

Decision number: TPE-D-0000004414-79-03/F

Helsinki, 14 August 2014

DECISION ON A TESTING PROPOSAL SET OUT IN A REGISTRATION PURSUANT TO ARTICLE 40(3) OF REGULATION (EC) NO 1907/2006**For Resin acids and Rosin acids, maleated, esters with pentaerythritol, CAS No 94581-17-6 (EC No 305-516-2), registration number: [REDACTED]****Addressee: [REDACTED]**

The European Chemicals Agency (ECHA) has taken the following decision in accordance with the procedure set out in Articles 50 and 51 of Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 concerning the Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals (REACH Regulation).

I. Procedure

Pursuant to Article 40(1) of the REACH Regulation, ECHA has examined the following testing proposals submitted as part of the registration dossier in accordance with Articles 10(a)(ix) and 12(1)(d) thereof for Resin acids and Rosin acids, maleated, esters with pentaerythritol, (CAS No 94581-17-6; EC No 305-516-2), submitted by [REDACTED] (Registrant). The dossier contains a document "Testing strategy for a UVCB category comprising Rosin Adduct Esters", which can be summarised as follows:

- Sub-chronic toxicity studies (OECD Guideline 408, rat, oral route) to be performed on Resin acids and Rosin acids, fumarated, esters with glycerol (CAS No. 97489-11-7); Resin acids and Rosin acids, fumarated, esters with pentaerythritol (CAS No. 94581-15-4); and the substance subject to the present decision.
- Pre-natal developmental toxicity study (OECD Guideline 414, rat, oral route) to be performed on Resin acids and Rosin acids, fumarated, esters with glycerol (CAS No. 97489-11-7).
 - An additional pre-natal developmental toxicity study will be proposed by the Registrant for the substance subject to the present decision if the results from the proposed sub-chronic toxicity (90 days; OECD Guideline 408) studies or available/on-going combined repeated dose toxicity study with the reproduction/developmental toxicity screening (OECD Guideline 422) studies on this substance indicate difference in toxicity relative to Resin acids and Rosin acids, fumarated, esters with glycerol (CAS No. 97489-11-7) or Resin acids and Rosin acids, fumarated, esters with pentaerythritol (CAS No. 94581-15-4).

This decision is based on the registration dossier as submitted with submission number [REDACTED], for the tonnage band of 100 to 1000 tonnes per year. This decision does not take into account any updates after 6 March 2014, the date upon which ECHA notified its draft decision to the Competent Authorities of the Member States pursuant to Article 51(1) of the REACH Regulation.

This decision does not imply that the information provided by the Registrant in his registration dossier is in compliance with the REACH requirements. The decision does not prevent ECHA from initiating a compliance check on the registration at a later stage.

On 27 May 2013, pursuant to Article 40(1) of the REACH Regulation, ECHA initiated the examination of the testing proposals set out by the Registrant in the registration dossier.

ECHA held a third party consultation for the testing proposal from 19 July 2013 until 2 September 2013. ECHA did not receive information from third parties.

On 6 November 2013 ECHA sent the draft decision to the Registrant and invited him to provide comments within 30 days of the receipt of the draft decision.

On 5 December 2013 ECHA received comments from the Registrant agreeing to ECHA's draft decision. In the comments the Registrant requested an extension of the deadline for providing the requested information.

ECHA considered the Registrant's comments. On basis of the comments, the deadline in Section II was amended and Statement of Reasons (Section III) was changed accordingly.

On 22 April 2014 ECHA notified the Competent Authorities of the Member States of its draft decision and invited them pursuant to Article 51(1) of the REACH Regulation to submit proposals for amendment of the draft decision within 30 days of the receipt of the notification.

As no proposal for amendment was submitted, ECHA took the decision pursuant to Article 51(3) of the REACH Regulation.

II. Testing required

The Registrant has requested to carry out one of the required tests using the registered substance as part of a read-across and grouping approach, in accordance with Annex XI, 1.5. with respect to fulfilling the endpoint of Annex IX, 8.6.2.

