

Biocidal Products Committee (BPC)

Opinion on the application for approval of the active substance:

Folpet

Product type: 06

ECHA/BPC/010/2014

Adopted

17 June 2014



Opinion of the Biocidal Products Committee

on the application for approval of the active substance folpet for product type 06

In accordance with Article 89(1) of Regulation (EU) No 528/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council 22 May 2012 concerning the making available on the market and use of biocidal products, the Biocidal Products Committee (BPC) has adopted this opinion on the approval in product type 06 of the following active substance:

Common name: Folpet

Chemical name(s): N-(trichloromethylthio) phthalimide

EC No.: 205-088-6

CAS No.: 133-07-3

Existing active substance submitted under Article 11 of the Biocidal Products Directive 98/8/EC

This document presents the opinion adopted by the BPC, having regard to the conclusions of the evaluating Competent Authority. The assessment report and conclusions, as a supporting document to the opinion, contains the detailed grounds for the opinion.

Process for the adoption of opinions

Following the submission of an application by Makhteshim Agan Holding (currently: ADAMA Agriculture B.V.) on 13 July 2009, the evaluating Competent Authority Italy submitted an assessment report and the conclusions of its evaluation to the Commission on June 2011. In order to review the assessment report and the conclusions of the evaluating Competent Authority the Agency organised consultations via the BPC and the Commission via the biocides Technical Meeting. Folpet was discussed at the BPC Environment Working Group during WG-I-2014. Revisions agreed upon were presented and the assessment report and the conclusions were amended accordingly.

Adoption of the opinion

Rapporteur: BPC member for Italy

The BPC opinion on the approval of the active substance folpet in product-type 06 was reached on 17 June 2014.

The BPC opinion was adopted by consensus.

Detailed opinion and background

1. Overall conclusion

The overall conclusion of the BPC is that the active substance folpet in product type 06 may be approved. The assessment report contains the detailed grounds for the overall conclusion.

2. Opinion

2.1. Conclusions of the evaluation

a) Presentation of the active substance and representative biocidal product including classification of the active substance

This evaluation covers the use of folpet in product type 06. Folpet is a general thiol reactant, the mechanism of action against target organisms is non-specific and is not the result of a single interaction at a specific site. Folpet acts by entering the conidia of the target organisms and inhibiting oxidative enzymes, carboxylases and enzymes involved in phosphate metabolism and citrate synthesis. Folpet reacts with the sulphhydryl groups of the nuclear proteins, which causes the inhibition of cell division. Spore germination is hindered as a result. The reaction of folpet and the reaction of thiophosgene, one of its decomposition products, with thiols and other groupings may be a means of metabolic inhibition.

Specifications for the reference source are established. The physico-chemical properties of the active substance and of the representative biocidal product have been evaluated and are deemed acceptable for the appropriate use, storage and transportation of the active substance and biocidal product.

Validated analytical methods are available for the determination of the active substance as manufactured and for the analysis of impurities. Validated analytical methods are available for the technical active substance and in soil, water and air. Analytical methods are provided for water including determination of several metabolites because the targeted analyte (folpet) does not exist in water.

Folpet is listed in Annex VI table 3.1 and 3.2 of Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 (CLP Regulation) and is presented in the table below. On basis of the information presented in the dossier, the evaluating Competent Authority (Italy) is proposing not to change the current classification and labelling.

Classification according to the CLP Regulation		
Hazard Class and	Acute Tox. 4;	H332
Category Codes	Eye Irrit. 2;	H319
Hazard Statement	Skin Sens. 1;	H317
Code(s)	Carc. 2;	H351
	Aquatic Acute 1;	H400
Labelling		
Pictograms	GHS07	
	GHS08	
	GHS09	
Signal Word	Warning	
Hazard Statement Codes	H332 Harmful if inhaled	
	H319 Causes serious eye irritation	
	H317 May cause an allergic skin reaction	
	H351 Suspected of causing cancer	
	H400 Very toxic to aquatic life	

Specific Concentration	M = 10 for Aquatic Acute 1
limits, M-Factors	

The representative biocidal product is similar to the active substance: folpet technical. Folpet technical is a solid in the form of powder/crystals. It is not formulated as a preparation for biocide use.

b) Intended use, target species and effectiveness: containing a description of the use(s) evaluated in the assessment report

Folpet is used as an in-can preservative in paints (PT6). The treated paints are either water or solvent based and are used by both professional and non-professional users indoors and outdoors. Typical use is painting of walls or metal engineering structures by professional contractors which are then moved outdoors when the paint is dry. The function is as a fungicide and the maximum end use concentration of folpet in the treated paint is 2 g a.s./kg. Organisms to be controlled are fungal species (*Candida albicans*). The data on the active substance and the representative product have demonstrated sufficient efficacy against the target organisms for approval to be recommended.

