Justification for the selection of a candidate CoRAP substance

Substance Name (Public

Name):

Phenol, dodecyl-, sulfurized, calcium salts

Chemical Group:

EC Number: 272-486-4

CAS Number: 68855-45-8

Submitted by: France

Published: 20/03/2013

NOTE

This document has been prepared by the evaluating Member State given in the CoRAP update.

Contents

1	IDENTITY OF THE SUBSTANCE
	1.1 Name and other identifiers of the substance
2	CLASSIFICATION AND LABELLING
	2.1 Harmonised Classification in Annex VI of the CLP
	2.2 Proposal for Harmonised Classification in Annex VI of the CLP 4
	2.3 Self classification
3	JUSTIFICATION FOR THE SELECTION OF THE CANDIDATE CORAP SUBSTANCE 5
	3.1 Legal basis for the proposal5
	3.2 Grounds for concern
	3.3 Information on aggregated tonnage and uses
	3.4 Other completed/ongoing regulatory processes that may affect suitability for substance evaluation
	3.5 Information to be requested to clarify the suspected risk
	3.6 Potential follow-up and link to risk management

1 IDENTITY OF THE SUBSTANCE

1.1 Name and other identifiers of the substance

Table 1: Substance identity

From 450 to 1750 g/mol according to the registration dossier
$C_{36}H_{58}Ca_2O_4S_x$ where $x = 1-3$.
A molecular formula for this substance does not exist because it is an UVCB. The molecular formula for a theoretical representative structure is
Not relevant
Phenol, dodecyl-, sulfurized, calcium salts
Phenol, dodecyl-, sulfurized, calcium salts
68855-45-8
68855-45-8
Phenol, dodecyl-, sulfurized, calcium salts
272-486-4
Phenol, dodecyl-, sulfurized, calcium salts

■ Mono-constituent ■ Multi-constituent ■ UV	Type of substance:	☐ Mono-constituent	☐ Multi-constituent	☑ UVCB
---------------------------------------------	--------------------	--------------------	---------------------	---------------

Structural formula

Not available in the registration data

2 CLASSIFICATION AND LABELLING

2.1 Harmonised Classification in Annex VI of the CLP

None

2.2 Proposal for Harmonised Classification in Annex VI of the CLP

None

2.3 Self classification

The registration data includes the following self classification:

According to CLP criteria:

- Repr. 1B H360: May damage fertility or the unborn child
- Aquatic Chronic 4 H413: May cause long lasting harmful effects to aquatic life

According to DSD criteria:

- Repr. Cat. 2; R60 May impair fertility
- R53 May cause long-term adverse effects in the aquatic environment

In addition are the following classification(s) included in the Classification and Labelling Inventory:

Eye Irrit. 2, H319: Causes serious eye irritation

Aquatic Chronic 4, H413: May cause long lasting harmful effects to aquatic life

Repr. 2, H361: Suspected of damaging fertility or the unborn child

EC no. 272-486-4 MSCA – France Page 4 of 7

3 JUSTIFICATION FOR THE SELECTION OF THE CANDIDATE CORAP

SUBSTANCE

3.1 Legal basis for the proposal Article 44(1) (refined prioritisation criteria for substance evaluation)

Article 45(5) (Member State priority)

Grounds for concern 3.2

☐ (Suspected) CMR	▼ Wide dispersive use	☐ Cumulative exposure	
☐ (Suspected) Sensitiser	▼ Consumer use	☐ High RCR	
☐ (Suspected) PBT	☐ Exposure of sensitive populations	Aggregated tonnage	
☐ Suspected endocrine disruptor	☐ Other (provide further details below)		

The substance is a potential CMR considering the current self classification identified and the proposal for harmonized classification of its impurity Phenol, dodecyl-, branched [Tetrapropenylphenol (TPP)] which is present in the substance in a concentration range that might trigger classification of the substance.

The substance is a potential PBT:

- P (vP) is met through screening criteria,
- T is met due to the impurity
- the non-B status proposed in the registration data is however questioned due to data lacking and poor quality of the data and rationale.

Therefore further information on B is needed. The overall PBT assessment appears to be insufficient (for instance information is lacking and the assessment doesn't address each component individually).

Besides the high aggregated tonnage, uses appear to be wide dispersive (according to the PROCs described) and several consumer uses are identified by the registration data (probably as a mixture) that may raise a concern if the substance is to be classified as reprotoxicant (Repr. 1B or 2) because of the pending harmonized classification of one of its impurity.

EC no. 272-486-4 MSCA - France Page 5 of 7

JUSTIFICATION DOCUMENT FOR THE SELECTION OF A CORAP SUBSTANCE

3.3 Information on aggregated tonnage and uses

				_			
□ 1 - 10 t	<u> </u>) - 100 t	□ 100 -	1000 t	1000 - 10),000 t	
10,000 - 100,000 t	10,000 - 100,000 t		□ > 100	1000,000 t Confiden		ntial	
Aggregated Tonna	Aggregated Tonnage:						
✓ Industrial Use		Professional Us	se Consum		se	Closed System	
3.4 Other o	omr	oleted/ongoi	ina re	gulatory p	rocesse	s tha	t may affect
		or substance					,
☐ Compliance Che	eck			Annex VI (CLP)			
☐ Testing Proposal(s)				☐ Annex XIV (Authorisation)			
☐ Substance Identification Issues			ı	☐ Annex XVII (Restriction)			
☐ ESR Programme			ı	☐ Other (provide further details below)			
C&L proposal of the impurity (TPP) as Repr. 2 may affect the CMR status of the substance.							

EC no. 272-486-4 MSCA – France Page 6 of 7

JUSTIFICATION DOCUMENT FOR THE SELECTION OF A CORAP SUBSTANCE

3.5 Information to be requested to clarify the suspected risk

☐ Information on toxicolo	ogical properties	✓ Information on exposure		
✓ Information on fate and	l behaviour	▼ Information on uses		
☐ Information on ecotoxi	cological properties	☐ Other (provide further details below)		
✓ Information on physico	o-chemical properties			
Physico-chemical data to be requested (linked with the environmental fate and behaviour): water solubility, Kow, Koc, etc. of the substance itself and not from QSAR calculations or read-across, clarification of the substance identity, etc.				
 Information on fate and behaviour to be requested: data on the B criterion on the substance itself and not from QSAR calculations or readacross (read across to be deeply assessed too) P and B definitive assessment if the B criteria is suspected through the screening approach 				
If the P and B criteria are met, the registration data would need to be updated (definitive assessment of the P criterion, emission characterisation)				
Information on worker/consumer exposure and on the consumer uses is expected given the pending Repr. 2 harmonized classification and the current Repr.1B self-classification of the impurity.				
3.6 Potential follow-up and link to risk management				
☐ Restriction	☐ Harmonised C&L			
☐ Authorisation	horisation			
To be determined follo	wing the outcome of tl	he substance evaluation process.		

EC no. 272-486-4 MSCA – France Page 7 of 7