Furthermore, the Registrant has requested to carry out one of the required tests using an analogue substance as part of a read-across and grouping approach, in accordance with Annex XI, 1.5. ECHA emphasises that any final determination on the validity of the read-across, including the grouping approach proposed by the Registrant would be premature at this point in time. The eventual validity of the read-across hypothesis and grouping approach will be reassessed once the requested information from studies is submitted. Nevertheless, based on the information currently submitted, ECHA considers that the approach proposed by the Registrant is plausible with respect to fulfilling the endpoint of Annex IX, 8.7.2. In the light of this assessment ECHA has taken the following decision:

The Registrant shall carry out the following proposed tests pursuant to Article 40(3) of the REACH Regulation using the indicated test methods and the substance(s) indicated below:

1. Sub-chronic toxicity study (90-days) in rats, oral route (Annex IX, 8.6.2.; test method: EU B.26/OECD 408) on the substance subject to this decision; and
2. Pre-natal developmental toxicity study in rats or rabbits, oral route (Annex IX, 8.7.2.; test method: EU B.31/OECD 414) on either:
 - a. the analogue substance Resin acids and Rosin acids, fumarated, esters with glycerol (CAS No. 97489-11-7), if the results of the proposed OECD 408 studies or available/on-going OECD 422 studies indicate no difference in toxicity between the substance subject to the present decision and either Resin acids and Rosin acids, fumarated, esters with glycerol (CAS No. 97489-11-7) or Resin

acids and Rosin acids, fumarated, esters with pentaerythritol (CAS No. 94581-15-4); or

- b. the substance subject to the present decision, if the results of the proposed OECD 408 studies or available/on-going OECD 422 studies indicate difference in toxicity between the substance subject to the present decision and either Resin acids and Rosin acids, fumarated, esters with glycerol (CAS No. 97489-11-7) or Resin acids and Rosin acids, fumarated, esters with pentaerythritol (CAS No. 94581-15-4).

Note for consideration by the Registrant:

The Registrant may adapt the testing requested above according to the specific rules outlined in Annexes VI to X and/or according to the general rules contained in Annex XI of the REACH Regulation. In order to ensure compliance with the respective information requirement, any such adaptation will need to have a scientific justification, referring to and conforming with the appropriate rules in the respective Annex, and an adequate and reliable documentation.

Failure to comply with the request(s) in this decision, or to fulfil otherwise the information requirement(s) with a valid and documented adaptation, will result in a notification to the Enforcement Authorities of the Member States.

At any time, the Registrant shall take into account that there may be an obligation to make every effort to agree on sharing of information and costs with other Registrants.

3. Deadline for submitting the required information

Pursuant to Articles 40(4) and 22 of the REACH Regulation, the Registrant shall submit to ECHA by **21 August 2017** an update of the registration dossier containing the information required by this decision. The timeline has been set to allow for sequential testing as appropriate.

III. Statement of reasons

The decision of ECHA is based on the examination of the testing proposals submitted by the Registrant for the substance subject to the present decision.

In relation to the testing proposals subject to the present decision, the Registrant has proposed to use a read-across and grouping approach, in accordance with Annex XI, 1.5, and to perform the proposed tests on either the substance subject to this decision or an analogue substance that is a member of the same category. To the extent that all proposed testing relies upon an identical read-across justification, ECHA has considered first the scientific validity of the proposed read-across and grouping approach (preliminary considerations; Section 0, below), before assessing the testing proposed (Section 1 and 2 below).

0. Grouping of substances and read-across approach (preliminary considerations)

0.1. Legal Background on ECHA's assessment of the grouping of substances and read-across hypothesis brought forward by the Registrant

The evaluation by ECHA of testing proposals submitted by registrants aims at ensuring that generation of information is tailored to real information needs. To this end, it is necessary to consider whether programmes of testing proposed by Registrants are appropriate to fulfil the relevant information requirements and to guarantee the identification of health and environmental hazards of substances. In that respect, the REACH Regulation aims at promoting wherever possible the use of alternative means, where equivalent results to the prescribed test are provided on health and environmental hazards.

Article 13(1) of the REACH Regulation requires information on intrinsic properties of substances on human toxicity to be generated whenever possible by means other than vertebrate animal tests, including information from structurally related substances (grouping or read-across), "provided that the conditions set out in Annex XI are met".

According to Annex XI, 1.5 there needs to be structural similarity among the substances within a group such that the relevant properties of a substance within the group can be predicted from the data for reference substance(s) by interpolation.

The Registrant has submitted testing proposals, based on a grouping and read-across approach, intended to fulfil information requirements for sub-chronic toxicity (90-days; Annex IX 8.6.2.), pre-natal developmental toxicity (Annexes IX and X, 8.7.2.).