The active substance, folpet, is used directly by manufactures to make paint products (treated articles) that are then sold to professional and non-professional users. Folpet is applied once to the paint during manufacture. The active substance, folpet, is not used directly by users of the treated paints. Risk assessment is based on the use of the treated paints by professional and non-professional users.

c) Overall conclusion on the risks for human health and environment including the need for risk management measures

Human health

Folpet is classified according to the CLP as acute toxic (harmful by inhalation), eye irritant, skin sensitiser, and carcinogenic category 2.

For industrial applications, including formulation of paint only a qualitative assessment was performed. For these applications, the potential areas of exposure during manufacturing and /or formulation – inhalation, dermal exposure and oral ingestion – have been minimised by the use of automated processes and engineering controls integral to the processes and further reduced by the requirements to wear suitable protective equipment (including gloves, protective clothing, eye and dust protection) whenever exposure to the active substance or other ingredients is likely. Therefore, exposure of manufacturing and formulation workers is rigorously prevented and no further assessment was considered necessary

The table below summarises the exposure scenarios assessed.

Summary table: scenarios			
Scenario	Primary or secondary exposure Description of scenario	Exposed group	
Brush and roller applications	Secondary exposure: application of paint by brush and roller	Professionals Non-professionals	
Post-application of Brush and roller	Secondary exposure: cleaning of brushes/rollers used to apply paint.	Professionals	

Paint spraying application	Secondary exposure: spray application	Professionals
Post application of spray	Secondary exposure: cleaning of spry equipment used to apply paint.	Professionals
Laundering contaminated overalls	Secondary exposure: Contact to residues via laundering contaminated overalls	General public
Dermal contact with wet product by child	Secondary exposure: dermal contact with wet treated surface by child	General public
Oral ingestion child	Secondary exposure: child exposure through ingestion of household dust	General public
Inhalation exposure child	Secondary exposure: Inhalation of volatised residues by child	General public

Local effects

According to CLP folpet is classified as skin sensitiser cat 1. The levels of folpet achieved in the end-use product of 2 g/kg (0.2 %) are much lower than the concentrations eliciting positive responses in the maximisation study. Considering the CLP subcategories (Skin Sens. 1A and 1B) , folpet would not be classified as a strong sensitiser based on the results of the maximisation study and is therefore considered to have low to moderate potency as a sensitiser. Additionally, the concentrations of folpet are below the threshold for classification of the end use product according to Directive 99/45/EEC. Consequently, risks as a result of local toxicity are considered to be acceptable for professionals and non-professionals.

Systemic effects

For professional users exposure to folpet was evaluated for the scenarios summarised in the table above. Safe uses were identified when wearing of appropriate personal protective equipment (PPE), including gloves and in some cases coverall was assumed.

Products for application by spray will not be available to non-professionals.

The intended uses for non-professional users during use of paint containing the preservative folpet on a daily basis are below the AEL when based on worst-case default values. The exposure assessment has been conducted considering non-professional uses working 4 hours each day, with no gloves. For non-professionals only short-term exposure scenario was assumed. Based on these assumptions and worst-case default exposure values the exposure estimate is 75% the AEL. Considering the exposure assessment the use of paint containing the preservative folpet for non-professionals is acceptable.

The application and post-application (cleaning the brushes) of in-can preserved paints could potentially occur on the same day. Therefore combined exposure was considered and found acceptable, for both professional and non-professional exposure. However, the exposure estimates are based on daily work rates and, therefore, the combination of any other individual tasks is not applicable.

No concern was identified for secondary exposure for the general public.

Environment

Hydrolysis is the primary route of degradation for folpet in the environment. The major metabolites are phthalimide and phthalic acid. As the hydrolysis is rapid, in the order of hours, the risks of these two metabolites were also assessed for all environmental compartments.

One of the other metabolites formed in the breakdown of folpet is thiophosgene, however its tendency to hydrolyse rapidly and its high reactivity with other substances likely to be present in wash-waters, leachates, drains and sewers mean that it is unstable and that exposure of biota in aquatic and terrestrial compartments of the environment to thiophosgene will not occur. Thiophosgene is therefore not considered to be an environmentally relevant metabolite of folpet.

