4-2.0.

Do you have information that indicates that the information provided on the tonnage should be adjusted?

| \bigcirc | Yes | | |
|------------|-----|--|--|
| | No | | |

² -- = strong decrease, - = decrease, + = increase, ++ = strong increase, 0 = neutral

³ Emissions to wastewater based on the total fluorine (TF) measurements.

⁴ Emissions to wastewater based on total extractable organic fluorine (EOF) measurements.

⁵ Emissions relate to mixture/article use. They do not include PFAS production and the waste stage of the articles. These emissions are covered in a separate section. Also note that emissions do not include mixture/article production..

| Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources. |
|--|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| Do you have information that indicates that the information provided on the emissions should be adjusted? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources. |
| Ticase specify and/or refer to interactars/pasies scarses. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| Do you have information that indicates that the information provided on the expected trend should be adjusted? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources. |
| |
| |
| |
| |

| Do you have information on risk management measures to minimize the use, human exposure and emissions to the environment for your application of PFAS? |
|--|
| ○ Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| |
| Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| 1000 Glaractors left |

V. Questions - Section C - Cosmetics Questions in relation to alternatives (mainly for individual companies)

| Sub-Use | Non-PFAS alternatives ¹ |
|-------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Skin care | ? |
| Toiletries | ? |
| Hair Care | ? |
| Perfumes and fragrances | ? |
| Decorative cosmetics | ? |

¹ Based on the information gathered so far, the authorities conclude that PFAS can be replaced by other ingredients and do

not have unique functions. One reason is that there are far more non-PFAS cosmetic products within the same product

categories as the PFAS containing products.

| What is the | he specific app | ication/functionalit | y of PFAS in your | product(s) | /processes? |
|-------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------|
|-------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------|

| | • • | , | , , | (/ 1 | |
|--|-----|---|-----|-------|--|
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| 4000 above store left |
|--|
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| Are in your view the listed non-PFAS alternatives <u>technically</u> feasible in your product(s)/processes? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| |
| Please specify why. |
| r reads speelly mily. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| Todo Giardoteis leit |
| |
| Are in your view the listed non-PFAS alternatives <u>economically</u> feasible in your product(s)/processes? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| |
| Please specify why. |
| Tricado opcony wrig. |
| |
| |
| |
| |

| Do you have information on the alternatives' risk profile? |
|---|
| ○ Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| |
| Please describe. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| Are there legal approval schemes for your product(s)/processes, which have to be taken into account in case PFAS alternatives will be used? |
| ○ Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| |
| Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| What is the average approval time? |
| |
| |
| |
| |

| Do you actively work on finding alternatives? |
|--|
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| |
| Please specify. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| If alternatives have been identified as potentially suitable, which timescale do you foresee for a complete transition to those? Please explain. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| Do you have information on additional alternatives for any of the described applications that have not been disclosed in the attached information? |
| |
| |
| |
| |

V. Questions - Section D - Cosmetics

Questions in relation to impact of legislative measures

(for companies and industry associations)

| What is the economic impact (in euro) and social impact (e.g. jobs) on your business/company if the use of PFAS is prohibited? |
|---|
| a) In 3 years. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| b) In 10 years. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| c) Please explain by providing your calculations. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| What is the economic impact (euro) on your business/company, if the following measures will become mandatory? Please make your (indicative) calculations transparent. |
| a) A maximum concentration of e.g. 0.1% (or less) PFAS is set in mixtures and/or articles. |
| |
| |
| |

| b) Obligation to label your products visibly with "Contains PFAS". |
|---|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
|) OUT: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: |
| c) Obligation to report amount of PFAS in use and respective emissions. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| d) Specific waste management requirements with the obligation to collect, treat or recycle PFA containing waste separately. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| e) In case you are using PFAS polymers: no PFAS processing aids are allowed during polymer |
| production. |
| |
| |
| |
| |

V. Questions - Section E - Cosmetics Specific questions for the use

| Do you have information on emissions from <u>production</u> of cosmetic products? Where possible, refer to literature/public sources. |
|---|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| The current estimate for the total quantity of cosmetic products on the EEA market is approximately 2.3 Mtonnes/year. Is this a reasonable estimate? If not, please provide more relevant data. Where possible, refer to literature/public sources. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |

V. Questions - Section B - Food contact materials & packaging Questions in relation to the use (mainly for industry associations)

The following linked information presents the current picture: Report summary food contact materials and packaging july 2021.pdf

In the tables presented on this page and the following, '?' in the cells show that the authorities do not have any information available. Input to fill these gaps is highly appreciated.

| Sub-Use | Tonnage (tonnes/PFAS) per year in the EEA PFAS solely polymers in table | Expected trend (/-/0/+/++) ¹ | Emissions/year in EEA ² (tonnes/PFAS) |
|---------|---|--|---|
|---------|---|--|---|

| | Product: 41,351,000 (paper and board) | | |
|---|--|------------------|------------------------------|
| Dockowing | Product: 20,500,000 (plastic packaging) | (00() | 404 074 |
| Packaging | | + (3%) | 124 - 871 |
| | PFAS: 827 - 4,962 (in paper and board) | | |
| | (iii papei aliu boalu) | | |
| | PFAS: ? (for plastic packaging) | | |
| | Product: ? | | |
| Cookware | PFAS: 3,500 | + (5%) | |
| | (Plastic Europe, AFW, 2017) | | 1,633 - 4,716 |
| | Product: ? | | (mainly recoating emissions) |
| Industrial applications | PFAS: 3,000 ³ | ++ (10 - 20%) | |
| | (Plastic Europe, AFW, 2017) | | |
| 1 = strong decrease, - = decrease, + = increase, ++ = strong increase, 0 = neutral 2 Emissions relate to mixture/article production and mixture/article use. They do not include PFAS production and the waste | | | |
| • | These emissions are covered in a | separate section | |
| ³ Including pharmaceut | icals (could not be disaggregated |). | |
| Do you have informated adjusted? | tion that indicates that the infor | mation provided | on the tonnage should be |
| Yes | | | |
| ○ No | | | |
| | | | |
| Please specify and/or | refer to literature/public sourc | es. | |

| Do you have information that indicates that the information provided on the emissions should be adjusted? |
|--|
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| Diagon appoint and/or refer to literature/public sources |
| Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| The environmental release category (ERC) is a key REACH use descriptor to define the release factors of a chemical substance in a specific use exposure scenario. It is used in various modelling tools to derive environmental exposure estimates. ERC default factors are used to estimate emissions of PFAS in three major life-cycle stages, namely the production stage including manufacture of substances, formulation of mixtures and production of articles, the 'in-use' stage, and the waste stage. Please indicate if you have information on specific emission values (SPERCs) for (groups of) |
| PFAS, based on measurements and / or model calculations. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| Do you have information that indicates that the information provided on the expected trend should be adjusted? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |

| Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources. |
|--|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| Do you have information on risk management measures to minimize the use, human exposure and emissions to the environment for your application of PFAS? |
| ○ Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| |
| Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |

V. Questions - Section C - Food contact material & packaging **Questions in relation to alternatives (mainly for individual companies)**

| Sub-Use | Non-PFAS alternatives |
|-----------|---|
| Packaging | natural greaseproof paper vegetable parchment clay coatings silicone biopolymers (e.g. chitosan, starch, cellulose, polyvinyl alcohol, bioplastics such as polylactic acid (PLA), biowaxes) synthesis plastics (e.g. low-density polyethylene (LDPE), linear low-density polyethylene (LLDPE), |

| | high density polyethylene (HDPE), polypropylene (PE), ethylene vinyl alcohol (EVOH), polyvinyl alcohol (PVOH), polyvinylidene chloride (PVDC), polyethylene terephthalate (PET)) - microfibrillar cellulose (MFC), cellulose nanofibrils (CNFs), cellulose nanocrystals (CNCs) - aqueous dispersions of co-polymers (e.g. styrene acrylic emulsion (SAE)) - aqueous dispersions of waxes (e.g. TopScreen) - water soluble hydroxyethylcellulose (HEC) - alkyl succinic anhydride (ASA), alkyl ketene dimer (AKD) - aluminium foil - lamination using impermeable barriers - other plant fibres (miscanthus, etc.) - bitumen coating - re-usable materials - 'ceramic' coatings (sol-gel) as replacement of coating material |
|-------------------------|--|
| Consumer cookware | silicone coatings as replacement of coating material silicone cookware (not coated metal) as alternative base material, uncoated superhydrophobic coatings and hydrophobic coatings as replacement of coating material (Nanoscopic layer which is able to resist water. They are made from different materials like zinc oxide polystyrene, precipitated calcium carbonate, carbon nano-tube substances, manganese oxide polystyrene.) enamelled cast iron / seasoned cast iron as alternative base material and non-stick coating full ceramic cookware (not just coated) as alternative base material carbon steel as alternative base material, uncoated anodized aluminium coating as alternative base material, may be coated stainless steel as alternative base material, uncoated copper as alternative base material, uncoated |
| Industrial applications | - stainless steel - ceramic coatings - silicone and silicone coatings - synthetic rubbers and similar compounds (nitrile rubber, ethylene propylene rubber, neoprene, PES (polyethersulfone)) |

What is the specific application/functionality of PFAS in your product(s)/processes?

| _ | |
|---|--|
| | |
| ┕ | |
| | |
| L | |
| | |
| L | |
| | |
| L | |
| | |
| | |

| Are in your view the listed non-PFAS alternatives <u>technically</u> feasible in your product(s)/processes? |
|--|
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| |
| Please specify why. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| Are in your view the listed non-PFAS alternatives <u>economically</u> feasible in your product(s)/processes? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| |
| Please specify why. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| |
| Do you have information on the alternatives' risk profile? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |

| Please describe. |
|---|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| Are there legal approval schemes for your product(s)/processes, which have to be taken into account in case PFAS alternatives will be used? |
| ○ Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| |
| Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| What is the average approval time? |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

| Do you actively work on finding alternatives? |
|--|
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| |
| Please specify. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| TOUC CHARACTERS TERE |
| |
| If alternatives have been identified as potentially suitable, which timescale do you foresee for a |
| complete transition to those? Please explain. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| |
| Do you have information on additional alternatives for any of the described applications that have not been disclosed in the attached information? |
| |
| |
| |
| |

V. Questions - Section D - Food contact material & packaging Questions in relation to impact of legislative measures (for companies and industry associations)

| What is the economic impact (in euro) and social impact (e.g. jobs) on your business/company if the use of PFAS is prohibited? |
|---|
| a) In 3 years. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| b) In 10 years. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| c) Please explain by providing your calculations. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| What is the economic impact (euro) on your business/company, if the following measures will become mandatory? Please make your (indicative) calculations transparent. |
| a) A maximum concentration of e.g. 0.1% (or less) PFAS is set in mixtures and/or articles. |
| |
| |
| |

| b) Obligation to label your products visibly with "Contains PFAS". |
|---|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
|) OUT: 11: 11: 11: 11: 11: 11: 11: 11: 11: 1 |
| c) Obligation to report amount of PFAS in use and respective emissions. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| d) Specific waste management requirements with the obligation to collect, treat or recycle PFA containing waste separately. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| e) In case you are using PFAS polymers: no PFAS processing aids are allowed during polymer |
| production. |
| |
| |
| |
| |