The first Recital and the first Article of the REACH Regulation establish the "promotion of alternative methods for assessment of hazards of substances" as an objective pursued by the Regulation. In accordance with that objective, ECHA considers whether a prediction of the relevant properties of the substance subject to this decision by using the results of the proposed tests is sufficiently plausible based on the information currently available.

0.2. Grouping of substances and read-across hypothesis as proposed by the Registrant

According to the Registrant, the substance subject to this decision can be grouped with other substances in a category for the purpose of read-across. The grouping is based on the premise that all substances that are members of the category are structurally related, *i.e.* all the substances are UVCBs (substances of Unknown or Variable composition, Complex reaction products or Biological materials) derived from the UVCB starting material Rosin (CAS No. 8050-09-7; EC No. 232-475-7), and are chemically modified in a similar manner.

The Registrant considers substances that fulfil the following criteria as members of the category:

- They are formed by the esterification of carboxylic acid groups present in Rosin adducts (*i.e.* maleopimaric acid anhydride, (cis-) maleopimaric tricarboxylic acid and (trans-) maleopimaric tricarboxylic acid (fumaropimaric tricarboxylic acid)) with hydroxyl groups present in polyols such as glycerol or pentaerythritol;
- Depending on the rosin adduct to polyol ratio, a mixture of mono-, di-, tri-, tetra- and polyesters with free resin acids can be formed as the ester final product.

In ECHA's understanding, the Registrant's read-across hypothesis is that if the substance selected for higher tier testing fully covers the structural diversity within the category, this will enable accurate predictions of the toxicological properties within the category.

Furthermore, the hypothesis assumes that all substances within the category will exhibit similar toxicity; because the Registrant assumes that the same fraction of the substances will be absorbed. In particular, the Registrant assumes that there will be no difference in toxicity between the substance subject to this decision and either Resin acids and Rosin acids, fumarated, esters with pentaerythritol or Resin acids and Rosin acids, fumarated, esters with glycerol.

0.3. Information submitted by the Registrant to support the grouping of substances and read-across hypothesis

The Registrant has provided a justification document for the category of '*Rosin adduct esters*'. This document contains an overview grouping approach proposed; additional information on the testing proposals for sub-chronic toxicity (90-days), pre-natal developmental toxicity and toxicity to reproduction, including a rationale for selection of test material(s); a summary of the composition ranges and physico-chemical properties of the substance covered by the category; information on the underlying chemistry; and an overview of planned/on-going experimental work (*ex vivo* absorption tests and combined repeated dose toxicity study with the reproduction/developmental toxicity screening tests, OECD Guideline 422) intended to increase the scientific reliability of the grouping and read-across approach.

Furthermore, the Registrant has provided an oral combined repeated dose toxicity and reproduction/developmental toxicity screening (OECD Guideline 422) study on the substance Resin acids and rosin acids, fumarated, esters with pentaerythritol (CAS No. 94581-15-4). ECHA notes that following oral administration of Resin acids and rosin acids, fumarated, esters with pentaerythritol the NOAEL for parental systemic toxicity was considered to be 300 mg/kg/day for males and females; and 1000 mg/kg/day for males/females for reproductive effects.

In addition, a reproduction/developmental toxicity screening test (OECD Guideline 421) on the substances Rosin (CAS No. 8050-09-07); a combined repeated dose toxicity and reproduction/developmental toxicity screening test (OECD Guideline 422) on the substances Rosin, fumarated (CAS No. 65997-04-8); and a reproduction/developmental toxicity screening test (OECD Guideline 421) on the substances Resin acids and rosin acid, esters with pentaerythritol (CAS No. 8050-26-08) have been provided to support the proposed read-across. ECHA notes that these three substances are not members of the category as defined by the Registrant.

Furthermore, as there is very limited toxicological information available for the substances in this category, the Registrant commits in the testing programme to address this deficiency by conducting *ex vivo* absorption tests on all the substances in the category, in combination with repeated dose toxicity study with the reproduction/developmental toxicity screening tests (OECD Guideline 422) on Resin acids and Rosin acids, fumarated, esters with glycerol (CAS No. 97489-11-7) and Resin acids and Rosin acids, maleated, esters with pentaerythritol (CAS No. 94581-17-6). The Registrant intends to use the information obtained from the absorption study as a quantitative indication of uptake and as a qualitative assessment of which chemical species that are absorbed. Both *ex vivo* absorption and OECD 422 studies are intended to support the read-across hypothesis and provide information to what extent the toxicological properties vary amongst the substances in the category.