Given the exposure considerations that are outlined above, the environmental risk assessment for folpet needs to take account of the facts that:

- a) the exposure arising from the various PT 6 biocidal uses of folpet is continuous and therefore chronic in character, and;
- b) the exposure will be to folpet's hydrolysis metabolites rather than the intact parent active substance.

The table below summarises the exposure scenarios assessed.

Summary table: environment scenarios		
Scenario	Description of scenario including environmental compartments	
Formulation: applying the folpet containing biocidal product to the paint	air, sewage treatment plant (STP), surface water, sediment, soil and groundwater	
Application and service life of the treated paints	Release to the environment via application of the formulated paint or leaching from treated surfaces during surface life using scenarios for wood preservation (product type 8) and masonry preservatives (product type 10) as surrogate exposure models. Scenarios used for wood preservation: fence, noise barrier, house, transmission pole and fence post, and scenarios used for masonry preservatives: countryside and city scenario. Environmental compartments assessed: air, sewage treatment plant (STP), surface water, sediment, soil and groundwater.	
Aggregated exposure	Release to the environment from the use of folpet in product types 6, 7 and 9 using the tonnage approach	

Formulation

No significant releases occur to the environment during formulation of paint containing folpet. Waste resulting from the formulation process is treated as trade waste and its treatment is controlled and governed by industrial legislation. There is no release to public drain and STP and no release to the soil environment. Release to air will be negligible because of the low vapour pressure of folpet and its hydrolysis metabolites (ca 1×10^{-5} Pa).

Application and service life

For the aquatic compartment (surface water, sediment and STP) no unacceptable risks were identified for uses of folpet as a PT6 in-can preservative demonstrating that the risks to aquatic organisms from folpet are acceptable. For the main hydrolysis products phtalimide

and phtalic acid risks for surface water were identified for service life (Time 2): the respective PEC/PNEC ratios are 1.01 and 1.05. However the exposure assessment was based on two worst case assumptions: 100% leaching of the applied amount during service life and treatment of roof and façade. The refinement of only one of these two assumptions (e.g. assumption of treatment of the façade only) would result in no risk for the aquatic compartment for the service life (Time 2). It is therefore considered that there are no unacceptable risks for phtalimide and phtalic acid.

For the terrestrial compartment (soil), predicted environmental concentrations (PEC) for folpet, phthalimide and phthalic acid calculated for scenarios modelling run-off to drain and environmental distribution via STP following paint application and service life (Time 2) of the dried paint film are low and indicate no risk for terrestrial organisms.

For folpet, PEC/PNEC ratios above one were calculated after direct release to soil following outdoor in-situ application by spraying (Tier 2) and brushing (amateur and professional) indicating a risk for terrestrial organisms. No risk was identified for the service life (Time 2) of commodities treated by brushing or spraying. For the hydrolysis products, no unacceptable risks following direct release to soil from application and service life were identified.

Since a risk to the soil environment is indicated by the modelled calculations for folpet (adjacent to larger structures treated by spraying in the PT 10 scenarios), mitigation to protect soil around large painted structures such as house facades is considered necessary.

In general, it should be considered that the likelihood of soil exposure to folpet, phthalimide and phthalic acid is very low due to physical entrainment of folpet within the paint matrix and the wide dispersion of use. In addition, the relevance of the soil environment immediately surrounding the treated area can be questioned as this is unlikely to consist simply of bare soil, but rather will be covered with an impermeable/semi-permeable surface (gravel, tarmac, stone paving) and will represent a disturbed soil environment due to the excavations needed to erect the structure. Nevertheless, since a risk to the soil environment is indicated by the modelled calculations for folpet mitigation to protect soil around large painted structures such as house facades is considered necessary. Suitable measures would be a requirement to use plastic sheeting to cover soil immediately around the painted structure when folpet treated paint is applied by brushing.

Aggregated exposure

An aggregated exposure assessment for folpet by the tonnage based calculations was also performed. The calculation considers wider environmental exposure application of the preservative containing folpet to the material and due to in-service life of the treated material, and uses the total EU folpet tonnage across the relevant product types for folpet (PT 6, PT 7 and PT 9). The resulting Predicted Environmental Concentrations (PEC) values represent a collective estimation for folpet and the hydrolysis products, phthalimide and phthalic acid, respectively. No unacceptable risk was identified for non-target organisms resulting from simultaneous use of folpet in PT 6, PT 7 and PT 9.