V. Questions - Section E - Food contact material & packaging Specific questions for the use

| If available, please provide information that allows a quantitative estimation of PFAS emissions |
|--|
| during the manufacture of consumer and industrial applications as well as food packaging material. |
| material. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| |
| If available, please proivde data on (PFAS impurities in) polymer production aids emission |
| during the production of consumer cookware & industrial applications. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| |
| If available, please provide information on the use of fluorinated gas or fluorinated processing |
| aids in plastic packaging production (food as well as non-food packaging). |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |

V. Questions - Section B - Lubricants

Questions in relation to the use (mainly for industry associations)

The following linked information presents the current picture: Report summary lubricants july 2021.pdf

In the tables presented on this page and the following, '?' in the cells show that the authorities do not have any information available. Input to fill these gaps is highly appreciated.

| Sub-Use | Tonnage (tonnes/PFAS) per year in the EEA | Expected trend (/-/0/+/++) ¹ | Emissions/year in EEA ² (tonnes/PFAS) |
|----------------------------------|---|--|---|
| Formulation of lubricants | < 3,000 | + (5% up to 2030) | in soil, surface water and air: 50 in waste stage: 40 |
| In-use stage (sealed articles) | < 3,000 | + | 80 |
| In-use stage (open applications) | 100 | + | 90 |

¹ -- = strong decrease, - = decrease, + = increase, ++ = strong increase, 0 = neutral

the formulation of lubricants also the waste stage of the articles. The emissions for PFAS production and the waste stage

are also covered in a separate section.

| Do you have information that indicates that the information provided on the tonnage sho adjusted? | uld be |
|--|--------|
| ○ Yes | |
| ○ No | |
| | |
| Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources. | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| 1000 characters left | |

² Emissions relate to mixture/article production and mixture/article use. They do not include PFAS production and only for

| adjusted? |
|---|
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| |
| Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| |
| The environmental release category (ERC) is a key REACH use descriptor to define the release factors of a chemical substance in a specific use exposure scenario. It is used in various |
| modelling tools to derive environmental exposure estimates. ERC default factors are used to |
| estimate emissions of PFAS in three major life-cycle stages, namely the production stage including manufacture of substances, formulation of mixtures and production of articles, the |
| 'in-use' stage, and the waste stage. |
| Please indicate if you have information on <u>specific</u> emission values (SPERCs) for (groups of) |
| PFAS, based on measurements and / or model calculations. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| Todo characters left |
| |
| Do you have information that indicates that the information provided on the expected trend should be adjusted? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |

Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources.

| 1000 characters left |
|--|
| |
| Do you have information on risk management measures to minimize the use, human exposure and emissions to the environment for your application of PFAS? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| |
| Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |

V. Questions - Section C - Lubricants Questions in relation to alternatives (mainly for individual companies)

| Sub-Use | Non-PFAS alternatives |
|--|--|
| PTFE (micropowder) | graphite amorphous silica molybdenum disulphide boron nitride, other inorganics (e.g. layer building zinc phosphates) water-based phenolic-melamine gold lacquer' alternative (still in R&D phase) |
| PTFE-thickened silicone oil for specific applications | - polyurea |
| High-bearing aromatic thermosetting polyester (ATSP) coating | - graphene |

| What is the specific application/functionality of PFAS in your product(s)/processes? |
|---|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| |
| Are in your view the listed non-PFAS alternatives <u>technically</u> feasible in your |
| product(s)/processes? |
| Yes |
| ○ N= |
| ○ No |
| |
| |
| Please specify why. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| |
| Are in your view the listed non-PFAS alternatives economically feasible in your |
| product(s)/processes? |
| Yes |
| ○ N= |
| ○ No |
| |
| |
| Please specify why. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

| Do you have information on the alternatives' risk profile? |
|---|
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| |
| Please describe. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| Are there legal approval schemes for your product(s)/processes, which have to be taken into account in case PFAS alternatives will be used? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| |
| Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| |
| What is the average approval time? |
| |
| |

| 1000 characters left |
|--|
| |
| |
| Do you actively work on finding alternatives? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| |
| Disease and the |
| Please specify. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| |
| If alternatives have been identified as potentially suitable, which timescale do you foresee for a |
| complete transition to those? Please explain. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| |
| Do you have information on additional alternatives for any of the described applications that have not been disclosed in the attached information? |
| have not been disclosed in the attached information? |
| |
| |
| |
| |

V. Questions - Section D - Lubricants Questions in relation to impact of legislative measures (for companies and industry associations)

What is the economic impact (in euro) and social impact (e.g. jobs) on your business/company if the use of PFAS is prohibited?

|) In 3 years. |
|--|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 000 characters left |
| |
|) In 10 years. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 000 characters left |
| |
|) Please explain by providing your calculations. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 000 sharastara left |

1000 characters left

What is the economic impact (euro) on your business/company, if the following measures will become mandatory? Please make your (indicative) calculations transparent.

a) A maximum concentration of e.g. 0.1% (or less) PFAS is set in mixtures and/or articles.

| 1000 characters left |
|--|
| TOOU CHARACTERS IEIT |
| |
| b) Obligation to label your products visibly with "Contains PFAS". |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 4000 1 1 1 1 |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| |
| c) Obligation to report amount of PFAS in use and respective emissions. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| Todo Characters left |
| |
| |
| d) Specific waste management requirements with the obligation to collect, treat or recycle PFAS |
| containing waste separately. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| |
| e) In case you are using PFAS polymers: no PFAS processing aids are allowed during polymer production. |
| • |
| |

V. Questions - Section B - Construction products Questions in relation to the use (mainly for industry associations)

The following linked information presents the current picture: Report summary construction products july 2021.pdf

In the tables presented on this page and the following, '?' in the cells show that the authorities do not have any information available. Input to fill these gaps is highly appreciated.

| | Sub-Use | Tonnage (tonnes/PFAS) per year in the EEA | Expected trend (/-/0/+/++) ¹ | Emissions/year in EEA ² (tonnes/PFAS) |
|-----------------------|--|--|---|---|
| Polymeric PFAS | Formulation of articles and commercial construction mixtures | 7,390 | ++ (5 - 8% for | in soil, surface water and air: 330 in waste stage: 170 |
| | In-use stage (outdoor articles) | 3,270 | | in soil, surface water and air: 212 |
| | In-use stage (indoor applications) | 3,270 | PTFE, PVDF, EFTE until 2030) | in soil, surface water and air: 2 |
| | In-use stage (outdoor mixtures) | 164 | unui 2030) | in soil, surface water and air: 9 |
| | In-use stage (indoor mixtures) | 150 | | in soil, surface water and air: 0.1 |
| Non-polymeric PFAS | Formulation of articles and commercial construction mixtures | 10,900 | | in soil, surface water and air: 273 in waste stage: 163 |
| | Use of processing aids | 3,700 | + (1% for 2020 | in soil, surface water and air: 5 in waste stage: 3,695 |
| | In-use stage (outdoor articles) | 1,712 | -2050) | in soil, surface water and air: 110 |
| | In-use stage (indoor applications) | 1,712 | | in soil, surface water and air: 1 |
| | In-use stage (outdoor mixtures) | 1,420 | | in soil, surface water and air: 91 |

| ¹ = strong decrease, - = decrease, + = increase, ++ = strong increase, 0 = neutral |
|--|
| ² Emissions relate to mixture/article production and mixture/article use. They do not include PFAS production and only in some cases the waste stage of the articles. The emissions for PFAS production and the waste stage are also covered in a separate section. |
| Do you have information that indicates that the information provided on the tonnage should be adjusted? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| Do you have information that indicates that the information provided on the emissions should be adjusted? |
| ○ Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources. |
| |
| |
| |

1,502

In-use stage (indoor mixtures)

in soil, surface water

and air: 0.75

The environmental release category (ERC) is a key REACH use descriptor to define the release factors of a chemical substance in a specific use exposure scenario. It is used in various modelling tools to derive environmental exposure estimates. ERC default factors are used to estimate emissions of PFAS in three major life-cycle stages, namely the production stage including manufacture of substances, formulation of mixtures and production of articles, the 'in-use' stage, and the waste stage.

| Please indicate if you have information on <u>specific</u> emission values (SPERCs) for (groups of) PFAS, based on measurements and / or model calculations. |
|--|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| Do you have information that indicates that the information provided on the expected trend should be adjusted? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| Do you have information on risk management measures to minimize the use, human exposure and emissions to the environment for your application of PFAS? |
| Yes |

| |) | No |
|---|---|-----|
| / | | 110 |

| Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources. | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

V. Questions - Section C - Construction products Questions in relation to alternatives (mainly for individual companies)

| Sub-Use | Non-PFAS alternatives | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Thermal insultation applications | - polyisocyanurate - phenolic thermal product | | | |
| Processing aids in the production of construction products | ? (only confidential information) | | | |
| Architectural fabrics | cotton and other natural fibres polyamid (nylon) polyester fiberglass aramid (Kevlar, Twaron) carbon fibres | | | |
| Fluoropolymer tube lining | - polypropylene - silicone - PVC | | | |
| Paints and coatings | polyurethane polyester powder wax emulsions silicones/silanes/polysiloxanes hydrocarbon polymer technologies | | | |
| Coating additives | hydrocarbon and silicone-based surfactants short chain, polyether-modified siloxanes low molecular weight polyether-modified siloxanes siloxane multi-functional surfactants alkoxylates (silicone and solvent-free) | | | |
| Superhydrophobic coatings | polymeric matrix (the binder) added to hydrophobic nanoparticles (the filler) | | | |

| Rust protection systems, marine | |
|--|--|
| paints,resins, | - propylated napthalenes |
| printing inks and coatings in electrical | - propylated biphenyls |
| applications | |
| | |
| | |
| What is the specific application/functions | ality of PFAS in your product(s)/processes? |
| vinatio ino oposino application/ranotione | anty of 117.6 m your product(o//productor) |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| 1000 characters left | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Are in your view the listed non-PFAS alte | ernatives <u>technically</u> feasible in your |
| product(s)/processes? | |
| O 1/2 | |
| Yes | |
| ○ No | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Diagonaraificulas | |
| Please specify why. | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| 1000 characters left | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Are in your view the listed non-PFAS alte | ernatives <u>economically</u> feasible in your |
| product(s)/processes? | |
| | |
| Yes | |
| ○ No | |
| ○ No | |

sulfosuccinate

Wood primer and inks

- sulfosuccinates (e.g. sodium salt of di-(2-ethylhexyl)

| Please specify why. |
|---|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| |
| Do you have information on the alternatives' risk profile? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| O NO |
| |
| Please describe. |
| Please describe. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| 1000 Griandoters fort |
| |
| Are there legal approval schemes for your product(s)/processes, which have to be taken into account in case PFAS alternatives will be used? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| |
| Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources. |
| |
| |
| |
| |

| What is the average approval time? |
|--|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| Do you actively work on finding alternatives? |
| ○ Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| Please specify. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| If alternatives have been identified as potentially suitable, which timescale do you foresee for a complete transition to those? Please explain. |
| |
| |
| |
| |

Do you have information on additional alternatives for any of the described applications that have not been disclosed in the attached information?

| 1000 characters left |
|--|
| V. Questions - Section D - Construction products Questions in relation to impact of legislative measures (for companies and industry associations) |
| What is the economic impact (in euro) and social impact (e.g. jobs) on your business/company if the use of PFAS is prohibited? |
| a) In 3 years. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| b) In 10 years. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| c) Please explain by providing your calculations. |
| |
| |
| |
| |

| What is the economic impact (euro) on your business/company, if the following measures will become mandatory? Please make your (indicative) calculations transparent. |
|---|
| a) A maximum concentration of e.g. 0.1% (or less) PFAS is set in mixtures and/or articles. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 sharestore left |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| b) Obligation to label your products visibly with "Contains PFAS". |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| |
| c) Obligation to report amount of PFAS in use and respective emissions. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| |
| d) Specific waste management requirements with the obligation to collect, treat or recycle PFAS containing waste separately. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

| e) In case you are using PFAS polymers: no PFAS processing aids are allowed during poproduction. | olymer |
|--|--------|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

V. Questions - Section B - Medical devices Questions in relation to the use (mainly for industry associations)

The following linked information presents the current picture: Report summary medical devices july 2021.pdf

In the tables presented on this page and the following, '?' in the cells show that the authorities do not have any information available. Input to fill these gaps is highly appreciated.

| Sub-Use ¹ | Tonnage (tonnes/PFAS) per year in the EEA | Expected trend (/-/0/+/++) ² | Emissions/year in EEA ³ (tonnes/PFAS) |
|---|---|--|--|
| Anesthetics | 2 - 1,000 | + | ~ 2 - 1,000 |
| Contrast media | 2 - 100 | ? | ~ 2 - 100 |
| Propellants | 160 - 400 | ? | ~ 160 - 400 |
| MDI incl. F-gases | 24,000 - 43,000 | ? | ~ 4,200 |
| Medical devices incl. packaging (mainly polymers) | 3,700 - 14,000 | ? | 90 |

¹ Contrast media, propellants and F-gases are mentioned here as medical devices.