0.4. ECHA analysis of the selection of substances to be tested for sub-chronic toxicity (90-days) and pre-natal developmental toxicity

According to the Registrant's testing strategy document, the structural variation within the category is caused by the fact that the starting material Rosin is reacted with either maleic anhydride, maleic acid or fumaric acid and esterified with "polyols such as glycerol or pentaerythritol". There are two types of structural diversity within the category: The first structural diversity depends on the reagent used in the "Adduct reaction", i.e. the relative proportions of maleopimaric acid anhydride and either (cis-)maleopimaric tricarboxylic acid or fumaropimaric tricarboxylic acid (the latter being the trans-isomer of (cis-)maleopimaric tricarboxylic acid) will vary between the "fumarated" and "maleated" adduct esters. The second structural diversity depends on which polyol is used for esterification, i.e. the relative proportion of mono-, di-, tri-, tetra-, and poly-esters as well as the amount of non-reacted resin acids may vary between the category members. The Registrant has proposed to test three substances for sub-chronic toxicity (90-days) and one substance for pre-natal developmental toxicity.

- i. For sub-chronic toxicity (90-days) the Registrant proposes to test Resin acids and Rosin acids, fumarated, esters with glycerol; Resin acids and Rosin acids, fumarated, esters with pentaerythritol; and Resin acids and Rosin acids, maleated, esters with pentaerythritol. For the first structural diversity element the Registrant proposes to test Resin acids and Rosin acids, fumarated, esters with pentaerythritol (█% non-reacted resin acids) and Resin acids and Rosin acids, maleated, esters with pentaerythritol (█% non-reacted resin acids). ECHA notes that, both substances contain the highest amount of "fumarated" and "maleated" non-reacted resin acids within the category. For the second structural diversity element the Registrant proposes to test Resin acids and Rosin acids, fumarated, esters with glycerol (\leq █% mono-, \leq █% di-, \leq █% tri-, and \leq █% poly-esters; total ester content █%); Resin acids and Rosin acids, fumarated, esters with pentaerythritol (\leq █% mono-, \leq █% di-, \leq █% tri-, \leq █% tetra-, and \leq █% poly-esters; total ester content █%); and Resin acids and Rosin acids, maleated, esters with pentaerythritol (detailed composition not provided; total ester content $>$ █%). ECHA notes that the substances within the category can contain \leq █%, \leq █%, \leq █%, \leq █%; and \leq █% of mono-, di-, tri-, tetra-, and poly-esters, respectively. In addition, the actual ester formed will depend on the polyol(s) used.
- ii. For pre-natal developmental toxicity the Registrant proposes to test Resin acids and Rosin acids, fumarated, esters with glycerol (\leq █% mono-, \leq █% di-, \leq █% tri-, and \leq █% poly-esters; total ester content █%). ECHA notes that the substance proposed to be tested for pre-natal developmental toxicity cover the "fumarated" fraction of the non-reacted resin esters as well as the glycerol-type esters.

0.5. ECHA analysis of the grouping approach and the read-across hypothesis of the Registrant in light of the requirements of Annex XI, 1.5

ECHA understands that the grouping approach is based on structural similarity resulting from the common UVCB starting material Rosin which is chemically modified to produce a "fumarated"- or "maleated"- type of rosin adduct; this adduct is then esterified to produce the substances that are to be considered as members of the category 'Rosin adduct esters'; and that the read-across hypothesis assumes that all substances within the category exhibit similar toxicity.

ECHA has analysed the grouping approach (i.e. category) as proposed by the Registrant and considers that with respect to the substance subject to this decision the criteria for category membership and the boundaries of the category have been sufficiently defined.

ECHA understands that the read-across hypothesis assumes that all substances within the category will exhibit similar toxicity after gastrointestinal absorption. Specifically, the Registrant assumes that Resin acids and Rosin acids, fumarated, esters with glycerol and Resin acids and Rosin acids, fumarated, esters with pentaerythritol will exhibit no difference in toxicity even though the Registrant argues that "*bioavailability of the fumarated esters with glycerol may be slightly greater than that of the fumarated esters with pentaerythritol (although uptake of both across the gut is likely to be low overall)*".