2.2. Exclusion, substitution and POP criteria

2.2.1. Exclusion and substitution criteria

The table below summarises the relevant information with respect to the assessment of exclusion and substitution criteria:

Property		Conclusion
CMR properties	Carcinogenicity (C)	Carc. 2
	Mutagenicity (M)	no classification required
	Toxic for reproduction (R)	no classification required
PBT and vPvB properties	Persistent (P) or very Persistent (vP)	not P or vP
	Bioaccumualtive (B) or very Bioaccumulative (vB)	not B or vB
	Toxic (T)	Т
Endocrine disrupting properties	active substance does not have endocrine disrupting properties	

Consequently, the following is concluded:

Folpet does not meet the exclusion criteria laid down in Article 5 of Regulation (EU) No 528/2012.

Folpet does not meet the conditions laid down in Article 10 of Regulation (EU) No 528/2012, and is therefore not considered as a candidate for substitution. The exclusion and substitution criteria were assessed in line with the "Note on the principles for taking decisions on the approval of active substances under the BPR" agreed at the 54th meeting of the representatives of Member States Competent Authorities for the implementation of Regulation 528/2012 concerning the making available on the market and use of biocidal products (<u>CA-March14-Doc.4.1 - Final - Principles for the approval of AS.doc</u>). This implies that the assessment of the exclusion criteria is based on Article 5(1) using the temporary criteria for the determination of endocrine-disrupting properties in Article 5(3) and the assessment of substitution criteria is based on Article 10(1)(a, b and d).

2.2.2. POP criteria

Folpet is a solid with a relatively high melting point and low vapour pressure and can therefore be considered as non-volatile. Folpet degrades rapidly in air due to reaction with hydroxyl radicals with a half-life of equal or less than one day. Based on this information folpet is not considered to be a persistent organic pollutant.

2.3. BPC opinion on the application for approval of the active substance folpet in product type 06.

In view of the conclusions of the evaluation, it is proposed that folpet shall be approved and be included in the Union list of approved active substances, subject to the following specific conditions:

- 1. Specification: minimum purity of the active substance evaluated: 940 q/kg.
- 2. The biocidal product assessment shall pay particular attention to the exposures, the risks and the efficacy linked to any uses covered by an application for authorisation, but not addressed in the Union level risk assessment of the active substance.
- 3. For industrial users, safe operational procedures and appropriate organisational

measures shall be established. Where exposure cannot be reduced to an acceptable level by other means, biocidal products shall be used with appropriate personal protective equipment.

- 4. Labels and, where provided, safety data sheets of biocidal products authorised for the preservation of paints, films or coatings used for outdoor application by brush shall indicate that measures shall be taken to protect the soil to prevent losses and minimise emissions to the environment, unless it can be demonstrated in the application for product authorisation that risks can be reduced to an acceptable level by other means.
- 5. Biocidal products shall not be authorised for the preservation of paints, films or coatings used for outdoor application by spraying, unless it can be demonstrated in the application for product authorisation that risks for the soil compartment can be reduced to an acceptable level.
- 6. Due to the risks identified for the soil compartment the label and where provided the Safety Data Sheets of paints, films and coatings for outdoor use preserved with folpet shall indicate that they shall not be applied outdoors by spraying, and that measures shall be taken to protect the soil when they are applied by brush, unless it can be demonstrated that risks can be mitigated by other means.
- 7. Where a treated article has been treated with or intentionally incorporates one or more biocidal products containing folpet, and where necessary due to the possibility of skin contact as well as the release of folpet under normal conditions of use of the article, the person responsible for placing the article on the market shall ensure that the label provides information on the risk of skin sensitisation, as well as the information referred to in the second subparagraph of Article 58(3) of Regulation (EU) No 528/2012.

Folpet cannot be included in Annex I of Regulation (EU) No 528/2012 because it meets the following criteria of Article 28(2): i) skin sensitiser; ii) carcinogen of category 2; and iii) toxic to aquatic life of acute category 1.

2.4. Elements to be taken into account when authorising products

Whilst the efficacy data provided is sufficient to recommend approval of the substance, data demonstrating the efficacy of the product at the minimum application rate against the range of proposed target organisms using the recommended application equipment must be provided at the product authorisation stage.

2.5. Requirement for further information

Sufficient data have been provided to verify the conclusions on the active substance, permitting the proposal for the approval of folpet.