² -- = strong decrease, - = decrease, + = increase, ++ = strong increase, 0 = neutral

³ Emissions relate to mixture/article production and mixture/article use. They do not include PFAS production and the waste stage of the articles. These emissions are covered in a separate section.

| Do you have information that indicates that the information provided on the tonnage should be adjusted? |
|---|
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| Do you have information that indicates that the information provided on the emissions should be adjusted? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources. |
| |
| |
| |
| |

The environmental release category (ERC) is a key REACH use descriptor to define the release factors of a chemical substance in a specific use exposure scenario. It is used in various modelling tools to derive environmental exposure estimates. ERC default factors are used to estimate emissions of PFAS in three major life-cycle stages, namely the production stage including manufacture of substances, formulation of mixtures and production of articles, the 'in-use' stage, and the waste stage.

| Please indicate if you have information on <u>specific</u> emission values (SPERCs) for (groups of) |
|--|
| PFAS, based on measurements and / or model calculations. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| |
| Do you have information that indicates that the information provided on the expected trend should be adjusted? |
| ○ Yes |
| |
| ○ No |
| |
| |
| Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| |
| Do you have information on risk management measures to minimize the use, human exposure and emissions to the environment for your application of PFAS? |
| ○ Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| |
| |
| Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources. |
| |
| |
| |
| |

V. Questions - Section C - Medical devices Questions in relation to alternatives (mainly for individual companies)

| What is the specific application/functionality of PFAS in your product(s)/processes? |
|--|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| Are in your view non-PFAS alternatives <u>technically</u> feasible in your product(s)/processes? |
| ○ Yes |
| ○ No |
| Please specify why. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| Are in your view non-PFAS alternatives economically feasible in your product(s)/processes? |
| ○ Yes |
| ○ No |

Please specify why.

| 1000 characters left |
|---|
| |
| |
| Do you have information on the alternatives' risk profile? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| |
| Please describe. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| |
| Are there legal approval schemes for your product(s)/processes, which have to be taken into account in case PFAS alternatives will be used? |
| ○ Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| |
| Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources. |
| |
| |
| |
| |

| What is the average approval time? |
|--|
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| Do you actively work on finding alternatives? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| Please specify. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| If alternatives have been identified as potentially suitable, which timescale do you foresee for a complete transition to those? Please explain. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| Do you have information on alternatives for any of the described applications in the attached information? |
| |

| 1000 characters left |
|--|
| V. Questions - Section D - Medical devices Questions in relation to impact of legislative measures (for companies and industry associations) |
| What is the economic impact (in euro) and social impact (e.g. jobs) on your business/company if the use of PFAS is prohibited? |
| a) In 3 years. |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| b) In 10 years. |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| c) Please explain by providing your calculations. |
| |
| |
| |

| become mandatory? Please make your (indicative) calculations transparent. |
|--|
| a) A maximum concentration of e.g. 0.1% (or less) PFAS is set in mixtures and/or articles. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| b) Obligation to label your products visibly with "Contains PFAS". |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| c) Obligation to report amount of PFAS in use and respective emissions. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| d) Specific waste management requirements with the obligation to collect, treat or recycle PFAS containing waste separately. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |

What is the economic impact (euro) on your business/company, if the following measures will

| e) In case you are using PFAS polymers: no PFAS processing aids are allowed during polymers |
|--|
| production. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| |
| V. Questions - Section E - Medical devices |
| Specific questions for the use |
| |
| |
| If available, please provide information on PFAS emissions during medical device production. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| |
| If available, please provide information on market trends for contrast media, propellants, |
| F-gases and/or medical devices. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |

| If available, please provide information on fluorine-free alternatives for medical devices. | | | | | |
|---|----------|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 1000 characte | ers left | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

V. Questions - Section B - Medicinal Products Questions in relation to the use (mainly for industry associations)

The following linked information presents the current picture: Report summary medicinal products july 2021.pdf

In the tables presented on this page and the following, '?' in the cells show that the authorities do not have any information available. Input to fill these gaps is highly appreciated.

| Sub-Use | Tonnage (tonnes/PFAS) per year in the EEA | Expected trend (/-/0/+/++) ¹ | Emissions/year in EEA ² (tonnes/PFAS) |
|---|---|--|--|
| Medicines (human pharmaceuticals) | > 500 ³ | + | > 500 ³ |
| Medicines (veterinary pharmaceuticals) | ? | ? | ? |
| Pharmaceutical intermediates ³ | 8,200 (ECHA) | ? | ? |

¹ -- = strong decrease, - = decrease, + = increase, ++ = strong increase, 0 = neutral

| Do you have | information | that indicates | that the | information | provided | on the | tonnage | should be |
|-------------|-------------|----------------|----------|-------------|----------|--------|---------|-----------|
| adjusted? | | | | | | | | |

| \bigcirc | Yes |
|------------|-----|
| \bigcirc | Yes |

² Emissions relate to mixture/article production and mixture/article use. They do not include PFAS production and the waste stage of the articles. These emissions are covered in a separate section.

³ The whole molecule/API is counted in this calculation.

| ○ No |
|--|
| |
| Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources. |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| Do you have information that indicates that the information provided on the emissions should be adjusted? |
| ○ Yes |
| ○ No |
| Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| The environmental release category (ERC) is a key REACH use descriptor to define the release factors of a chemical substance in a specific use exposure scenario. It is used in various modelling tools to derive environmental exposure estimates. ERC default factors are used to estimate emissions of PFAS in three major life-cycle stages, namely the production stage including manufacture of substances, formulation of mixtures and production of articles, the fin-use' stage, and the waste stage. |
| Please indicate if you have information on <u>specific</u> emission values (SPERCs) for (groups of) PFAS, based on measurements and / or model calculations. |
| |

| 1000 characters left |
|--|
| |
| Do you have information that indicates that the information provided on the expected trend |
| should be adjusted? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| |
| Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| |
| Do you have information on risk management measures to minimize the use, human exposure and emissions to the environment for your application of PFAS? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| |
| Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources. |
| |
| |
| |
| |

V. Questions - Section C - Medicinal Products

Questions in relation to alternatives (mainly for individual companies)

| What is the specific application/functionality of PFAS in your product(s)/processes? |
|---|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| Are in your view non-PFAS alternatives <u>technically</u> feasible in your product(s)/processes? |
| ○ Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| Please specify why. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| Are in your view non-PFAS alternatives <u>economically</u> feasible in your product(s)/processes? |
| ○ Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| Please specify why. |
| |
| |
| |
| |

| Do you have information on the alternatives' risk profile? |
|---|
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| |
| Please describe. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| Are there legal approval schemes for your product(s)/processes, which have to be taken into account in case PFAS alternatives will be used? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| |
| Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| |
| What is the average approval time? |
| |
| |

| 1000 characters left |
|--|
| |
| |
| Do you actively work on finding alternatives? |
| ○ Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| |
| |
| Please specify. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| |
| If alternatives have been identified as potentially suitable, which timescale do you foresee for a |
| complete transition to those? Please explain. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| |
| Do you have information on alternatives for any of the described applications in the attached |
| information? |
| |
| |
| |
| |

V. Questions - Section D - Medicinal Products Questions in relation to impact of legislative measures (for companies and industry associations)

What is the economic impact (in euro) and social impact (e.g. jobs) on your business/company if the use of PFAS is prohibited?

| ı) In 3 years. | |
|---|--|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| 000 characters left | |
| 000 Characters left | |
| | |
| | |
| o) In 10 years. | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| 000 de considerar le fé | |
| 000 characters left | |
| | |
| | |
| e) Please explain by providing your calculations. | |
| , | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| 000 characters left | |

What is the economic impact (euro) on your business/company, if the following measures will become mandatory? Please make your (indicative) calculations transparent.

a) A maximum concentration of e.g. 0.1% (or less) PFAS is set in mixtures and/or articles.

| 1000 characters left |
|--|
| TOOU CHaracters left |
| |
| b) Obligation to label your products visibly with "Contains PFAS". |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| |
| c) Obligation to report amount of PFAS in use and respective emissions. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| 1000 Characters left |
| |
| |
| d) Specific waste management requirements with the obligation to collect, treat or recycle PFAS containing waste separately. |
| containing waste separately. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| |
| e) In case you are using PFAS polymers: no PFAS processing aids are allowed during polymer production |
| |
| |

| 1000 characters left | |
|--|-------|
| V. Questions - Section E - Medicinal Products Specific questions for the use | |
| If available, please provide information that allows a quantitative estimation of tonnages o PFAS veterinary medicines and a trend in these tonnages. | of |
| 1000 characters left | |
| f available, please provide information on alternatives for (main) PFAS veterinary medicir | nes. |
| 000 characters left | |
| f available, please provide information on the EEA dependency on pharmaceutical impor | t. |
| 1000 characters left | |
| f available, please provide information on PFAS emissions during pharmaceutical produc | tion. |

| The following linked informat manufacturing of metal produ In the tables presented on th | tion presents the current picture ducts july 2021.pdf his page and the following, '?' in ole. Input to fill these gaps is higher than the second of the seco | e: Report summ the cells show ghly appreciated Expected trend | ary metal plating and that the authorities do not l. Emissions/year in EEA ² |
|--|--|---|--|
| manufacturing of metal produ | nis page and the following, '?' in ole. Input to fill these gaps is high | the cells show ghly appreciated Expected trend | that the authorities do not l. Emissions/year in EEA ² |
| manufacturing of metal produ | nis page and the following, '?' in ole. Input to fill these gaps is high | the cells show ghly appreciated Expected trend | that the authorities do not l. Emissions/year in EEA ² |
| In the tables presented on th have any information availab | nis page and the following, '?' in ple. Input to fill these gaps is high | et appreciated Expected trend | l. Emissions/year in EEA ² |
| Sub-Use | | trend | <u> </u> |
| | | (/-/0/+/++) ¹ | (tonnes/PFAS) |
| Metal plating | 2 - 57 (6:2 FTS in chrome plating) | - | 11.4 (6:2 FTS in chrome plating) |
| Manufacture of metal products | 960 (fluoropolymers) | 0 | ? |
| ¹ = strong decrease, - = de | ecrease, + = increase, ++ = stro | ong increase, 0 | = neutral |
| production and the waste | e/article production and mixture e emissions are covered in a se | | ey do not include PFAS |
| Do you have information tl adjusted? | hat indicates that the informa | ation provided | on the tonnage should be |
| ○ Yes | | | |

| Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources. |
|--|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 4000 alternatives Left |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| Do you have information that indicates that the information provided on the emissions should be adjusted? |
| |
| |
| ○ No |
| |
| |
| Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| |
| The environmental release category (ERC) is a key REACH use descriptor to define the release factors of a chemical substance in a specific use exposure scenario. It is used in various modelling tools to derive environmental exposure estimates. ERC default factors are used to estimate emissions of PFAS in three major life-cycle stages, namely the production stage including manufacture of substances, formulation of mixtures and production of articles, the 'in-use' stage, and the waste stage. |
| Please indicate if you have information on <u>specific</u> emission values (SPERCs) for (groups of) PFAS, based on measurements and / or model calculations. |
| |
| |
| |
| |

| Do you have information that indicates that the information provided on the expected trend should be adjusted? |
|--|
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| Do you have information on risk management measures to minimize the use, human exposure and emissions to the environment for your application of PFAS? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |

V. Questions - Section C - Metal plating & manufacturing of metal products

Questions in relation to alternatives (mainly for individual companies)

| Sub-Use | Non-PFAS alternatives |
|--|---|
| Metal plating (here specifically chrome plating) | - alkane sulfonates - amines, C12-C14 alkyl, ethoxylated - oleo amine ethoxylates (e.g. mixtures with (Z)-octadec-9-enylamine, ethoxylated) - 3-[dodecyl(dimethyl) ammonio]propan-1-sulfonate (mixture with 3-hydroxypropane-1-sulfonic acid and amines, coco alkyldimethyl, N-oxides) - paraffin oils, sulfochlorinated, saponified - isodecanol, ethoxylated - chromium (III) plating - add-on air pollution control devices (e.g. packed bed scrubbers) - thermal spraying (e.g. high velocity oxygen fuel process) - physical vapour deposition - case hardening process (e.g. plasma nitriding) - laser metal deposition - anhydrous ionic liquids based on chromium (III)salts - closed coating reactors - nickel-based coatings - sulfonation of plastics with sulfur trioxide in the gas phase - acidic permanganate solutions, nitric acid and trichloroacetic acid mixtures |
| Manufacture of metal products | ? |

| What is the specific application/functionality of PFAS in your product(s)/processes? | | |
|--|---|--|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| 1000 characters left | Т | |

| Are in your view the listed non-PFAS alternatives <u>technically</u> feasible in your product(s)/processes? |
|---|
| Yes |
| ○ No |

| Please specify why. |
|--|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| Are in your view the listed non-PFAS alternatives <u>economically</u> feasible in your product(s)/processes? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| |
| Please specify why. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| |
| Do you have information on the alternatives' risk profile? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| |
| Please describe. |
| |
| |
| |
| |

| Are there legal approval schemes for your product(s)/processes, which have to be taken into account in case PFAS alternatives will be used? |
|---|
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| |
| Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| |
| What is the average approval time? |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| |
| Do you actively work on finding alternatives? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| |
| Please specify. |
| |
| |
| |

V. Questions - Section D - Metal plating & manufacturing of metal products

Questions in relation to impact of legislative measures (for companies and industry associations)

| What is the economic impact (in euro) and social impact (e.g. jobs) on your business/company if the use of PFAS is prohibited? |
|---|
| a) In 3 years. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| b) In 10 years. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| c) Please explain by providing your calculations. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| What is the economic impact (euro) on your business/company, if the following measures will become mandatory? Please make your (indicative) calculations transparent. |
| a) A maximum concentration of e.g. 0.1% (or less) PFAS is set in mixtures and/or articles. |
| |
| |
| |

| b) Obligation to label your products visibly with "Contains PFAS". |
|---|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| c) Obligation to report amount of PFAS in use and respective emissions. |
| |
| |
| |
| 4000 share stars left |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| d) Specific waste management requirements with the obligation to collect, treat or recycle PFA containing waste separately. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| |
| e) In case you are using PFAS polymers: no PFAS processing aids are allowed during polymer production |
| |
| |
| |
| |

V. Questions - Section E - Metal plating & manufacturing of metal products

Specific questions for the use

| Do you use other PFAS than so-called C6 fluorinated substances (e.g. 6:2 fluorotelomer sulfonate) for metal plating processes? If so, please name them. |
|---|
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| Which PFAS (incl. tonnage and emissions) do you use for the manufacture of metal products? |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| Please describe the function of the use of PFAS in the manufacture of metal products and the impact (functional losses, economic impacts) when a use of PFAS is no longer possible. |
| |
| |
| 4000 all and store left |

1000 characters left

V. Questions - Section B - PFAS production (manufacturing)

Questions in relation to the use (mainly for industry associations)

In the tables presented on this page and the following, '?' in the cells show that the authorities do not have any information available. Input to fill these gaps is highly appreciated.

| Sub-Use | Tonnage (tonnes/PFAS) per year in the EEA | Expected trend (/-/0/+/++) ¹ | Emissions/year in EEA ² (tonnes/PFAS) |
|----------------|--|--|---|
| Fluoropolymers | Produced: 49,458 - 101,763 Imported: 36,148 (Eurostat) Exported: 28,718 (Eurostat) | + (stakeholder) | in air: 10 - 20 in water: 3 - 6 |
| F-gas | Produced: 13,600 - 52,800 Imported: 84,284 (Literature) Exported: 10,371 (Eurostat) | 0 (stakeholder) | in air: 280 - 1,086 in water: 0.6 - 2.3 |
| Remaining PFAS | Produced: 53,902 - 118,051 Imported: 103,586 (Eurostat) Exported: 131,866 (Eurostat) | + (stakeholder) | in air: 11 - 24 in water: 3 - 7 |

¹ -- = strong decrease, - = decrease, + = increase, ++ = strong increase, 0 = neutral

waste stage of the articles. These emissions are covered in the other sections of this survey.

| Do you have information that indicates that the information provided on the tonnage should be adjusted? |
|---|
| ○ Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 4000 1 4 1 6 |

1000 characters left

Do you have information that indicates that the information provided on the emissions should be adjusted?

 $^{^2}$ Emissions only relate to PFAS production. They do not include mixture/article production, mixture/article use and the

| Yes |
|--|
| ○ No |
| |
| Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| The environmental release category (ERC) is a key REACH use descriptor to define the release factors of a chemical substance in a specific use exposure scenario. It is used in various modelling tools to derive environmental exposure estimates. ERC default factors are used to estimate emissions of PFAS in three major life-cycle stages, namely the production stage including manufacture of substances, formulation of mixtures and production of articles, the 'in-use' stage, and the waste stage. |
| Please indicate if you have information on <u>specific</u> emission values (SPERCs) for (groups of) PFAS, based on measurements and / or model calculations. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| Do you have information that indicates that the information provided on the expected trend should be adjusted? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources. |
| |

| 1000 characters left |
|---|
| |
| Do you have information on risk management measures to minimize the use, human exposur and emissions to the environment? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| V. Questions - Section C - PFAS production (manufacturing) Questions in relation to alternatives (mainly for individual companies) |
| Do you have the possibility to produce fluorinated polymers without fluorinated processing aid |
| ○ Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| Please explain: |
| |
| |
| |
| |

V. Questions - Section D - PFAS production (manufacturing) Questions in relation to impact of legislative measures (for companies and industry associations)

What is the economic impact (in euro) and social impact (e.g. jobs) on your business/company if the use of PFAS is prohibited?

a) In 3 years.

| 1000 characters left | |
|---|--|
| | |
| | |
| h) In 40 years | |
| b) In 10 years. | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| 1000 characters left | |
| | |
| | |
| a) Places explain by providing your calculations | |
| c) Please explain by providing your calculations. | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

1000 characters left

What is the economic impact (euro) on your business/company, if the following measures will become mandatory? Please make your (indicative) calculations transparent.

| a) A maximum concentration of e.g. 0.1% (or less) PFAS is set in mixtures and/or articles. |
|---|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| |
| b) Obligation to label your products visibly with "Contains PFAS". |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| 1000 Gharacters left |
| |
| |
| c) Obligation to report amount of PFAS in use and respective emissions. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| |
| |
| d) Specific waste management requirements with the obligation to collect, treat or recycle PFAS |
| containing waste separately. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |

TOO SHARASIONS TON

e) In case you are using PFAS polymers: no PFAS processing aids are allowed during polymer production.

| 1000 characters left |
|---|
| V. Questions - Section E - PFAS production (manufacturing) Specific questions for the use |
| If available, please provide data on the amount of fluorinated additives used in fluoropolymer production (kg/ton). |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| If available, please provide data on the tonnages used (yearly EEA) and applications of PTFE and PVDF micropowders. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| If available, please provide information on the production of PFAS alternatives. |
| |
| |
| |

V. Questions - Section B - Ski treatment Questions in relation to the use (mainly for industry associations)

The following linked information presents the current picture: Report summary ski treatment july 2021.pdf

Additionally, the Norwegian Environment Agency also published a short version of the report. This version can be accessed via the following link: <u>PFAS in the treatment of skis - Use, Emissions and Alternatives</u>

In the tables presented on this page and the following, '?' in the cells show that the authorities do not have any information available. Input to fill these gaps is highly appreciated.

| Sub-Use | Tonnage (tonnes/PFAS) per year in the EEA | Expected trend (/-/0/+/++) ¹ | Emissions/year in EEA ² (tonnes/PFAS) |
|---------|---|--|---|
| Ski wax | 1.64 | - | in soil: 0.452 in surface water: 0.452 in air: 0.041 in waste stage: 0.695 |

¹ -- = strong decrease, - = decrease, + = increase, ++ = strong increase, 0 = neutral

No

Do you have information that indicates that the information provided on the tonnage should be adjusted?