Based on the available compositional information and supporting information about the underlying chemistry, ECHA considers the read-across hypothesis plausible.

However, ECHA notes that currently the read-across hypothesis is based only on the assumption of structural/compositional similarity due to lack of toxicological information for all but one substance of the category (see section 0.3. above).

While ECHA recognises the relevance of structural/compositional similarity, it concludes that the Registrant's assumption of similar toxicity for the category members is not supported by the currently available information; in particular when considering that there are differences in bioavailability. This circumstance creates uncertainties that will have to be addressed by the Registrant in order to meet the conditions set out in Annex XI, section 1.5. of the REACH Regulation.

The Registrant has recognised the necessity to provide sufficient toxicological information to substantiate the hypothesis for the substances in the category and committed to undertake additional studies intended to strengthen the toxicological information for the read-across approach. This includes two combined repeated dose toxicity studies with the reproduction/developmental toxicity screening tests on Resin acids and Rosin acids, fumarated, esters with glycerol and Resin acids and Rosin acids, maleated, esters with pentaerythritol (OECD 422). ECHA considers that generating this additional information is therefore an essential condition for the ultimate compliance of the read-across approach in relation to pre-natal developmental toxicity.

In addition, the Registrant has committed to provide *ex vivo* absorption data on all members of the category. Absorption information is to be generated using an "everted gut-sac model". ECHA considers that this model is currently not validated for this type of substances, and that currently the Registrant has not demonstrated that the *ex vivo* absorption observed accurately predicts *in vivo* gastrointestinal absorption and ultimately correlates to the systemic toxicity observed in available toxicity studies. These uncertainties should be addressed by the Registrant. Nevertheless, ECHA considers that information on bioavailability is useful to strengthen read-across argumentation and considers it to be an essential condition for the ultimate acceptance and use of read-across for the category.

Furthermore, the Registrant assumes that there will be no difference in toxicity observed in the results of the above mentioned studies and the proposed sub-chronic toxicity (90-days) studies between the substance subject to this decision and either Resin acids and Rosin acids, fumarated, esters with pentaerythritol or Resin acids and Rosin acids, fumarated, esters with glycerol. However, ECHA notes that the Registrant has not specified any criteria on the basis of which the assumption can be confirmed.

In that respect, ECHA considers that the following criteria are decisive for the actual determination of absence of difference in toxicity:

- no adverse effects are observed in any organs or tissues for both source and target substances when tested up to the limit dose in a study of the same duration; or

- comparable effect(s) (*i.e.* in terms of type of effect, severity and incidence in the same species/strain) are observed in the same organ(s), tissue(s) or parameters at equal dose level for both source and target substances when tested in a study of the same duration.

To assess whether the above criteria are met, the Registrant shall at least compare the parameters covered in the corresponding test guidelines and analyse the effects observed and thereafter conclude on the overall test results. The Registrant shall also consider the classification and labelling criteria given in Annex I of Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008, Chapters "3.7 Reproductive toxicity" and "3.9 Specific target organ toxicity – repeated exposure". Additionally, the assessment has to consider differences in potential of the substances to cause developmental toxicity.

ECHA considers that the above criteria and information are an essential condition for the valid justification of the similarity of toxicity of the substance covered by the category and, hence, for the ultimate compliance of the read-across approach to be submitted by the Registrant.

The Registrant proposes to test Resin acids and Rosin acids, fumarated, esters with glycerol; Resin acids and Rosin acids, fumarated, esters with pentaerythritol; and Resin acids and the substance subject to this decision for sub-chronic toxicity (90-days); Resin acids and Rosin acids, fumarated, esters with glycerol for pre-natal developmental toxicity.

Subject to the above considerations, ECHA concludes that it is plausible that the substances selected by the Registrant, cover the structural variability within the category boundaries as defined by the Registrant.

In the case where the result of the proposed OECD 408 studies or available/on-going OECD 422 studies performed in accordance with the present decision would not confirm the grouping and read-across hypothesis relied upon by the Registrant, this outcome shall not alter the obligation of the Registrant to meet the standard information requirements. Should the read-across strategy be inadequate, it is the responsibility of the Registrant to ultimately submit reliable information or adaptations which is used in a way that does not underestimate hazards of the registered substance in relation to the relevant endpoints.