Yes

| Please spe | ecify and/or re | efer to literatu | ıre/public so | urces. | | |
|------------|-----------------|------------------|---------------|--------|--|--|
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

² Emissions relate to mixture/article production and mixture/article use. They do not include PFAS production. The emissions for PFAS production and the waste stage are also covered in a separate section.

| 1000 characters left |
|--|
| Do you have information that indicates that the information provided on the emissions should be adjusted? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| The environmental release category (ERC) is a key REACH use descriptor to define the release factors of a chemical substance in a specific use exposure scenario. It is used in various modelling tools to derive environmental exposure estimates. ERC default factors are used to estimate emissions of PFAS in three major life-cycle stages, namely the production stage including manufacture of substances, formulation of mixtures and production of articles, the 'in-use' stage, and the waste stage. |
| Please indicate if you have information on <u>specific</u> emission values (SPERCs) for (groups of) PFAS, based on measurements and / or model calculations. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |

Do you have information that indicates that the information provided on the expected trend should be adjusted?

| ○ No |
|--|
| |
| |
| Diagon anguifu and/or refer to literature/public agurees |
| Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| |
| Do you have information on risk management measures to minimize the use, human exposure and emissions to the environment for your application of PFAS? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| |
| |
| Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |

V. Questions - Section C - Ski treatment Questions in relation to alternatives (mainly for individual companies)

| Sub-Use | | Non-PFAS alternatives | |
|---------|---------------------|---|--|
| Ski wax | Fluorine-free waxes | hydrocarbon and paraffin waxes siloxanes (but they are subject to environmental concerns) nanoparticle-based waxes are being developed. | |

| | Alterations to the ski itself | - heating the base to obtain a better glide - controlling the vibrations of the ski |
|----------|---|---|
| | | |
| What is | the specific application/functi | onality of PFAS in your product(s)/processes? |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| 1000 cha | racters left | |
| | | |
| | our view the listed non-PFAS s)/processes? | alternatives technically feasible in your |
| O Yes | | |
| O No | | |
| | | |
| Please s | specify why. | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| 1000 cha | racters left | |
| | | |
| | our view the listed non-PFAS s)/processes? | alternatives economically feasible in your |
| O Yes | | |
| O No | | |
| | | |

- a modified microstructure of the ski base

Alterations to the ski itself

Please specify why.

- improved performance of the polyethylene of the ski

| 1000 characters left |
|---|
| |
| |
| Do you have information on the alternatives' risk profile? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| |
| Please describe. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| |
| Are there legal approval schemes for your product(s)/processes, which have to be taken into account in case PFAS alternatives will be used? |
| ○ Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| |
| Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources. |
| |
| |
| |
| |

| What is the average approval time? |
|--|
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| Do you actively work on finding alternatives? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| Please specify. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| If alternatives have been identified as potentially suitable, which timescale do you foresee for a complete transition to those? Please explain. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| Do you have information on additional alternatives for any of the described applications that have not been disclosed in the attached information? |
| |

| 1000 characters left |
|--|
| V. Questions - Section D - Ski treatment Questions in relation to impact of legislative measures (for companies and industry associations) |
| What is the economic impact (in euro) and social impact (e.g. jobs) on your business/company if the use of PFAS is prohibited? |
| a) In 3 years. |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| b) In 10 years. |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| c) Please explain by providing your calculations. |
| |
| |
| |

| become mandatory? Please make your (indicative) calculations transparent. |
|--|
| a) A maximum concentration of e.g. 0.1% (or less) PFAS is set in mixtures and/or articles. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| b) Obligation to label your products visibly with "Contains PFAS". |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| c) Obligation to report amount of PFAS in use and respective emissions. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| d) Specific waste management requirements with the obligation to collect, treat or recycle PFAS containing waste separately. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |

What is the economic impact (euro) on your business/company, if the following measures will

| | Tonnage (tonnes/PFAS) | Expected trend | Emissions/year in EEA ² | | |
|--|--|-----------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|
| In the tables presented of | mation presents the current pi in this page and the following, ailable. Input to fill these gaps i | '?' in the cells show | that the authorities do not | | |
| V. Questions - Section B - TULAC (textiles, upholstery, leather, apparel and carpets) Questions in relation to the use (mainly for industry associations) | | | | | |
| 1000 characters left | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| information for mixture | I information on substance I s used for cleaning and trea provide the information. Wh | tment of skins on | | | |
| V. Questions - Se Specific question | ection E - Ski treatmens for the use | nt | | | |
| 1000 characters left | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Low/High

Low/High

| Total | 41,184/142,692 | ++ | 4,933/18,103 |
|----------------------|----------------|----|--------------|
| Other | 15,041/20,496 | ++ | ? |
| Leather | ? | ++ | ? |
| Medical applications | 331/1,095 | ++ | ? |
| Technical textiles | 6,201/26,541 | ++ | ? |
| Professional apparel | 5,220/20,044 | ++ | ? |
| Consumer apparel | 8,161/47,148 | ++ | ? |
| Home textiles | 6,230/27,368 | ++ | ? |

¹ -- = strong decrease, - = decrease, + = increase, ++ = strong increase, 0 = neutral

| stage of the articles. These emissions are covered in a separate section. |
|---|
| Do you have information that indicates that the information provided on the tonnage should be adjusted? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| Do you have information that indicates that the information provided on the emissions should be adjusted? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources. |
| |
| |

² Emissions relate to mixture/article production and mixture/article use. They do not include PFAS production and the waste

| 1000 characters left |
|--|
| 1000 Characters left |
| |
| The environmental release category (ERC) is a key REACH use descriptor to define the release |
| factors of a chemical substance in a specific use exposure scenario. It is used in various |
| modelling tools to derive environmental exposure estimates. ERC default factors are used to |
| estimate emissions of PFAS in three major life-cycle stages, namely the production stage |
| including manufacture of substances, formulation of mixtures and production of articles, the |
| 'in-use' stage, and the waste stage. |
| Please indicate if you have information on specific emission values (SPERCs) for (groups of) |
| PFAS, based on measurements and / or model calculations. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| Todo Giardoteis leit |
| |
| Do you have information that indicates that the information provided on the expected trend |
| should be adjusted? |
| Yes |
| |
| ○ No |
| |
| |
| Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |

Do you have information on risk management measures to minimize the use, human exposure

| and emissions to the environment for your application of PFAS? |
|--|
| ○ Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| |
| Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 sharestone left |

V. Questions - Section C - TULAC (textiles, upholstery, leather, apparel and carpets)

Questions in relation to alternatives (mainly for individual companies)

| | Sub-Use | Non-PFAS alternatives | |
|----------------------|---|---|--|
| Home textiles | Carpets and rugs Curtains | non-ionic polymer ester compounds hydrocarbon compounds, organic solvent and water no specific substances found, see general textil (FF) | |
| | Upholstery (e.g. fabrics for soft-furnishings, including large furniture items) | - hydrotreated heavy naphtha (petroleum) - non-ionic polymer, ester compound, hydrocarbon compound, organic solvent and water | |
| Consumer apparel | Outdoor wear | non-ionic polymer ester compounds hydrocarbon compounds organic solvent and water mixture of linear and branched hydrocarbons | |
| | Indoor wear | ? | |
| | Sports wear | ? | |
| | Footwear | ? | |
| Professional apparel | Professional sports wear and footwear | ? | |

| Technical textiles | PPE for industrial applications e.g. for chemical workers, fire-fighters, O&G workers, law enforcement and military forces Outdoor technical textiles e.g. canvas, awnings, tarps, tents, bags, sails, rope, umbrellas Medical applications "non-woven", e.g. surgical drapes, gowns, curtains High performance membranes e.g. automotive and medical | ? |
|---|---|---|
| Textile in general (multiple sub-category uses) | | - paraffin - non-ionic polymer - ester compounds - alcohols, C12-16, ethoxylated (>5-15 EO) - hydrocarbon polymer dispersion - aqueous preparation of polymer waxes - paraffin oils and a fat modified melamine resin - dispersion of paraffin wax and acrylic copolymer - paraffin oils and a fat modified melamine resin and blocked polyisocyanates - dispersion of paraffin oils and a fat modified melamine resin - naphtha (petroleum), hydrotreated heavy, - modified wax dispersion - (bee-) wax - carnauba wax - acrylate copolymer - dispersion of fat-modified chemicals and paraffin - plant seed oil, bio based product - acrylic polymer and paraffin dispersion - acrylic polymer and silicone reactive dispersion - acrylic polymer, reactive silicone and paraffin dispersion - functionalised polymers/waxes, cationic - emulsifier-free paraffin wax - emulsion containing aluminum - acrylic polymer and dispersion of fatty derivatives - polyethylene oxide mono-C12-16-alkyl ether - aminofunctional PDMS - sodium methylsiliconate water - potassium methylsiliconate - isobutyltrimethoxy silane - octylsilane - hexyltriethoxysilane - blend of n-octyltriethoxysilane and reactive - silicone, octyltrimethoxysilane-based - methoxy terminated silsesquixanes |

| Other | Home fabric treatments (sprays) | - alkyl polysiloxane solution |
|---------|---------------------------------|--|
| Leather | Leather in general | solvent-dilutable silicone solutionwater-based silicone emulsion |
| | | - hybrid (silicone/hydrocarbon) |
| | | plasma based nano-coating, molecularly attached hydrophobic 'whiskers' attached to individual fibres, uses a hydrocarbon polymer |
| | | anionic dispersion of an aliphatic polycarbonate urethane |
| | | polyurethane, water-based, solvent free |
| | | - anionic dispersion of a matt polyether |
| | | - polyurethane emulsion, water-based |
| | | - anionic dispersion of an aliphatic polyether urethane |
| | | - aqueous, solvent free dendrimers |
| | | - dodecamethyl pentasiloxane (PDMS) |
| | | - acrylic polymer and silicone reactive dispersion |
| | | - siloxane dispersion with modified polyamide, |
| | | - water-based silicone emulsion - solvent-dilutable silicone solution |
| | | aminofunctional polysiloxaneswater-based silicone emulsion |
| | | carbamide (urea) and melamine resins |
| | | pyridine chloride, sometimes together with |
| | | - mixtures of silicones and stearamidomethyl |
| | | - polysiloxane |
| | | - cationic polysiloxane and polyester |

| What is the specific application/functionality of PFAS in your product(s)/processes? |
|--|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

| Are in your view the listed non-PFAS alternatives technically feasible in you |
|---|
| product(s)/processes? |
| Ves |

O No

| Please specify why. |
|--|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| Are in your view the listed non-PFAS alternatives <u>economically</u> feasible in your product(s)/processes? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| |
| Please specify why. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| |
| Do you have information on the alternatives' risk profile? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| |
| Please describe. |
| |
| |
| |
| |

| Are there legal approval schemes for your product(s)/processes, which have to be taken into account in case PFAS alternatives will be used? |
|---|
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| |
| Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| |
| What is the average approval time? |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| |
| Do you actively work on finding alternatives? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| |
| Please specify. |
| |
| |
| |

| 1000 characters left |
|--|
| If alternatives have been identified as potentially suitable, which timescale do you foresee for a complete transition to those? Please explain. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| Do you have information on additional alternatives for any of the described applications that have not been disclosed in the attached information? |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |

V. Questions - Section D - TULAC (textiles, upholstery, leather, apparel and carpets)

Questions in relation to impact of legislative measures (for companies and industry associations)

| What is the economic impact (in euro) and social impact (e.g. jobs) on your business/company if the use of PFAS is prohibited? |
|---|
| a) In 3 years. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| b) In 10 years. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| c) Please explain by providing your calculations. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| What is the economic impact (euro) on your business/company, if the following measures will become mandatory? Please make your (indicative) calculations transparent. |
| a) A maximum concentration of e.g. 0.1% (or less) PFAS is set in mixtures and/or articles. |
| |
| |
| |

| b) Obligation to label your products visibly with "Contains PFAS". |
|---|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
|) OUT: 11: 11: 11: 11: 11: 11: 11: 11: 11: 1 |
| c) Obligation to report amount of PFAS in use and respective emissions. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| d) Specific waste management requirements with the obligation to collect, treat or recycle PFA containing waste separately. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| e) In case you are using PFAS polymers: no PFAS processing aids are allowed during polymer |
| production. |
| |
| |
| |
| |

V. Questions - Section E - TULAC (textiles, upholstery, leather, apparel and carpets)

Specific questions for the use

| The calculations in the summary report are based on data from the previous Call for Evidence. Based on your expertise/knowledge, are also imported TULAC (textiles, upholstery, leather, apparel, carpets) articles covered sufficiently? |
|---|
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| |
| If not, please provide any data you might have on this. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| Do you see any uses and / or functions of PFAS in TULAC articles that are not reported in the summary report? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| |
| What is the specific application of PFAS in your product(-s)/process(-es)? |
| What is the functionality of PFAS in this specific application? |
| What quantities of PFAS do you use in this specific application? |
| What are the life-cycle emissions of PFAS from this specific application? |

| Do you have any further information on specific alternatives other than those described in the report? |
|--|
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| |

What is the specific application of that alternative in your product(-s)/process(-es)?

What is the functionality of that alternative in this specific application?

What quantities of that alternative do you use in this specific application?

What are the life-cycle emissions of that alternative from this specific application?

V. Questions - Section B - Petroleum & mining Questions in relation to the use (mainly for industry associations)

The following linked information presents the current picture: Report summary petroleum and mining july 2021.pdf

Additionally, the Norwegian Environment Agency also published a short version of the report. This version can be accessed via the following link: PFAS in mining and petroleum industry – use, emissions and alternatives

In the tables presented on this page and the following, '?' in the cells show that the authorities do not have any information available. Input to fill these gaps is highly appreciated.

| Sub-Use | Quantity of product used (t) | Tonnage (tonnes/PFAS) per year in the EEA | Expected trend (/-/0/+/++) ¹ | Emissions/year in EEA ² (tonnes/PFAS) |
|----------------------|------------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Water and gas traces | 1 | 1 | 03 | in soil: 0 - 0.005 in water: 0.020 - 0.025 in marine water: 0 - 0.110 in air: 0.025 - 0.070 in waste stage: 0.020 - 0.145 |

| Drilling/Production chemicals | 170 | 3 - 8 | 03 | in soil: 0 - 0.045 in water: 0.070 - 0.210 in marine water: 0.020 - 0.760 in air: 0.085 - 0.635 in waste stage: 0.015 - 0.230 |
|--|-----------------|---------------------------------|----------------|--|
| Fluoropolymers (all) Low scenario ⁴ | 3,500 - 7,500.5 | 0.004 - 0.008 monomeric PFAS | 0 ³ | in soil: < 0.001 in water: < 0.001 in marine water: < 0.001 in air: 0.001 - 0.002 in waste stage: 0.001 - 0.003 |
| Fluoropolymers (all) High scenario ⁵ | 3,500 - 7,500.5 | 0,9 - 1,9 monomeric PFAS | 0 ³ | in soil: 0 .020 - 0.045 in water: 0.003 - 0.006 in marine water: 0.020 - 0.040 in air: 0.270 - 0.580 in waste stage: 0.310 - 0.670 |

¹ -- = strong decrease, - = decrease, + = increase, ++ = strong increase, 0 = neutral

Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources.

| ^o 0-2000 ppm monomeric PFAS in fluoropolymers (Okopol 2014 and used in PFOA restriction). |
|---|
| Do you have information that indicates that the information provided on the tonnage should be adjusted? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| |

² Emissions relate to mixture/article production and mixture/article use. They do not include PFAS production.

³ Conservative annual growth of 1%.

⁴ 1 ppm monomeric PFAS in fluoropolymers (Lohmann et al., 2020).

| 1000 characters left |
|--|
| Do you have information that indicates that the information provided on the emissions should be adjusted? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| Places energify and/or refer to literature/public sources |
| Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| The environmental release category (ERC) is a key REACH use descriptor to define the release factors of a chemical substance in a specific use exposure scenario. It is used in various modelling tools to derive environmental exposure estimates. ERC default factors are used to estimate emissions of PFAS in three major life-cycle stages, namely the production stage including manufacture of substances, formulation of mixtures and production of articles, the 'in-use' stage, and the waste stage. |
| Please indicate if you have information onspecific emission values (SPERCs) for (groups of) PFAS, based on measurements and / or model calculations. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |

Do you have information that indicates that the information provided on the expected trend should be adjusted?

| ○ No |
|--|
| |
| |
| Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources. |
| Thease speedly analytic refer to interactive/public sources. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| |
| Do you have information on risk management measures to minimize the use, human exposure and emissions to the environment for your application of PFAS? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| |
| Diagon anguify and/or refer to literature/nublic acurage |
| Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |

V. Questions - Section C - Petroleum & mining Questions in relation to alternatives (mainly for individual companies)

| Sub-Use | Non-PFAS alternatives |
|-----------------------|--|
| Water and gas tracers | - radioactive tracers - noble gas isotopic tracer |
| | - xenon - radiolabelled compounds |

| Drilling and production (antifoaming) | polydimethylsiloxane (PMDS) oils ethyl siloxanes polypropylene glycol naphthalene/1,2,4-trimethylbenzene based products dipropylene glycol monomethyl ether 2,6-dimethylheptan-4-one. | |
|---|---|--|
| Fluoropolymers | steel other metal alloys non-metal materials (ceramic or epoxy based) cross-linked polyethylene (XL PE) polyamides such as ethylene propylene diene (EPDM) hydrogenated nitrile Rubber (HNBR) polyether ether ketone (PEEK) | |
| What is the specific application/functionality of PFAS in your product(s)/processes? | | |
| | | |
| 1000 characters left | | |
| Are in your view the listed non-PFAS alternatives <u>technically</u> feasible in your product(s)/processes? | | |
| Yes | | |
| ○ No | | |
| | | |
| Please specify why. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

Are in your view the listed non-PFAS alternatives <u>economically</u> feasible in your product(s)/processes?

| Yes |
|---|
| ○ No |
| |
| |
| Please specify why. |
| . reads speenly may. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| |
| Do you have information on the alternatives' risk profile? |
| Yes |
| |
| ○ No |
| |
| |
| Please describe. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| |
| Are there legal approval schemes for your product(s)/processes, which have to be taken into account in case PFAS alternatives will be used? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |

Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources.

| 1000 characters left |
|--|
| Todo characters left |
| |
| |
| What is the average approval time? |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| TOOU CHARACTERS TERE |
| |
| |
| Do you actively work on finding alternatives? |
| ○ Yes |
| |
| ○ No |
| |
| |
| Please specify. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| |
| If alternatives have been identified as potentially suitable, which timescale do you foresee for a |
| complete transition to those? Please explain. |
| · · · |
| |
| |
| |
| |

| 1000 characters left | |
|---|--|
| V. Questions - Section D - Petroleum & mining | |
| Questions in relation to impact of legislative measures | |
| (for companies and industry associations) | |
| What is the economic impact (in euro) and social impact (e.g. jobs) on your business/company in | |
| the use of PFAS is prohibited? | |
| a) In 3 years. | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| 1000 characters left | |
| | |
| b) In 10 years. | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| 1000 characters left | |
| | |
| | |
| c) Please explain by providing your calculations. | |

| 1000 characters left |
|---|
| What is the economic impact (euro) on your business/company, if the following measures will become mandatory? Please make your (indicative) calculations transparent. |
| a) A maximum concentration of e.g. 0.1% (or less) PFAS is set in mixtures and/or articles. |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| b) Obligation to label your products visibly with "Contains PFAS". |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| c) Obligation to report amount of PFAS in use and respective emissions. |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| d) Specific waste management requirements with the obligation to collect, treat or recycle PFAS containing waste separately. |
| |

| 1000 characters left |
|--|
| |
| |
| e) In case you are using PFAS polymers: no PFAS processing aids are allowed during polymer |
| production. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 4000 1 4 1 5 |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| |
| V. Questions - Section E - Petroleum & mining |
| Specific questions for the use |
| |
| |
| |
| Please provide additional market data for PFAS-free alternatives in antifoaming agents and |
| Please provide additional market data for PFAS-free alternatives in antifoaming agents and tracers used by the petroleum sector. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| tracers used by the petroleum sector. |
| |
| tracers used by the petroleum sector. |
| tracers used by the petroleum sector. |
| tracers used by the petroleum sector. |
| tracers used by the petroleum sector. 1000 characters left |
| tracers used by the petroleum sector. 1000 characters left |
| tracers used by the petroleum sector. 1000 characters left |
| tracers used by the petroleum sector. 1000 characters left |

| Please provide additional information on the use of PFAS within refinery processes and mining (substance ID and market information), including the use of mist suppressants in hydrometallurgy. |
|--|
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| Please provide additional information on the use of PFAS in enhanced oil/ gas recovery stimulation products and in containment chemicals in the petroleum sector. |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| Please provide additional information on the use of fluoropolymers in the petroleum sector and mining, including tonnages, price, market information. For example, information related to the use in liners, seals and tubing to handle mining materials at high temperatures. |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |

V. Questions - Section B - F-gas uses Questions in relation to the use (mainly for industry associations)

The following linked information presents the current picture: Report summary F gas uses july 2021.pdf

Additionally, the Norwegian Environment Agency also published a short version of the report. This version can be accessed via the following link: Application of Fluorinated Gases (F-Gases) in the

European Economic Area

In the tables presented on this page and the following, '?' in the cells show that the authorities do not have any information available. Input to fill these gaps is highly appreciated.

| Sub-Use | Tonnage (tonnes/PFAS) per year in the EEA | Expected trend (/-/0/+/++) ¹ | Emissions/year in EEA ² (tonnes/PFAS) ³ all emissions to air for F-gases |
|--|---|---|--|
| Domestic refrigeration | 122 | 0 | 17 |
| Commercial refrigeration | 7,915 | + | 9,547 |
| Industrial refrigeration | 2,360 | - | 3,680 |
| Transport refrigeration | 1,010 | 0 | 1,341 |
| Mobile air conditioning | 5,221 | ++ | 11,726 |
| Stationary air conditioning and heat pumps | 7,465 | ++ | 7,458 |
| Foam blowing agent (closed cell) | 4,940 | + | 4,186 |
| Foam blowing agent (open cell) | 271 | 0 | 1,074 |
| Fire protection | 863 | 0 | 703 |
| Propellants (non-MDI) | 504 | - | 701 |
| Solvents | ? | 0 | > 11 |
| Cover gas for magnesium casting | ? | + | > 23 |
| Other | ? | ? | 35 |

¹ -- = strong decrease, - = decrease, + = increase, ++ = strong increase, 0 = neutral

| Do you have information that indicates that the information provided on the tonnage should be |
|---|
| adjusted? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |

² Emissions relate to mixture/article production and mixture/article use. They do not include PFAS production and the waste stage of the articles. These emissions are covered in a separate section.