Finally, the read-across adaptation based on the results of the proposed tests shall ensure that any remaining uncertainties, including results of any existing studies which might give rise to concern, are analysed, minimized, and taken into account for the purpose of classification and labelling and/or risk assessment.

In any case, following the update of the dossier submitting the information required in the present decision, ECHA will determine whether the documentation provided is sufficient to satisfactorily address the information requirements of Annexes IX and X for the substance subject to the present decision.

1. Sub-chronic toxicity study (90-days)

a) Examination of the testing proposal

Pursuant to Article 40(3) of the REACH Regulation, ECHA may require the Registrant to carry out the proposed test.

A sub-chronic toxicity study (90 day) is a standard information requirement as laid down in Annex IX, section 8.6.2. of the REACH Regulation. The information on this endpoint is not available for the registered substance but needs to be present in the technical dossier to

meet the information requirements. Consequently there is an information gap and it is necessary to provide information for this endpoint.

ECHA notes that the Registrant has submitted an oral combined repeated dose toxicity and reproduction/developmental toxicity screening study (OECD Guideline 422) on the analogue substance Resin acids and rosin acids, fumarated, esters with pentaerythritol (CAS No. 94581-15-4) and on the substance Rosin, fumarated (CAS No. 65997-04-8). In addition, the Registrant has submitted a testing proposal, based on grouping of substances and read-across (see Section 0, above), for sub-chronic toxicity studies (90-days; EU B.26/OECD 408), proposed to be carried out, in rats, via the oral route, on three substances one of which is the substance subject to the present decision.

While OECD 422 Guideline studies provide some information on sub-acute toxicity, they do not meet the information requirement for sub-chronic toxicity (90-days) according to section 8.6.2 of Annexes IX. ECHA also notes that Rosin, fumarated is not a member of the category 'Rosin adduct esters' as defined by the Registrant; therefore, this study can only be considered as supporting evidence.

ECHA notes that one of the substances proposed to be tested is the substance subject to the present decision and therefore testing with that substance is considered sufficient to fulfil the information requirements for sub-chronic toxicity.

The Registrant proposed testing by the oral route. In the light of the physico-chemical properties of the substance and the information provided on the uses and human exposure, ECHA considers that testing by the oral route is appropriate.

b) Outcome

Therefore, pursuant to Article 40(3) of the REACH Regulation, the Registrant is required to carry out the proposed study: Sub-chronic toxicity study (90-day) in rats, oral route (test method: EU B.26/OECD 408) using the substance subject to this decision.

2. Pre-natal developmental toxicity study

a) Examination of the testing proposal

Pursuant to Article 40(3) of the REACH Regulation, ECHA may require the Registrant to carry out the proposed test.

A pre-natal developmental toxicity study for a first species is a standard information requirement as laid down in Annex IX, section 8.7.2. of the REACH Regulation. The information on this endpoint is not available for the registered substance but needs to be present in the technical dossier to meet the information requirements. Consequently there is an information gap and it is necessary to provide information for this endpoint.

The Registrant has submitted an oral combined repeated dose toxicity and reproduction/developmental toxicity screening study (OECD Guideline 422) on the analogue substance Resin acids and rosin acids, fumarated, esters with pentaerythritol (CAS No. 94581-15-4); and a developmental toxicity screening test (OECD Guideline 421) on the substance (Rosin CAS No. 8050-09-07); a combined repeated dose toxicity and reproduction/developmental toxicity screening test (OECD Guideline 422) on the substance Rosin, fumarated (CAS No. 65997-04-8); and a developmental toxicity screening test (OECD Guideline 421) on the substance Resin acids and rosin acid, esters with pentaerythritol (CAS No. 8050-26-08). In addition, the Registrant has submitted a testing proposal, based on grouping of substances and read-across, for a pre-natal developmental

toxicity study (EU B.31/OECD 414), proposed to be carried out, in rats, via the oral route with the analogue substance Resin acids and Rosin acids, fumarated, esters with glycerol (CAS No. 97489-11-7).

While ECHA considers OECD Guideline 421/422 studies useful to screen substances for potential to cause reproduction/developmental toxicity; the tests are not sufficient to meet the information requirement for pre-natal developmental toxicity according to Section 8.7.2 of Annexes IX and X. In addition, ECHA notes that neither Rosin, fumarated, Rosin nor Resin acids and rosin acid, esters with pentaerythritol is a member of the category '*Rosin adduct esters*' as defined by the Registrant; therefore, these studies can only be considered as supporting evidence.