³ Due to large tonnages in stock, emissions can be higher than annual tonnage.

| 1000 characters left |
|--|
| Todo sharacters left |
| |
| Do you have information that indicates that the information provided on the emissions should be adjusted? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| |
| |
| Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| |
| Do you have information that indicates that the information provided on the expected trend should be adjusted? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| |
| Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

| and emissions to the environment for your application of PFAS? |
|--|
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |

V. Questions - Section C - F-gas uses Questions in relation to alternatives (mainly for individual companies)

| Sub-Use | Non-PFAS alternatives |
|--|---|
| Domestic refrigeration | - iso-butane |
| | - propane (not in-kind refrigeration cycles) |
| | - CO ₂ |
| Commercial refrigeration | - isobutane |
| | - propane |
| Industrial refrigeration | - CO ₂ |
| | - ammonia |
| | - n-butane |
| | - CO ₂ |
| Transport refrigeration | - ammonia |
| Transport reinigeration | - CO ₂ with N ₂ as direct coolant |
| | - propane (not in-kind: advanced cool box storage) |
| Mobile oir conditioning | - CO ₂ |
| Mobile air conditioning | - propane |
| | - CO ₂ |
| Stationary air conditioning and heat pumps | - ammonia |
| | - propane |
| Foam blowing agent (closed cell) | Depending on the specific application: |
| | |

- cyclopentane

- iso-pentane

| | - n-pentane | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| | - isobutane | | | | |
| | - n-butane | | | | |
| | - 2-chloropropane | | | | |
| | - dimethyl ether (DME) | | | | |
| Faces blowing a gent (and a sell) | - methyl formate | | | | |
| Foam blowing agent (open cell) | - methylal | | | | |
| | - CO ₂ / methyl formate | | | | |
| | - CO ₂ (water) | | | | |
| | - CO ₂ (liquid) | | | | |
| | - CO ₂ / ethanol | | | | |
| | - water blown foams | | | | |
| | - inert gases (nitrogen and argon) | | | | |
| | - CO ₂ | | | | |
| | - water mist technologies | | | | |
| Fire protection | - inert gas generators | | | | |
| | - fine solid particle technology | | | | |
| | - dry chemical agents | | | | |
| | - water and aqueous salt solutions | | | | |
| | Compressed gases: | | | | |
| | - air | | | | |
| | - nitrogen | | | | |
| | - nitrous oxide | | | | |
| | - CO ₂ | | | | |
| | Liquefied gases: | | | | |
| | - butane | | | | |
| | - propane | | | | |
| Propellants (non-MDI) | - isobutane | | | | |
| | - dimethyl ether | | | | |
| | Not-in-kind alternatives: | | | | |
| | - trigger sprays | | | | |
| | - finger pumps | | | | |
| | - squeeze bottles | | | | |
| | - non-sprayed products including roll-ons | | | | |
| | - bag-on-valve products | | | | |
| | Depending on the specific application: | | | | |
| | - isopropyl alcohol (IPA) | | | | |
| | - n-Propyl bromide | | | | |
| | - dichloromethane | | | | |
| | - trans-1,2-dichloroethylene | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | - trichloroethylene (TCE) | | | | |
| Solvents | - perchloroethylene (PER) | | | | |
| CONTONICO | - volatile methyl siloxanes | | | | |
| | - hydrocarbons (hexane, heptane, benzene) | | | | |
| | - acetone | | | | |
| | - semi-aqueous / aqueous cleaning | | | | |
| | - manual cleaning methods | | | | |
| | (aerosols, brush, trigger spray, | | | | |
| | liquid immersion, spot cleaning, wipes) | | | | |

| | - ultrasonic |
|---|--|
| | - plasma cleaning |
| | - supercritical fluids – CO ₂ |
| | - no clean fluxes |
| | - SO ₂ |
| Cover gas for magnesium casting | - argon - salt fluxes |
| | - powdered sulfur |
| | |
| What is the appoint application/function/ | ality of DEAS in your product(a)/processes |
| what is the specific application/functions | ality of PFAS in your product(s)/processes? |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| 1000 characters left | |
| | |
| | |
| Are in your view the listed non-PFAS alto | ernatives <u>technically</u> feasible in your |
| product(s)/processes? | |
| Yes | |
| ○ No | |
| | |
| | |
| Please specify why. | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| 4000 1 1 1 1 | |
| 1000 characters left | · |
| 1000 characters left | |
| | ernatives economically feasible in your |
| Are in your view the listed non-PFAS alto product(s)/processes? | ernatives <u>economically</u> feasible in your |
| Are in your view the listed non-PFAS alto | ernatives <u>economically</u> feasible in your |

| Please specify why. |
|---|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| |
| Do you have information on the alternatives' risk profile? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| O NO |
| |
| Please describe. |
| Please describe. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| 1000 Griandoters fort |
| |
| Are there legal approval schemes for your product(s)/processes, which have to be taken into account in case PFAS alternatives will be used? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| |
| Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources. |
| |
| |
| |
| |

| What is the average approval time? |
|--|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| Do you actively work on finding alternatives? |
| ○ Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| Please specify. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| If alternatives have been identified as potentially suitable, which timescale do you foresee for a complete transition to those? Please explain. |
| |
| |
| |
| |

Do you have information on additional alternatives for any of the described applications that have not been disclosed in the attached information?

| 000 characters left |
|--|
| /. Questions - Section D - F-gas uses Questions in relation to impact of legislative measures for companies and industry associations) |
| What is the economic impact (in euro) and social impact (e.g. jobs) on your business/company if he use of PFAS is prohibited? |
| a) In 3 years. |
| |
| |
| |
| 000 characters left |
| o) In 10 years. |
| n no years. |
| |
| |
| 000 characters left |
| s) Please explain by providing your calculations. |
| |
| |
| |

| What is the economic impact (euro) on your business/company, if the following measures will become mandatory? Please make your (indicative) calculations transparent. |
|---|
| a) A maximum concentration of e.g. 0.1% (or less) PFAS is set in mixtures and/or articles. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 sharestore left |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| b) Obligation to label your products visibly with "Contains PFAS". |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| |
| c) Obligation to report amount of PFAS in use and respective emissions. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| |
| d) Specific waste management requirements with the obligation to collect, treat or recycle PFAS containing waste separately. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

Solvents

| e) In case you are using PFAS polymers: no PFAS processing aids are allowed during polymer production. |
|---|
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| V. Questions - Section E - F-gas uses Specific questions for the use |
| Within the following applications/uses, what are the barriers to the substitution from F-gases to fluorine-free alternatives, and how much time would it require to address those? |
| Commercial refrigeration, and specially alternatives to F-gases in mid to large scale facilities |
| Transport refrigeration |
| Mobile air conditioning in cars, vans and trucks |
| Foam Blowing Agent, both closed and open cell |
| Is there any potential niches, systems or processes that would still rely on F-gas use in a 10-years perspective within the applications/uses mentioned above, but also in other ones, such as for example: |
| Industrial refrigeration |
| Domestic air conditioning and heat pumps for space heating |
| Commercial air conditioning and heat pumps |

| Propellants (non-MDI) |
|--|
| |
| Electronic cooling |
| Other (please specify in the field to the right) |
| |
| Do you have information on the use of F-gases apart from the ones considered so far (heating/ventilation/air conditioning/refrigeration, foam blowing agents, propellants, solvents, fire suppression, and as cover gas), like e.g. in electronics cooling/data centers or use as solvents in 3D printing? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| |
| If so, please provide information on substance ID, function, PFAS concentrations and market information for such applications. |
| |
| |
| |
| 4000 all and atoms left |
| 1000 characters left |
| |

V. Questions - Section B - Electronics & energy Questions in relation to the use (mainly for industry associations)

The following linked information presents the current picture: Report summary electronics and energy july 2021.pdf

In the tables presented on this page and the following, '?' in the cells show that the authorities do not have any information available. Input to fill these gaps is highly appreciated.

| Sub-Use | Tonnage | Expected | Emissions/year in |
|---------|---------|----------|-------------------|
|---------|---------|----------|-------------------|

| | (tonnes/PFAS) per year in the EEA | trend (/-/0/+/++) ¹ | EEA (tonnes/PFAS) |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|--|
| Electronics industry and semiconductor | Non-Polymers: 1,200 Polymers: 3,100 Total: 4,300 | ++ | Production: 700 Use: 20 Recycling / waste: 900 |
| Semiconductor | Non-Polymers: 85 Polymers: 1,400 Total: 1,485 | ++ | |
| Energy industry | Non-Polymers: 250 Polymers: 1,200 Total: 1,450 | ++ | Production: 40 Use: 1 Recycling / waste: > 24 |
| Batteries | Polymers: 15,000 | ++ | Production: ? Use: ? Recycling: ? |

| Batteries | Polymers: 15,000 | ++ | Use: ? Recycling: ? |
|---|----------------------------|------------------|------------------------|
| ¹ = strong decrease, - = decrease, + | = increase, ++ = strong ir | ncrease, 0 = neu | tral |
| Do you have information that indica adjusted? | tes that the information | provided on the | e tonnage should be |
| Yes | | | |
| ○ No | | | |
| | | | |
| Please specify and/or refer to literat | ure/public sources. | | |
| Do you have information that indicated adjusted? Yes No | tes that the information | provided on the | e emissions should be |

| Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources. |
|--|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| The environmental release category (ERC) is a key REACH use descriptor to define the release factors of a chemical substance in a specific use exposure scenario. It is used in various modelling tools to derive environmental exposure estimates. ERC default factors are used to estimate emissions of PFAS in three major life-cycle stages, namely the production stage including manufacture of substances, formulation of mixtures and production of articles, the 'in-use' stage, and the waste stage. |
| Please indicate if you have information on <u>specific</u> emission values (SPERCs) for (groups of) PFAS, based on measurements and / or model calculations. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| Do you have information that indicates that the information provided on the expected trend should be adjusted? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources. |
| |
| |
| |
| |

| Do you have information on risk management measures to minimize the use, human exposure and emissions to the environment for your application of PFAS? |
|--|
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |

V. Questions - Section C - Electronics & energy Questions in relation to alternatives (mainly for individual companies)

| Sub-Use | Non-PFAS alternatives |
|--|---|
| Electronics industry and semiconductor | For fluoroelastomers in sealing: ethylene propylene diene monomer (EPDM) and silicone rubbers |
| | For wire insulation: ilicone materials |
| | Polyetheretherketone (PEEK) |
| | For photolithography (hard and not for all applications): hydrocarbon-based greases, Molybdenum disulfide, graphite |
| Energy industry | For fluoropolymer-based backsheets for photovoltaic cells polyolefin could be an alternative. Other fluorine free backsheets made of polyethylene terephthalate (PET) and/or ethylene vinyl acetate (EVA) can/are also used |
| | For cables: Mica and EPDM |
| | For seals: Hydrocarbon elastomers |
| | For batteries :Solid-state batteries |
| | |

| Sub-Use | Non-PFAS alternatives | |
|---|--|--|
| | For fuel cells: For PEM membranes: Hydrocarbon membrane and sulphonated polyetheretherketone (PEEK) | |
| | Reinforcement material as alternative to PTFE: Electrospun polybenzimidazole-type materials | |
| | For sealings: Some elastomers without fluorine exist and could potentially be used in the future for the Membrane Electrodes Assembly (MEA) function | |
| | For immersion cooling: Synthetic oil | |
| What is the specific | c application/functionality of PFAS in your product(s)/processes? | |
| Are in your view the product(s)/process | e listed non-PFAS alternatives <u>technically</u> feasible in your es? | |
| Yes | | |
| ○ No | | |
| Please specify why | /. | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

Are in your view the listed non-PFAS alternatives <u>economically</u> feasible in your product(s)/processes?

| Yes |
|---|
| ○ No |
| |
| |
| Please specify why. |
| . reads speenly may. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| |
| Do you have information on the alternatives' risk profile? |
| Yes |
| |
| ○ No |
| |
| |
| Please describe. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| |
| Are there legal approval schemes for your product(s)/processes, which have to be taken into account in case PFAS alternatives will be used? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |

Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources.

| 1000 characters left |
|--|
| Todo characters left |
| |
| |
| What is the average approval time? |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| TOOU CHARACTERS TERE |
| |
| |
| Do you actively work on finding alternatives? |
| ○ Yes |
| |
| ○ No |
| |
| |
| Please specify. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| |
| If alternatives have been identified as potentially suitable, which timescale do you foresee for a |
| complete transition to those? Please explain. |
| · · · |
| |
| |
| |
| |

| Do you have information on additional alternatives for any of the described applications that have not been disclosed in the attached information? |
|--|
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| V. Questions - Section D - Electronics & energy |
| Questions in relation to impact of legislative measures (for companies and industry associations) |
| |
| What is the economic impact (in euro) and social impact (e.g. jobs) on your business/company the use of PFAS is prohibited? |
| a) In 3 years. |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| b) In 10 years. |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| c) Please explain by providing your calculations. |
| |

| 1000 characters left |
|---|
| What is the economic impact (euro) on your business/company, if the following measures will become mandatory? Please make your (indicative) calculations transparent. |
| a) A maximum concentration of e.g. 0.1% (or less) PFAS is set in mixtures and/or articles. |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| b) Obligation to label your products visibly with "Contains PFAS". |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| c) Obligation to report amount of PFAS in use and respective emissions. |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| d) Specific waste management requirements with the obligation to collect, treat or recycle PFAS containing waste separately. |
| |

| 1000 characters left | |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| e) In case you are us production. | sing PFAS polymers: no PFAS processing aids are allowed during polymer |
| | |
| 1000 characters left | |
| | ease provide information on the emissions during the manufacturing and nic equipment and batteries: |
| | |
| 1000 characters left | |
| | ease provide information on concentration of PFAS in electronic, energy final products: |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| In case available, please provide information on PFAS concentration (range) in WEEE scrap: |
|--|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

V. Questions - Section B - Transportation Questions in relation to the use (mainly for industry associations)

The following linked information presents the current picture: Report summary transportation july 2021.pdf

In the tables presented on this page and the following, '?' in the cells show that the authorities do not have any information available. Input to fill these gaps is highly appreciated.

| Sub-Use | | Tonnage (tonnes/PFAS) per year in the EEA | Expected trend (/-/0 /+/++) ¹ | Emissions/year in EEA ² (tonnes/PFAS) |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Body-, hull and fusel | age construction | ? | 0 | ? |
| Sealing applications | | 111,104 (fluoroelastomers in road transportation vehicles) | 0 | ? |
| Lubrication | | ? | 0 | ? |
| Hydraulic fluids | | ? | 0 | ? |
| Electrical engineering and information technology | | ? | ++ | ? |
| Coating and finishings (incl. textiles, interiors and related applications, e.g. coating of trim materials) | | ? | + | ? |
| | F-gases in road transportation vehicles | 184,130 | + | 9,000 |
| (heating, ventilation, air conditioning and | F-gases in systems in trains/ships/aircrafts | ? | + | ? |
| | F-gases in systems for transport refrigeration | 10,926 | + | 495.8 |
| Health protection and lifesaving equipment (incl. firefighting, life vests, life rafts, airbags,) | | ? | + | ? |

| ² Emissions relate to mixture/article production and mixture/article use. They do not include PFAS production and the waste stage of the articles. These emissions are covered in a separate section. |
|--|
| Do you have information that indicates that the information provided on the tonnage should be adjusted? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| Do you have information that indicates that the information provided on the emissions should be adjusted? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |

¹ -- = strong decrease, - = decrease, + = increase, ++ = strong increase, 0 = neutral

The environmental release category (ERC) is a key REACH use descriptor to define the release factors of a chemical substance in a specific use exposure scenario. It is used in various modelling tools to derive environmental exposure estimates. ERC default factors are used to estimate emissions of PFAS in three major life-cycle stages, namely the production stage including manufacture of substances, formulation of mixtures and production of articles, the 'in-use' stage, and the waste stage.

| Please indicate if you have information on <u>specific</u> emission values (SPERCs) for (groups of) PFAS, based on measurements and / or model calculations. |
|--|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| Do you have information that indicates that the information provided on the expected trend should be adjusted? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| Do you have information on risk management measures to minimize the use, human exposure and emissions to the environment for your application of PFAS? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |

| Please specify and | or refer to lite | erature/publ | ic sources. | | |
|---------------------|------------------|--------------|-------------|--|--|
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 200 characters left | | | | | |

V. Questions - Section C - Transportation Questions in relation to alternatives (mainly for individual companies)

| Sub-Use | Non-PFAS alternatives |
|---|--|
| Body-, hull and fuselage construction | ? |
| Sealing applications | ? |
| Lubrication | ? |
| Hydraulic fluids | ? |
| Electrical engineering and information technology | ? |
| Coating and finishings (incl. textiles, interiors and related appliactions, e.g. coating of trim materials) | silicone based chemicals sulfosuccinates propylated aromatics fatty alcohol polyglycol ether sulphates alkyl acrylates polyurethanes and -acrylics |
| HVACR systems (heating, ventilation, air conditioning and refrigeration) | air water ethylene glycol mineral oils silicone oils alcohols natural gases: HC-600 (n-butane), R-717 (Ammonia), R-744 (CO₂) |
| Health protection and lifesaving equipment (incl. firefighting, life vests, life rafts, airbags,) | ? |

| What is the specific application/functionality of PFAS in your product(s)/processes? |
|--|
| |
| |
| |

| 1000 characters left |
|--|
| |
| |
| Are in your view the listed non-PFAS alternatives <u>technically</u> feasible in your product(s)/processes? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| |
| Please specify why. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| |
| Are in your view the listed non-PFAS alternatives <u>economically</u> feasible in your product(s)/processes? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| |
| Please specify why. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

| ○ No |
|---|
| |
| Please describe. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| Are there legal approval schemes for your product(s)/processes, which have to be taken into account in case PFAS alternatives will be used? |
| ○ Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| What is the average approval time? |
| |
| |
| |
| |

| Do you actively work on finding alternatives? |
|--|
| ○ Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| |
| Please specify. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| If alternatives have been identified as potentially suitable, which timescale do you foresee for a complete transition to those? Please explain. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| Do you have information on additional alternatives for any of the described applications that have not been disclosed in the attached information? |
| |
| |
| |
| |

V. Questions - Section D - Transportation
Questions in relation to impact of legislative measures
(for companies and industry associations)

| What is the economic impact (in euro) and social impact (e.g. jobs) on your business/company if the use of PFAS is prohibited? |
|---|
| a) In 3 years. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| b) In 10 years. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| c) Please explain by providing your calculations. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| What is the economic impact (euro) on your business/company, if the following measures will become mandatory? Please make your (indicative) calculations transparent. |
| a) A maximum concentration of e.g. 0.1% (or less) PFAS is set in mixtures and/or articles. |
| |
| |
| |

| b) Obligation to label your products visibly with "Contains PFAS". |
|---|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
|) OUT: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: |
| c) Obligation to report amount of PFAS in use and respective emissions. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| d) Specific waste management requirements with the obligation to collect, treat or recycle PFA containing waste separately. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| e) In case you are using PFAS polymers: no PFAS processing aids are allowed during polymer |
| production. |
| |
| |
| |
| |

V. Questions - Section E - Transportation Specific questions for the use

For this restricton proposal the assessment of the transportation sector encompassess: road traffic, ships, trains and aircrafts. We identified the following applications of PFAS in the transportation sector:

- 1.) Body-, hull and fuselage construction
- 2.) Sealing applications
- 3.) Lubrication
- 4.) Hydraulic fluids
- 5.) Electrical engineering and information technology
- 6.) Coating and finishings (incl. textiles, interiors, and related applications e.g. coating of road signs)
- 7.) HVACR systems (heating, ventilation, air conditioning and refrigeration)
- 8.) Health protection and life saving equipment (incl. fire prevention and fire fighting)

| Are applications missing in the overview above? If so, please name them. | Where possible, refer |
|--|-----------------------|
| to literature/public sources. | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

1000 characters left

Which PFAS content is necessary in the below cases so that they can be used in the transporation sector?

In fluroelastomers for sealing applications.

In fluroelastomers in coating applications.

In fluroinated lubricants for lubricants.

In fluroinated hydraulic fluids for fluids.

| when the use of PFAS is no longer possible? | ger be met |
|--|-------------|
| Yes | |
| ○ No | |
| | |
| | |
| What would be a realistic timeframe and realistic costs in case standards need to be | e adjusted? |
| What would be a realistic timeframe and realistic costs in case standards need to be | e adjusted? |
| What would be a realistic timeframe and realistic costs in case standards need to be | e adjusted? |

V. Questions - Section B - Waste Questions in relation to the use (mainly for industry associations)

The following linked information presents the current picture: Report summary waste july 2021.pdf

In the tables presented on this page and the following, '?' in the cells show that the authorities do not have any information available. Input to fill these gaps is highly appreciated.

| Sub-Use | Tonnage (tonnes/PFAS) per year in the EEA | Expected trend (/-/0/+/++) ¹ | Emissions/year in EEA ² (tonnes/PFAS) | |
|--|---|---|--|--|
| Textiles/TULAC | 43,605 | ++ | WWTP: 3.5 (median) | |
| Food contact material (paper & board) | 2,894 | + | Landfill: 1.8 (median) | |
| End-of-life-vehicles (ELV) | 2,219 | + | Incineration: | |
| Waste electrical and electronic equipment (WEEE) | ? | ++ | Flue gas: ? Bottom ash: 0.03 Fly ash: 0.05 | |
| Sewage sludge | 0.404 | ? | 0.3 | |

| ² Emissions only relate to the waste stage. They do not include mixture/article production, mixture/article use and PFAS production. These emissions are covered in the other sections of this survey. |
|---|
| Do you have information that indicates that the information provided on the tonnage should be adjusted? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| Do you have information that indicates that the information provided on the emissions should be |
| adjusted? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |

 1 -- = strong decrease, - = decrease, + = increase, ++ = strong increase, 0 = neutral

The environmental release category (ERC) is a key REACH use descriptor to define the release factors of a chemical substance in a specific use exposure scenario. It is used in various

| Please indicate if you have information on <u>specific</u> emission values (SPERCs) for (groups of) PFAS, based on measurements and / or model calculations. |
|--|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| Do you have information that indicates that the information provided on the expected trend should be adjusted? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| |
| Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| Do you have information on risk management measures to minimize the use, human exposure and emissions to the environment? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |

modelling tools to derive environmental exposure estimates. ERC default factors are used to estimate emissions of PFAS in three major life-cycle stages, namely the production stage including manufacture of substances, formulation of mixtures and production of articles, the

'in-use' stage, and the waste stage.

Please specify and/or refer to literature/public sources.

| 1000 characters left |
|---|
| V. Questions - Section E - Waste |
| Specific questions for the use |
| If available, please provide data on PFAS (or total F) measurements in flue gas, fly ash or bottom ash from waste incinerators (Energy from Waste installations, cement kilns, hazardous waste incinerator etc.). |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| If available, please provide data on PFAS emissions to air from landfill sites. |
| |
| |
| |
| 1000 characters left |
| |
| If available, please provide information on PFAS recycling. |
| |
| |
| |
| |

Thank you for your participation!

This is the last page of the survey. Please make sure your information is correct. After clicking on 'Submit', you will not be able to change your entries anymore. The following page however will give you the opportunity to save your answers as PDF document or print them.