The Registrant proposed testing in rats by the oral route. According to the test method EU B.31/OECD 414, the rat is the preferred rodent species, the rabbit the preferred non-rodent species and the test substance is usually administered orally. ECHA considers these default parameters appropriate and testing should be performed by the oral route with the rat or the rabbit as a first species to be used.

b) Outcome

Therefore, pursuant to Article 40(3) of the REACH Regulation, the Registrant is required to carry out the proposed study: Pre-natal developmental toxicity study in rats or rabbits, oral route (test method: EU B.31/OECD 414) using either:

- a. the analogue substance Resin acids and Rosin acids, fumarated, esters with glycerol (CAS No. 97489-11-7), if the results of the proposed OECD 408 studies or available/on-going OECD 422 studies indicate no difference in toxicity between the substance subject to the present decision and either Resin acids and either Rosin acids, fumarated, esters with glycerol (CAS No. 97489-11-7) or Resin acids and Rosin acids, fumarated, esters with pentaerythritol (CAS No. 94581-15-4); or
- b. the substance subject to the present decision, if the results of the proposed OECD 408 studies or available/on-going OECD 422 studies indicate difference in toxicity between the substance subject to the present decision and either Resin acids and Rosin acids, fumarated, esters with glycerol (CAS No. 97489-11-7) or Resin acids and Rosin acids, fumarated, esters with pentaerythritol (CAS No. 94581-15-4).

3. Deadline for submitting the required information

In the draft decision communicated to the Registrant, the deadline to provide the requested information was 24 months from the date of adoption of the decision. In his comments on the draft decision of 5 December 2013 the Registrant requested an extension of the timeline to 36 months.

The Registrant put forward several arguments. Firstly, he highlights the complexity of the testing strategy, which requires sequential testing for several endpoints and substances, and thereafter reassessment of the read-across and category approach in view of the results. Secondly, in order to minimise variability and facilitate interpretation of data for the category the Registrant intends to perform the tests in the same testing facility.

Considering the complexity of the overall testing strategy, the number of tests to be performed, need for sequential testing; ECHA considers that there are justified reasons to extend the deadline for providing requested information by 12 months. Therefore, the deadline is extended to 36 months.

IV. Adequate identification of the composition of the tested material

The process of examination of testing proposals set out in Article 40 of the REACH Regulation aims at ensuring that the new studies meet real information needs. Within this context, the Registrant's dossier was sufficient to confirm the identity of the substance to the extent necessary for examination of the testing proposal. The Registrant must note, however, that this information has not been checked for compliance with the substance identity requirements set out in Section 2 of Annex VI of the REACH Regulation.

In addition, it is important to ensure that the particular sample of substance tested in the new studies is appropriate to assess the properties of the registered substance, taking into account any variation in the composition of the technical grade of the substance as actually manufactured. If the registration of the substance covers different grades, the sample used for the new studies must be suitable to assess these.

Finally, there must be adequate information on substance identity for the sample tested and the grade(s) registered to enable the relevance of the studies to be assessed.

V. General requirements for the generation of information and Good Laboratory Practice

ECHA reminds registrants of the requirements of Article 13(4) of the REACH Regulation that ecotoxicological and toxicological tests and analyses shall be carried out in compliance with the principles of good laboratory practice (GLP).

According to Article 13(3) of the REACH Regulation, tests that are required to generate information on intrinsic properties of substances shall be conducted in accordance with the test methods laid down in a Commission Regulation or in accordance with other international test methods recognised by the Commission or the European Chemicals Agency as being appropriate. Thus, the Registrant shall refer to Commission Regulation (EC) No 440/2008 laying down test methods pursuant to Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 as adapted to technical progress or to other international test methods recognised as being appropriate and use the applicable test methods to generate the information on the endpoints indicated above.

VI. Information on right to appeal

An appeal may be brought against this decision to the Board of Appeal of ECHA under Article 51(8) of the REACH Regulation. Such appeal shall be lodged within three months of receiving notification of this decision. Further information on the appeal procedure can be found on the ECHA's internet page at http://echa.europa.eu/appeals/app_procedure_en.asp. The notice of appeal will be deemed to be filed only when the appeal fee has been paid.



Leena Ylä-Mononen
Director of Evaluation